South Cambridgeshire Hall Cambourne Business Park Cambourne Cambridge CB23 6EA

t: 03450 450 500 f: 01954 713149 www.scambs.gov.uk



South Cambridgeshire District Council

18 July 2017

To: Councillor Robert Turner, Portfolio Holder

Anna Bradnam Opposition Spokesman

Philippa Hart and Graham Cone Scrutiny and Overview Committee

Monitors

Bridget Smith Opposition Spokesman Ingrid Tregoing Opposition Spokesman Aidan Van de Weyer Opposition Spokesman

Dear Sir / Madam

You are invited to attend the next meeting of **PLANNING PORTFOLIO HOLDER'S MEETING**, which will be held in **SWANSLEY ROOM A AND B - GROUND FLOOR** at South Cambridgeshire Hall on **WEDNESDAY**, **26 JULY 2017** at **2.00 p.m**.

Yours faithfully **Beverly Agass** Chief Executive

Requests for a large print agenda must be received at least 48 hours before the meeting.

AGENDA SUPPLEMENT

PAGES 1 - 652

3. South Cambridgeshire Local Plan - Review of Local Green Space following the Inspectors' interim findings

The report contains two Appendices – A and B. Appendix A itself has six Appendices. Of these, Appendices 5 and 6 are listed separately on the website. Appendix 6 is on the website only (Visit www.scambs.gov.uk and click on The Council > Councillors Minutes and Agendas).



SITE ASSESSMENTS Agenda Item 3

Appendix 6 Detailed Assessment Proforma

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)	Land	d north of Almond Grove Bar Hi				
Is the site already protected by	y an	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	Area;				
Important Countryside	Fron	tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	No recent or current app	lications.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Amenity area within a re	sidential a	rea	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prop	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 193) with the council's assessment (in 2013):				
			d by Parish Council. Area rea of housing. Recomme for LGS.			
		Proposed landowned	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 er Consultation in which a on this site.	.013 and in	the 2014	
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Sch of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summa representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:				
		support a	ondent was Bar Hill Parish and commented:			
		Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar and they respect and value these green areas of play relaxation. They have been in use for residents since inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly green spaces, located close to local people p				

Site reference	Name of Sit	e	Parish		
NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)	Land north	of Almond Grove	Bar Hill		
	a rang includi	Increased social activity Increased physical activity Improvements to children's Improved community cohes	learning sion and sense of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ambe	•	a network of informal Hill. Amenity area within ome contribution to the f the village. Although in the village and contribut it is only moderately lins several mature tree of and is not enclosed.	e t tes es	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Ambe	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am housing estate. Howeve described as having a pabeyond that role which wenhanced level of protects.	nenity area within a r, it could not be articular local significan yould warrant the	ıce	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is an inform housing estate and does remoteness and quiet co	not provide a feeling o	of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		of	
Should the site be recomme	ended for de	signation as Local Green S	Space? No		

Site reference NH/12 – 1 (0.31 Hectares)		me of Site Parish nd north of Almond Grove Bar Hill				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wo change this			
		Details: A	menity area within a resid	dential area	a.	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Grassland within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Green Reasons: Amenity area within a residential are important to the area's character.			
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)	Land	d east of A	Acorn Avenue	Bar Hill		
Is the site already protected by	•	•	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	No recent or current appl	ications.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Amenity area within a re	sidential a	rea	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?			Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGA 194) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of informal playspace				
			rea of housing. Recomme			
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation and a representation was received on this site.				
		of assess	was therefore addressed in sment for PPF meeting 10/ tations for the Local Greer	/03/2015 –	summary of	
		The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented:			/ho	
	Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits,					

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)	Land east of	Acorn Avenue	Bar Hill	
	IIIbelonging	 including: Increased social activity Increased physical activity Improvements to children's learning Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging Attractive places to live, work, play, visit. 		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	Reasons: Forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. Amenity area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides relief from the urban form of the village and contributes to the setting and views, it is only moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is enclosed by hedgerows and contains several mature trees at its south end and a footpath to help form connections across this residential area of the village. However it lacks distinctive, aesthetically pleasing features. It is not of 'particular local significance'.		y area within a bution to the e. Although it of the village iews, it is only site that is as several footpath to esidential area active,
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	^r Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significant beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		within a out to be all significance out the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is an information housing estate and does remoteness and quiet co	not provide	e a feeling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is an network of I. It makes and amenity of eational gh level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 2 (0.19 Hectares)		e of Site d east of Ac	corn Avenue	Parish Bar Hill	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?	Is the site undeveloped?		Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: A	menity area within a resid	dential area	a.
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
•	Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		s: Grassland within a residential area makes some ion to the character and amenity of the village.		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- 4	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area within a residential area important to the area's character.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)	Land	d north of	Appletrees	Bar Hill		
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected				
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;			Village Amenity Area	
 Important Countryside 	Fron	tage.			Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current app	olications.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Amenity area within a re	esidential a	rea	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS195) with the council's assessment (in 2013):				
			d by Parish Council. Area rea of housing. Recomme for LGS.			
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation, a representation was received on this site.				
	The site was therefore addressed in appendix C of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – su representations for the Local Green Space const 2014:				summary of	
		The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented:				
and rela ince			Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)	Land north of	I north of Appletrees Bar Hill			
a ranginclud		Increased social activity Increased physical activity Improvements to children's learning Improved community cohesion and sense of			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Forms part of open spaces within Bar residential area makes character and amenity of provides relief from the	f a network of informal Hill. Amenity area within a some contribution to the of the village. Although it urban form of the village etting and views, it is only he site is moderately arrow grassy site that as and contains several sed by hedgerows and it lacks distinctive, eatures. It is not of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area within a housing estate. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significant beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Reasons: It is an inform housing estate and does remoteness and quiet of	s not provide a feeling of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the sit suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area which forms part of a network of informal open spaces within Bar Hill. It makes some contribution to the character and amenit the village and has an informal recreational function but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high ba set by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recommo	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green	Space? No		

Site reference NH/12 – 3 (0.67 Hectares)		e of Site	Appletrees	Parish Bar Hill			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?		Green	Site is within development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this				
		Details: A	menity area within a resid	dential area	a.		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.				
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r area, allotment or playir		area / amenity		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			area, anotificiti of playir	ig noids			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Central amenity area wit	hin a resid	lential area.		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of						
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	(Red	Details: Site not in a tra	anquil locat	tion.		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	: Central amenity area within a residential area.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Central amenity area within a residential area important to the area's character.				
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes		

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 4 (1.07 Hectares)	Villa	ge Green Bar Hill					
Is the site already protected by	y an	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected					
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	Area;			Village Amenity Area		
Important Countryside	Fron	tage.			Amenity Area		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	No recent or current app	lications.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Village green				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.						
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?			Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council					
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Pa (site LGA196) with the council's assessment (in 2013 Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Importa of open space within the village used by local commu and valued. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the test LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 201 Landowner Consultation, a representation was received this site.					
	The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014: The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who commented: Sites of great value on lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly						

Site reference	Name of Si	te	Parish		
NH/12 – 4 (1.07 Hectares)	Village Gre	en	Bar Hill		
		Increased social activity Increased physical activity Improvements to children Improved community con	and economicy by classification in the seconomic in the	ic benefits,	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Ambe	to the character and a	Reasons: Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is not of 'particular local significance.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ı.cu	Reasons: No historica this site.	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Greei	space for the village, a	Reasons: The village green is a central open space for the village, and is linked in function to the Recreation Ground. It is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red		Reasons: The village green is an active location, and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does no wildlife.	ot have a div	ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The village gree is the central open space for the village, which contributes to the character and amenity of the village. It is linked in function to the Recreation Ground and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recomme	ended for de	esignation as Local Gree	n Space?	Yes	

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 5 (3.17 Hectares)	Recr	ecreation Ground Bar Hill				
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted	LDF?	Protected	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;			Village	
 Important Countryside 	Fron	tage.			Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current app	olications.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the villag- site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?			Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprair A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 20' identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 (site LGA197) with the council's assessment (in 20 Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Recr value to local community. Recommendation in 201 the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the Elandowner Consultation, a representation was recommended.				
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented: Especially large area which support football teams, village events and schools playing area. These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents				

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 5 (3.17 Hectares)	Recr	eation Gr	ound	Bar Hill	
		since the	inception of Bar Hill from	1966.	
		close to le	aces, particularly natural gocal people provide a rangental and economic beneforeased social activity	e of social	· ,
		• In	creased physical activity		
		• In	nprovements to children's	learning	
		belonging			
Describe site have a godievia			ttractive places to live, wor		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Recreational ground and allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is large, treelined and centrally located and does enhance the rural character of the village and provides relief from the built form in a residential area. The site moderately visually attractive with a well maintained grassy playing field, park for walking, a line of different types of trees at the perimeter providing semi-enclosure. It does not offer long views of locally valued landmarks or other features. It is not of 'particular local significance.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: It does have a providing a formal pitch a social club. As the primar ground it is 'of particular I community.	rea and a y village re	sports and ecreation
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: It is a village redoes not provide a feeling quiet contemplation.		•
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground provides a formal pitch area and a spor and social club. It is the primary village recreating ground it is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.		e recreation and a sports age recreation icance' and inity,
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)		me of Site Parish nd north of Little Meadow Bar Hill					
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current appl	ications.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons area	s: Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
Is the site in close proximity	to	Reasons Green	sons: No				
the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS198) with the council's assessment (in 2013):					
		Submitted by Parish Council. Informal area of grassland within a housing estate which provides open space. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.					
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 Landowner Consultation, a representation was received or this site.					
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:					
		These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966.					

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)	Land north of	Little Meadow	Bar Hill	
	close to lenvironm Ir Ir Ir Ir Ir	paces, particularly natural glocal people provide a rangmental and economic benefacreased social activity acreased physical activity approvements to children's lapproved community cohesing	e of social its, including earning ion and se	l, ng: nse of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Red	Reasons: Small amenity residential area makes or to the character and ame Although it provides relief the village and contribute not contribute to views aconly moderately attractive mature trees but it is rath enclosed.	nly a limite nity of the from the us to the secross the vertical the site.	d contribution village. urban form in etting, it does illage and it is contains 3
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It is a small are support only a limited information with However, it is not of 'parti	ormal ame in a housir	nity and ng estate.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is an information housing estate and does remoteness and quiet con	not provid	e a feeling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not be wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a small amenity area within a limited recreational function to the characteristic village. It does not warrar protection provided by LC set by the criteria in the N	s LGS. The aresidenction and noter and another the high GS, given to	e site is a tial area. It has nakes a limited nenity of the level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 6 (0.07 Hectares)		e of Site I north of L	ittle Meadow	Parish Bar Hill			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Green Site is undeveloped, and does not hat permission for new development which change this				
		Details: Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.					
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields				
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Site does not he function in the village or the village.				
land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		area make	Small amenity area of gres only a limited contributing the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity area of grass within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.					
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes		

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 7 (1.06 Hectares)	Land	d south of	Viking Way	Bar Hill			
Is the site already protected by	y an	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected					
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	Area;			Village Amenity Area		
Important Countryside		tage.			Amenity Area		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current app	olications.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.				
		Reasons residentia	: Grassland between an al area.	industrial e	state and a		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?			If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prop	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS199) with the council's assessment (in 2013):					
		Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA which comprises of an area of grassland with mature scattered trees. This is part of the green network in Bar Hill. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.					
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 landowner Consultation which led to a representation.					
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:					
	These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents						

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish			
NH/12 – 7 (1.06 Hectares)	Land south o		1		
,		e inception of Bar Hill from 1966.			
	close to environn II III III belongin	Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including: Increased social activity Increased physical activity Improvements to children's learning Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging Attractive places to live, work, play, visit			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Amenity area located between an industrial estate and a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village. Although it provides a soft edge to west side of the village and relief from the Vikir Business Park and contributes to the setting ar views, it is only moderately attractive. It is a lor narrow grassy site that contains an abundance colourful mature trees and a footpath. Howeve lacks any other features and is not enclosed. It not of 'particular local significance.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreating providing an informal amenity are housing estate. However, it could described as having a particular I beyond that role which would was enhanced level of protection providing.	a within a not be ocal significance rant the		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Reasons: It is an informal ameni housing estate and does not provremoteness and quiet contemplate	ide a feeling of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a dividlife.	iversity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an amenity area located between a industrial estate and a residential area. It has a limited recreational function and makes a limite contribution to the character and amenity of th village. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high baset by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green Space?	No		

Site reference NH/12 - 7 (1.06 Hectares)		e of Site	/iking Way	Parish Bar Hill		
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?	Is the site undeveloped?		Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wo change this			
		Details: Gresidential	rassland between an ind area.	ustrial esta	ate and a	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	Э	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: residential	Grassland separating an area.	industrial	estate and a	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Site important terms of the separation industrial use			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		and a resid	Grassland located between the detection of the community of the village and amenity of the village	contribution		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	nquil locat	ion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland located between an industrial estate and a residential area.			ustrial estate	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Important am residential and industria		e separating	
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectares)	Allo	otments, south of Saxon Way Bar Hill					
Is the site already protected b	y an	existing de	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No		
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	Area;					
Important Countryside Frontage.							
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current app	lications.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons village	: Allotment area on the we	estern peri	phery of the		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	s: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council					
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2	was assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence power identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS 200) with ent (in 2013):	naper for L in the Issu	.GS) March les and		
		Submitted by Parish Council. Important recreational facility for the village used by the local community as allotments. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.					
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation					
		Schedule summary consultat Council v	e was therefore addressed in appendix C - ule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – ary of representations for the Local Green Space ration 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish I who were in support and commented:				
		giving ho	t area of great importance me grown produce, health people doing this activity.	•			
			nanced opportunities for wi and with the balancing po				

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectares)			outh of Saxon Way	Bar Hill		
		adaption eg flood alleviation.				
		live in Ba of play ar since the Green sp close to I	es are of great value on the respect and relaxation. They have be inception of Bar Hill from eaces, particularly natural gocal people provide a ranguental and economic benefit	value these value these value the seen in use 1966. If yeen space of social	se green areas for residents es, located	
			ed social activity			
			ed physical activity ements to children's learnir	\ a		
		-	ed community cohesion an	•	holonging	
		-	e places to live, work, play		belonging	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Red	Reasons: Allotment area periphery of the village ac area makes only a limited character and amenity of	on the we djacent to a	a residential on to the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an allotment are be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	ea. Howev particular role which	er, it could not local would warrant	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the allotments remoteness and quiet couthe extent that would war of protection provided by	s provide s ntemplation rant the er	ome feeling of n but not to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises an area of allo periphery of the village ac area. It makes only a limit character and amenity of it's location provides some and quiet contemplation, high level of protection prothe high bar set by the critical suitable.	s LGS. The timents on discent to a ted contributing the village in the feeling of the times and to vided by	e site the western a residential ution to the and, although f remoteness t warrant the LGS, given	
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectare)		e of Site	uth of Saxon Way	Parish Bar Hill		
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: A village.	Details: Allotment area on the western periphery of trillage.			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 8 (1 Hectare)		ne of Site tments, south of Saxon	Way	Parish Bar Hill	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	development framework of the				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: Views towards west and north with no settlement nearby.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty with whether a frontage exists and view merits protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommo	Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				

Site reference NH/12 – 9 (2 Hectares)		lame of Site Parish and south of Saxon Way Bar Hill					
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	No recent or current appl	ications.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
			: Triangular wooded area periphery of the village	with grass	land on the		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 201) with the council's assessment (in 2013):					
		Submitted by Parish Council. Triangular wooded area wit grassland. There are public rights of way following the boundary. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.					
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation					
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish Council who were in support and commented:					
		Offer enhanced opportunities for wildlife habitats and wildlife corridors and with the balancing pond offer climate change adaption e.g. flood alleviation.					

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 9 (2 Hectares)		south of			
	These sites are of great value on the lives of people who live in Bar Hill and they respect and value these green areas of play and relaxation. They have been in use for residents since the inception of Bar Hill from 1966. Green spaces, particularly natural green spaces, located close to local people provide a range of social, environmental and economic benefits, including: - Increased social activity - Increased physical activity - Improvements to children's learning - Improved community cohesion and sense of belonging - Attractive places to live, work, play, visit				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Triangular wood on the southern periphery to a residential area contrand amenity of the village	of the villation of the	age adjacent
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing a large area of accessible rural, in nature land. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		rural, in e described nce beyond
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Green	Reasons: Located on the the village, the area's rura feeling of remoteness and	al characte	r provides a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Amber	Reasons: Woodland area biodiversity function. How particular local significant	ever, it is	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	triangular wooded area with grassland on the southern periphery of the village. Located adjacent to a residential area contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the area's rural character provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Gree	ame of Site reen areas bordering each side of Ba e perimeter road					
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame		_	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Important Countryside	•						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	No recent or current applications.				
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
			: Green space part of the ure / masterplan and henc				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: Yes - Remove area in Green Belt and designate remainder as ICF					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisa Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Mar 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS 203) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Green area surrounding village part of the green infrastructure of the master planning of the settlement and integral to the village. The area outside of the Green Belt meets the test for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: The area of this land that is outside of the Green Belt meets the tests for LGS and should be included in the local plan. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a represent					
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The respondent was Bar Hill Parish					

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 10		een areas bordering each side of Bar Hill				
(5.57 Hectares)		erimeter		Sai Tiiii		
(Cross resolution)		Council v	who were in support and co	mmented:		
		Offer enhanced opportunities for wildlife habitats and wildlife				
		corridors	and with the balancing po			
		adaption eg flood alleviation.				
		live in Ba of play ar	es are of great value on th r Hill and they respect and nd relaxation. They have b inception of Bar Hill from	value these green areas een in use for residents		
		close to le	aces, particularly natural gocal people provide a rangental and economic benef	e of social,		
			ed social activity			
			ed physical activity			
		•	ements to children's learning	•		
		-	ed community cohesion an	• •		
		- Attractiv	e places to live, work, play	/, visit		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	to the setting, character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge to the south side of the village and contributes to the setting, it does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is a long, narrow site that contains a complete covering of colourful mature trees. However it lacks any other distinctive features. It is not of 'particular local significance.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: Green space p Infrastructure / masterpla the village. However, it is has a 'particular local sign heritage.	n and hence integral to not considered the land		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red	Reasons: The land has r	no recreational value.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: The land is ad peripheral road and there provide a feeling of removement contemplation.	fore cannot be said to		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not be wildlife.	nave a diversity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises green space willage's Green Infrastructhence integral to the villa contribution to the setting	s LGS. The site hich is part of the ture / masterplan and ge. It makes some		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Name of Site Green areas bordering each side of the perimeter road	Parish Bar Hill	
	of the village but does no of protection provided by set by the criteria in the N	LGS, give	
Should the site be recomm	No		

Site reference NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Gree	ne of Site en areas bordering each side of perimeter road Parish Bar Hill					
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?							
			Details: Green space part of the village's Green Infrastruture / masterplan and hence integral to the village				
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of							
•	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?						
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.					
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 10 (5.57 Hectares)	Gree	ne of Site en areas bordering each perimeter road	Parish Bar Hill			
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?						
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: Views towards Dry Drayton but with no settlement nearby.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: Uncertainty with whether a frontage exists and view merits protection.			
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommodorn Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No		

Site reference		ne of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 11 (0.14 Hectares)	Chui	rch Close	Nature Reserve	Barton		
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	enity A	rea;				
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Unofficial Nature Reserv	e.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with	
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS002) with the council's assessment (in 2013):				
		Submitted by Parish Council. Treed area adjacent to the church. Currently used by parish as a nature reserve. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.				
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.				
		schedules summary consultate Council von The Pariowns and be a greet question had been	was therefore addressed in e of assessment for PPF of representations for the ion 2014. The respondent who were in support and coish Council put forward this d is currently a nature rese on asset to the village. How which we have thought of a intended originally that the as an extension to the chu	meeting and Local Green was Barto commented as green are rive because wever, there at a very late area could be area.	en Space n Parish : ea which it se it was felt to e is one ate stage. It	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 11 (0.14 Hectares)		Name of Site Parish Church Close Nature Reserve Barton			
this still be permissible in a ' Local Green Space' ?					ce' ?
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	Reasons: Nature Reserve contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Red	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: Centrally located, the small nature reserve provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Green	Reasons: Nature reserved biodiversity function and is significance' to the local of	s also 'of p	oarticular local
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)		e of Site s Close		Parish Barton		
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	Amenity Area				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		area. Coi	s: Small amenity area of gr ntributes to the street scen of residential properties			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) No. 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS003) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This is grassy area with mature trees on the southern bound with Comberton Road. Adds rural character to village site is already within a larger area of PVAA that extereastwards alongside the Comberton Road. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS.			nes and cil's This is a no boundary o village. The at extends	
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation				
	Schedul e summary	was therefore addressed in e of assessment for PPF of representations for the ion 2014.	meeting 1	10/03/2015 –		
	Representations were made - rep numbers 64889, 64916 and 64976. Rep 64889 (Mrs Marjorie Ray Pratt) made no					

Site reference		of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)	Hines	Close		Barton	
		site in the Alistair M designati already c Rep 6497	s but was in support of the Local Plan. Rep 64916 wasserella) who was in support in the Local Plan becautovered by several other local Was from I B Kingston was support of the LGS design.	as from Cloort of the se "No obcal parish who made	RFS Ltd (Mr LGS jections as it is covenants". no comments
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar ,	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area of makes some contribution amenity of the village. Alt edge to the north west side contributes to the setting it does not contribute to very and it is only moderately approvides an attractive grassouth side by a line of malacks any other distinctive is not of 'particular local's	to the chathough it possible of the voor residentiews acrossattractive. ssy site enture trees a features.	rracter and rovides a soft illage and tial properties, as the village The small site nclosed on its . However, is it
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar F	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar ,	Amber	Reasons: It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate.		nity and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar r	Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area best Comberton Road and does not provide a few of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		vide a feeling
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar F	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended f	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 12 (0.13 Hectares)		e of Site		Parish Barton			
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Green Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which change this				
			Details: Small amenity area of grass within a residential area. Contributes to the street scene and setting for a number of residential properties.				
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a		
Criteria tests for PVAA	Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a rearea, allotment or playing	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenit			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			area, anothrent or playin	ig neids			
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Green space integral to the village.					
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important	to village c	haracter.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Green space makes some cont character and amenity of the village.			ition to the		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	nquil locat	tion.		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland located beside a road.					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.					
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					Yes		

Site reference NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)		ne of Site Ourn Way South Parish Bassingbourn					
Is the site already protected by					No		
 Protected Village Ame 	•	•					
Important Countryside Frontage.							
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plant	ning permis	ssion		
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.				
			: Small amenity area of gresidential area	rass with s	some trees		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	:: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prop	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v	was assessed in the Sust Appendix 5 (Evidence passes identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G04) with the control of the con	paper for I n in the Issu	L GS) March ues and		
		Open publically accessible land. Located either side of Elbourn Way on eastern edge of village. Need to conside each side of road separately since village framework boundary follows the line of the road. Land south of the is adjacent to a wooded area and within the village framework. It is open grassland near to housing. Meets criteria for both PVAA and LGS.					
		Council recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS. The 2013 consultation drew 4 supports and no comment for option G04.					
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to a representation.					
		The site	was therefore addressed	in append i	ix C -		

Site veference	Mana	f Cit-		Daviek	
		e of Site	South	Parish	hourn
NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)	EIDO	urn Way S		Bassingl	
		summary consultat support fi who com they wan	e of assessment for PPF of representations for the ion 2014. One representation Bassingbourn-cum-knownented that: It to reiterate their support in the original consultations.	Local Greion was re eesworth I	en Space ceived in Parish Council
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Small amenity residential area. Its open the street scene. Although from the urban form at the and contributes to the set properties, it does not conthe village and it is only not small grassy site is only sides by mature trees and space adjacent to the wood However it lacks any other is not of particular local sides.	ness does h it provide e north eas tting of res ntribute to noderately semi-enclo d provides oded area er distinctiv	contribute to es a break st of the village idential views across attractive. The sed on 2 of its an open to the west. ve features. It it
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	r	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	r	Amber	Reasons: It does have a an informal open space in However, it could not be particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LC	n a housing described ce beyond enhanced	g area. as having a that role
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r	Red	Reasons: It is an information housing estate and does remoteness and quiet control of the state	not provide	e a feeling of
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	cal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a small amenity area within a limited amenity and recomakes some contribution amenity of the village. Howarrant the high level of pLGS, given the high bar sNPPF.	s LGS. The aresident reational for the chapter, it do not be considered to the chapter of the constant of the	e site is a tial area. It has unction and tracter and loes not provided by
Should the site be recomme	nded	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 13 (0.22 Hectares)		e of Site ourn Way S	outh	Parish Bassing	bourn	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village? Is the site undeveloped?		Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wo change this			
			mall amenity area of gras	ss with son	ne trees	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?			Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r area, allotment or playir		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			area, anothrent or playing holds			
the village or for this particular part of the village?			Small amenity area of gr penness does contribute			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of			Details: Site does not he function in the village or the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Grassland within a resident to the character and an			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil loca	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland located beside a road.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	•	Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's	
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	Elbo	ourn Way North Bassingbourn			bourn	
Is the site already protected b	y an	existing de	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons area	: Grassland with a playare	ea beside a	a residential	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G01) with the council's assessment (in 2012):				
		Elbourn \ each side boundary	olically accessible land. Lower way on eastern edge of vilute of road separately since of follows the line of the road illage framework so could	lage. Need village frand d. Land no	d to consider nework orth of road is	
		for LGS. Recreational value to community since grassy a including formal play equipment.				
		Council r LGS.	ecommendation in 2013: I	nclude in l	ocal plan as	
		The 2013 consultation drew 4 supports and one comment for option G01.				
		Proposed	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 ndowner Consultation whic tation.	013 and th	iere was a	
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03, summary of representations for the Local Green Sp. consultation 2014. One representation was receive				

Site reference	Name o	f Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)	Elbourn	ourn Way North Bassingbourn			oourn
	wh the	no comi ey want	rom Bassingbourn-cum-kneesworth Parish Council mented that: It to reiterate their support for the inclusion of this made in the original consultation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		mber	Reasons: Amenity area residential area makes so character and amenity of provides a break from the east of the village and coresidential properties, it oviews across the village a attractive. The small grassides by hedgerows and plus a children's play are other distinctive features local significance.	with a play ome contributes to does not condition on the condition of the	coution to the counting at the north of the setting of contribute to by moderately enclosed on its on open space rit lacks any
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ır Re	ed	Reasons: No historical sthis site	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ır Ar	mber	Reasons: It does have a including a formal childre it could not be described local significance beyond warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	n's play ard as having I that role w	ea. However, a particular vhich would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ır Ar	mber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the grassland some feeling of remotene contemplation but not to warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	with play a ess and qui the extent	area provides iet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır Re	ed	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	ed	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a small amenity area with a the northern periphery of residential area. It has a recreational function, may to the character and amenthe location in a remote a feeling of tranquilly. How attributes are sufficient to protection provided by LC set by the criteria in the N	as LGS. The application play area the village limited ame kes a limited area providever, none o warrant the LGS, given the	e site is a located on beside a enity and ed contribution village, and es some of these he high level of
Should the site be recomme	ended fo	r desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)		e of Site ourn Way N	orth	Parish Bassingl	bourn		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is outside of development framework.				
Is the site undeveloped?							
		Details: G	rassland with a play area	beside a	residential		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of							
	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?						
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?							

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 14 (0.80 Hectares)		ne of Site ourn Way North		Parish Bassingbourn		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	development framework of the			e site is outside the ent framework.		
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: Views towards north and east with no settlement nearby.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)		e of Site une Way		Parish Bassing	bourn	
Is the site already protected by			esignation in the adopted L		No	
Protected Village Ame	•	•				
Important Countryside	•					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons area	: Grassland with a playare	a within a	residential	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v	was assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option Part 2 (site G02) with the	aper for L in the Issu	.GS) March les and	
		Recreation including	blically accessible land adjoinal value to community single formal play equipment on amework. Meets criteria for	nce grassy edge of vi	/ area llage. Within	
		Council recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.				
		The 2013 consultation drew 6 supports and no commen option G02.				
		Proposed	was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 20 Indowner consultation which	013 and th	ere was a	
		The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 — summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. One representation was received in support from Bassingbourn-cum-kneesworth Parish Council who commented that:				

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)	Fortune Way		Bassingl	oourn
		t to reiterate their support for nade in the original consult		usion of this
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ar Amber	residential area. Its openness and landsca boundaries do contribute to the street scer makes some contribution to the character amenity of the village. Although it provides edge at the north east of the village and contributes to the setting of residential propat Fortune Way, it does not contribute to viacross the village and it is only moderately attractive. The small grassy site is only ser enclosed on its north and east sides by mattrees for which it provides a setting and it pan open space plus a small area with child play equipment. However it lacks any othe distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. not of particular local significance.		et scene, and racter and rovides a soft and tial properties te to views erately only semistry by mature and it provides th children's ny other
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber Amber	Reasons: Site is within the However, it is not consider 'particular local significant	red the la	nd has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, including a formal children's play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection proving LGS.		ea. However, a particular which would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a central a provide a feeling of remote contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with a play area within a resident area. Its openness and landscaped boundaries contribute to the street scene and it has a limite amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is an a residential boundaries do has a limited dowever, it rotection
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 15 (0.18 Hectares)		e of Site une Way		Parish Bassing	bourn	
Is the site within the development of the village?			Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have plan permission for new development which would change this			
		Details : G	rassland with a playarea	within a re	esidential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ig fields		
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Enclosed play area with beside a residential road.		for children to	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character			site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Enclosed play area with grassland for children to run about beside a residential road. Its openness and landscaped boundaries do contribute to the street scene.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil loca	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Enclosed play area and grassland beside a residential road.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Enclosed pla beside a residential road	•	d grassland	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The I	Rouses		Bassing	bourn
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No			
Protected Village Ame	enity A	rea;			
Important Countryside		itage.			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Large grassed field.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par original s	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
		Reasons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) Identified Option 3 field and adjoins h Ford Wor public. A giving ac Playing F to the pla close to a of village Council F LGS. The 2013 comment The only Council, field – no for future	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence powers identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G03) with the 3 part 2 (site G03) with the 3 part 2 (site G03) with the 4 part 2 (site G03) with the 5 part 2 (site G03) with the 6 part 3	aper for L in the Issu council's consultationsists of an SO Spring L and east. T d Trust are f this south he village. ere is to be ng the site. buildings. could not be Include in ports, 1 ob bridgeshire t all the tes te is highly the option	ies and assessment on as Site on agricultural cane. The site of the site adjoins of the site is outside on a step of the site is outside of the site of the site is outside of the site of

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The Rouses	Bassingbourn
	green, tranquil area and used for in forms part of the setting of Listed E Conservation Area. Site meets the	Buildings and the

The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and the 2013 submission consultation led to:

Support LGS from 71 respondents. Comments included: Open access including informal paths leading to Ford Wood, Willmott playing field and South End. Setting for listed buildings. Undisturbed meadow area. Rich in wildlife. Development of site would harm character and appearance of historic part of village. Surviving relic of village's manorial / field system. Site of Rowses manor house, recorded as vacant 1589. Valuable village amenity – used by many for informal recreation / meeting place / dog walkers. Green space near centre of village. Additional recreational land needed by Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth.

The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. Site was addressed in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014:

The representation (rep no.64932) from Cambs County Council was objecting and proposed amending the boundary and commented that:

Of the three tests in NPPF the site fails on the second and third.

The site is a featureless agricultural field and the Council has not shown that the site is 'demonstrably special' to the community or of particular local significance.

The site is well located within the village and has development potential. A LGS designation would prevent development which is inconsistent with national policy on enabling sustainable development.

Part of the site is considered to be an extension to the playing fields, benefiting the village and can be designated a LGS.

The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan with the exception of the area of land shown on the attached plan.

Council Response in 2015:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The Rouses	Bassingbourn

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 28-9 where the following question was considered: SC4C.vi.

Should the boundary of the LGS designation at The Rouses, Bassingbourn be amended to exclude the land between Clear Farm and Knutsford Road which sits immediately to the south of the playground and Riverdale House and extends southward to the point where the overall site widens considerably to the west? Would this area, which is an agricultural field, meet the criteria for designation as a LGS particularly in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? Would the designation of this parcel of land be consistent with achieving sustainable development in the area? (NH/12-016)

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the three issues included within it:

- a. Should be the boundary of the Rouses LGS be amended?
- b. Would this area which is an agricultural field meet the criteria?
- c. Would designation be consistent with achieving sustainable development?

The Rouses LGS (NH/12-016) was proposed by the Bassingbourn Parish Council as they considered the site is valued by the local community as a green, tranquil area and that it is used for informal recreation. The site forms part of the setting of listed buildings and the conservation area and is part of the historic core of the village. During the Proposed Submission consultation 71 respondents supported the inclusion of the whole site as a LGS.

The full assessment of this site is in the note on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of Local Green Space.

An objection has been received to this LGS from the owners of this land who are Cambridgeshire County Council. The County Council has proposed an amended boundary and was considered in the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS – Appendix D.

Bassingbourn Parish Council has submitted further detailed evidence to support the designation of this LGS (See Appendix 4). The PC has set out why this LGS meets all the criteria for being a LGS. It is demonstrably special to the local community reflected by the fact that some 70 respondents supported its inclusion in the Local Plan. It has a long history of being used as a meeting place for the local

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The Rouses	Bassingbourn

community.

Should the boundary be amended?

The suggested amendment to the LGS boundary described in the above question is not that proposed by the objector. The objector's proposal is to exclude the whole of LGS NH/016 – the Rouses LGS and to allow the land currently leased by the County Council to the Parish Council, immediately to the south of the Bassingbourn Recreation Ground to remain in LGS NH/018. The objectors recognise that the village benefits from this leased land as these forms an extension to the current recreation ground. The land which is proposed for exclusion from the LGS is an agricultural field which the objector does not consider meets the criteria for being a LGS.

The Council do not consider that the boundary should be amended as the Rouses LGS is valued by the local community as is set out in Bassingbourn PC's statement in Appendix 4. It forms a important green edge to the village bringing countryside up to the built form of Bassingbourn and alongside the two adjoining LGS of the Recreation Ground and Ford Wood is an important part of the village that if developed would have an adverse impact on the setting of both the wood and recreation ground.

Would this area meet the criteria for LGS as it is an agricultural field?

Bassingbourn Parish Council submitted the larger site as they considered that it had value as an informal area for recreation and is a green, tranquil area. The Inspector examining the Local Plan 1993 considered the land at Clear Farm to be wholly open in character and that development of this land would be harmful to the character and appearance of this part of the village.

The whole LGS forms an area open in character which is valued by the local community and if developed would alter the character of this edge of Bassingbourn impacting on both the adjacent LGSs to the north and south. The PC considers that the Rouses has a particular local significance due to its tranquillity, its recreational use and its historic setting. It is close to the high street but retains a quiet charm. Footpaths cross the site and are well used by local residents for walks and dog walking. Due to its limited size it has rarely been used for agricultural purposes. It is the site of one of the original manors in the village and is close to a number of listed buildings providing a historic setting.

Would the designation of this land be consistent with achieving sustainable development in the area?

The site proposed by the representor for exclusion from the LGS is outside of the village framework in both the adopted

Site reference	Name	e of Site			Parish	
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The I	Rouses			Bassingbourn	
		be suitab			and therefore would not nan as a rural exception	
		(Site Opti 201273 v developr for a hou addresse in the pla sustainal	le site was included as tion 39) in the Issues at where it was considered and potential. The site ising allocation in the Sed in paragraph 117 about an will not prevent the could be development strate ment needs.	nd C d as was Subm ove, coun	Options consultation in a site with limited is rejected as an option hission Local Plan. As the designation of LGS cil from delivering a	
		sites with developr designat	in their supporting evidenin the village have continent and that the site site ion would not in itself been in Bassingbourn. (Se	ne fo shoul se a l	orward for housing ld remain as LGS. Its barrier to development	
		The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances had changed.				
		The site overhead located be designated both, but my observes controlled the controlled to the control	rs' Interim Findings Ma e 016-The Rouses, Ba is essentially a fairly land d power line running dia between two of the other ions (NH/12-017 and 0 is materially different in rvations, there is nothing of this site which would egard to the criteria set	rge g agon er pro 18) a n cha ng de mer	grassed field with an ally across it. It is oposed LGS and is contiguous with aracter to them. From emonstrably special in it the LGS designation,	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	provides a soft edge of village and contribute properties at South E and Spring Lane. It do across the village and attractive. The open a Wood to the south wharea open to the publicant of this southern be access to this rural edge semi-enclosed by hed distinctive aesthetical	ne constant sy of at the stoom of the second it is agriculated and the second sy of the sec	ontribution to the the village. Although it e north east of the the setting of residential street, Knutsford Road not contribute to views only moderately cultural field adjoins Ford is a Woodland Trust	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The Rouses		Bassingl	bourn
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		the Inspectors interim findi	ings.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is located near to a nulisted buildings, and adjoins the Conserva area. The Parish Council have also highli historic connections with a village manor, the site has historic connections, it does not the high bar of having a 'particular local significance'.		nservation highlighted nanor. Whilst does not pass
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: A public right of way runs along part the southern boundary, and there is a public rig of way through part of the field linking to the recreation ground. Parish report it is well used to dog walkers. However there is no formal usage this field for recreation.		s a public righting to the swell used by
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the grassed fie feeling of remoteness and not to the extent that would level of protection provided	eld provide quiet cor d warrant	es some ntemplation but the enhanced
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not hawildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: The Inspectors conclusions regarding this considered the evidence s consider that the site warrand No compelling evidence the for designation as LGS. The on the southern edge of the has some value to the villate village character and the solisted buildings and the adjurant access to the countryside of However, this field itself do particular local significance level of protection provided high bar set by the criteria	site. Have submitted anted LGs nat the site is a ne village setting of a ljoining could to walue, pand links nees not have to warrad by LGS	ting they did not S status. e is suitable a grassed field which clearly ibuting to the a number of onservation providing to Ford Wood. eve a ant the high , given the
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	<u> </u>		No

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)	The	Rouses		Bassingl	bourn
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	mework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: La	arge grassed field		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previous	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 16 (2.39 Hectares)		e of Site Rouses		Parish Bassingbourn	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	e	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		into the open hat a link is retained gins and surroundings. If open rural views from the he frontage protects the	
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.	
Should the site be recommodular countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 17 (2.23 Hectares)	Ford	Wood		Bassing	bourn	
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No				
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		age.	age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	Site does not have planning permission		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Local wood			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with	
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Wood ma village. O volunteel Woodlan Public for Meets the the tests The site v Proposed	anaged by the Woodland Toreated in 1995 when trees are in grass field as part of the Fund project. Well used to the tests for LGS. Recomment for LGS. Was included as a Local Glass Submission Local Plan 20 and owner Consultation which	aper for L in the Issue the council rust on so a planted e the Cambri by local co boundary ndation in reen Spac	ides and les and les and les and les assessment leuthern edge of antirely by lidgeshire formunity. If of woodland. 2013: Meets le in the lere was a	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Wooded area character and amenity of			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Green	Reasons: The Woodland as: A volunteer-planted w			

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 17 (2.23 Hectares)	Name of Sit	e Parish Bassing	bourn	
Recreational value		field maple, part of Ford Wood is an old orchard and large hedgerows enclose the trees. A well used path runs through the wood and numerous paths link small grassy glades. It is of 'particular local significance' to the local community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Green	Green Reasons: Located on the southern periphery of the village, the wooded area's character provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Ambe	Reasons: Woodland area will have a wildlife / biodiversity function. However, it is not 'of particular local significance'.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. A wooded area located on the southern periphery of the village, planted by volunteers in 1995. It contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, has a wildlife and biodiversity function, as well as providing a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for de	signation as Local Green Space?	Yes	

Site reference	Name of S			Parish	
NH/12 – 18 (2.51 Hectares)	Recreation	n Gr	ound	Bassing	bourn
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Gree	en	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Oice	en	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Reas	sons	s: Recreational ground		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each origin	par nal s	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	s to be refe	renced with
	Reas	sons	- T		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gree	en	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gree	n	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anne 2014 Optic asse This which local meet The Control 1000 PVA local The South representation of the South repres	ex A It v It v	was assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site PVAA01) with ent (in 2012): The recreation ground within already a PVAA. It has recommunity. The site is already e test for LGS. Incil's Recommendation in sit meets the test for LGS in as LGS. In was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 2 indowner Consultation which tation. In was addressed in appendent for PPF meeting 10/6 in tations for the Local Great as a representation in supplement for PPF meeting 10/6 in tations for the Local Great as a representation in supplement for PPF meeting 10/6 in tations for the Local Great as a representation in supplement for presentation in supplement for support for the incite their support	in the Issue the council the can be in the can be continued by the can be co	edule of summary of consultation assingbourn at they

Site reference NH/12 – 18 (2.51 Hectares)	Name of Si Recreation		Parish Bassingl	hourn
1411/12 - 10 (2.51 Hectales)		in the original consultation.	Dassingi	bourn
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty			the charac t through it s to the set d offers lon	ter and s beauty as it ting of ng views
local significance due to its:		Reasons: Site is within the However, it is not consider particular local significant	ered the la	nd has a
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value			Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	Preserved Reasons: It is a village reprovides some feeling of contemplation but not to warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	remotenes	ss and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signidemonstrably special to twarranting the high level LGS.	is LGS. The age recreatificance' an the commu	e recreation tion area and nd inity,
Should the site be recomme	ended for de		pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 19a (0.23 Hectares)	Hall	ame of Site all Close Playground (inside Village oundary)				
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;				
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	Ü	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons area.	: Informal play area on the	e edge of a	a residential	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS006) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Important informal play spaces for younger children. Mown grass with wellestablished trees along northern boundary which forms of Riddy Lane which is a County Wildlife site. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representati were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split Site 19 into two halves. Site 19a is now the area inside to village boundary.			ies and cil's mal play mal play mell-mich forms part Meets the epresentations	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 19a (0.23		yground (inside Village	Bourn	
Hectares)	Boundary)	.yg. cana (molac timage		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Amber		Reasons: Informal play area with mature trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Although it provides a soft edge at the south west of the village and contributes to the setting of residential properties at Hall Close and the Bourn Church of England Primary School. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It's a grassy area with a small amount of children's play equipment. The site is dominated by attractive mature trees which provide semi-enclosure on 3 sides with one side running parallel to Riddy Lane (a public right of way) but lacks any other distinctive features. It it is not of particular local significance.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a play area with mature trees adjacent to a residential area. It has only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and does have a recreational function and does not warranthe high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 19a (0.23 Hectares)		ne of Site Close Play	ground	Parish Bourn	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	en Site is undeveloped, and does not have plar permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: In	formal play area on the e	edge of a re	esidential area
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Large area of informal open space in a residential area.			
	Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the character the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Large area of grassland in a residential area.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity.
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Located on the western periphery of the village beside a residential area			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important, tranquil amenity space on the edge of the village.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	Hall	ne of Site Parish Close Playground (outside Bourn age Boundary)				
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	ırea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons area.	: Informal play area on the	edge of a	a residential	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split Site 19 into two halves. Site 19a is now the area inside village boundary. 19b is the area outside the village boundary to the north of 19a. For information for site considerations through plan making for site 019b, pleas refer to that for site 019a (above) when it was part of the larger site.			rea inside the village for site 19b, please	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Informal play a makes some contribution amenity of the village. Alt edge at the south west of contributes to the setting at Hall Close and the Bou Primary School. It does no across the village and it is attractive. It's a grassy are of children's play equipmed dominated by attractive in provide semi-enclosure or running parallel to Riddy way) but lacks any other is not of particular local signal.	to the chathough it pough it p	aracter and rovides a soft e and tial properties of England ute to views derately small amount ite is es which with one side ublic right of features. It it	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	Hall (Name of Site Hall Close Playground (outside Village Boundary) Parish Bourn			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal play area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: It is a small informal play area on the edge of the village and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a pla area with mature trees adjacent to a residential area. It has only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and does have a recreational function and does not warra the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPI		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

Site reference NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)		ne of Site Close Play	Parish Bourn		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: In	formal play area on the e	edge of a re	esidential area
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Amber	Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Amber	Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- (Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 19b (0.35 Hectares)	Hall	ne of Site Close Playground (outs ige Boundary)	Parish Bourn		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: Views towards west are over open fields and towards north include farm buildings.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)	Hall Close Gr					
Is the site already protected b	•	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame Important Countries	•					
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane						
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Green Site related to the village and not extensite is not made up of a variety of difference uses/character areas.				
	Reasons	s: Grassland within a reside	ential area	l		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pai	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	s: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Green Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	reen Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options assessm Submitte spaces if trees alc The Cou plan as I The site Propose	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS007) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Important informal play spaces for younger children. Mown grass with very few trees along the edge. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	Reasons: Amenity area of makes some contribution amenity of the village. It is urban form at the south we contributes to the setting at Hall Close. It does not across the village and it is attractive. It's a small graphirch trees and includes it bays on the north and so	to the charcovides a byvest of the of residen contributes only modessy site coresidents' (aracter and break from the village and tial properties to views derately ontaining 2 car parking		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Name of S	Site	Pa	arish	
NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)	Hall Close	e Green	В	ourn	
		trees are the o and the appea presence of ca particular local	rance of the si	site is ma ing bays	arred by the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No this site	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	T Amb	providing an in housing estate described as he beyond that ro enhanced level	formal amenit However, it of aving a particular which would	ty area v could no cular loca ld warrai	within a bot be al significance on the
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		housing estate	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		e a feeling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site wildlife.	does not hav	ve a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	suitable for des small amenity a limited amen makes some of amenity of the warrant the hig	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for o	designation as Loca	al Green Spac	ce?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 20 (0.12 Hectares)		e of Site Close Gree	en	Parish Bourn	
Is the site within the developed framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	vork
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wou change this		
		Details : G	rassland within a residen	tial area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
•	Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
the village or for this particula			Large area of informal or area.	oen space	in a
	Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to the village.	•	
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Large area of grassland	in a reside	ential area.
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Large area of grassland	in a reside	ntial area.
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference		of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 21 (1.72 Hectares)	Jubile	ilee Recreation Ground Bourn				
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	•					
Important Countryside		age.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	,	Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	I	Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	(Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS008) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Significant value for recreation use as only area for outdoor sport. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Recreational grant contribution to the character village. The site enhance village as it is positioned at the north end of the vill setting of surrounding resoffers long views through and beyond the settlement are no views of locally varies features. The site is visual maintained grassy playing	eter and and and a the rural close to the lage. It additions the village and to the notally attractions.	nenity of the character of e entry point ds to the uildings and e to the south orth but there narks or other ve with a well	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Name of Si	te	Parish		
NH/12 – 21 (1.72 Hectares)	Jubilee Red	creation Ground	Bourn		
		a line of different types of provide an enclosed space local significance.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1104	Reasons: No historical s this site	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Greer		Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	that provides some feelin quiet contemplation, but i	Reasons: It is a large village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided b LGS.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)		Name of Site Pa			
Is the site already protected by	-			Bourn DE2	No
Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted	LDI:	140
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Plann			Cita daga nat baya nlan	-!	-:
Permission for an alternative use?	mig	Green	Site does not have plan	ning permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Grassland adjacent to E	Bourn Brook	ζ
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No - Site has already been reduced in size			
		following concerns raised by farm who owned part of the site.			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	n In regular use by local community		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS009) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Used by walkers (especially the dog walking community) and has become an informal meeting place; it is also a very important flood plain for the village. Beautiful area of green space valued by community Meets the tests for LGS. The Council Recommendation in 2013 was Include in location as LGS.			
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and there was a 2014 Landowner Consultation which led to representations. The site was addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014: – a summary of reps from Saunderson & Co for rep			
		numbers 64899; 64900; 64901; and 64910 is mentioned below. There was also a rep from an individual (James			

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish			
NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Camping Close	Bourn			
Davies) for rep 64904 whose comments are summarised as					

Davies) for rep 64904 whose comments are summarised as "The proposed Local Green Space designation for land adjacent to Camping Close Bourn does not comply with the NPPF and supporting NPPG and should be removed. A failure to amend the plan and the proposals map on this basis will render the local plan unsound. The respondent is aware of the submission by the owners of Camping Close and fully supports their objections and proposed revised boundary for the Local Green Space designation".

The representations received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014 were from Saunderson & Co. Rep numbers. 64899; 64900; 64901; and 64910. They objected for these 5 reasons:

- 1. Boundary put forward by Parish Council is inaccurate and if designation is not removed it should be amended.
- 2. Scale of proposed site is unreasonable (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF)
- 3. Land does not meet criteria of Local Green Space designation in NPPG.
- 4. Land already protected as within conservation area and flood plain, curtilage of two listed buildings and has two rights of way (protected under Highway Act 1980)
- 5. Not sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound.

In appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015, the Council Response in 2015 with recommended change where appropriate:

The site was originally proposed by Bourn Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The landowners are concerned that the extent of the LGS designation is contrary to the guidance in the NPPF. The land is already protected as it is within the conservation area and in the flood plain. The owners consider that by having this designation on their land it could impact on the future uses they may wish to carry out affecting the economic viability of their farm. They are supportive of the LGS policy but have taken the opportunity during the consultation to submit a revised boundary for the site. If the boundary is not amended they have requested that the whole LGS designation be removed from their land. The main area of special character is the open area adjacent to Bourn Brook which is a well used local route for informal recreation. The wider open field objected to by the landowner has less special character. A smaller site would be more acceptable to the landowners who are supportive of the policy but not the scale of the original LGS proposed for their land. The Parish Council is supportive of the amendment.

Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Camping Close, Bourn LGS to include just the area adjacent to Bourn Brook.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Camping Close	Bourn

Site was addressed specifically in **Matter SC4 paper** (November 2016) on pages 31-32:

SC4C.vii - Would Camping Close, Bourn meet the designation criteria for LGS given its overall size, its agricultural nature and the protection which is already afforded to the site through its relationship to designated heritage assets including a conservation area? In this regard, should consideration therefore be given to amending the boundary so as to designate a smaller parcel of land in close proximity to Camping Close? (NH/12-022)

The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. The site was originally proposed by Bourn Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The owners of this site during the targeted landowner consultation in 2014 expressed their concern at the extent of the LGS designation believing it to be contrary to the guidance in the NPPF. They stated that the land is already protected as it is within the conservation area and in the flood plain. The owners considered that by having this designation on their land it could impact on the future uses they may wish to carry out affecting the economic viability of their farm. They are supportive of the LGS policy but took the opportunity during targeted consultation to submit a revised boundary for the site. If the boundary is not amended they have requested that the whole LGS designation be removed from their land.

The main area of special character is the open area adjacent to Bourn Brook which is a well used local route for informal recreation. This smaller area clearly meets the tests used by the Council for LGS designation and reflects the criteria provided by the NPPF. The wider open field objected to by the landowner has less special character. A smaller site would be more acceptable to the landowners who are supportive of the policy but not the scale of the original LGS proposed for their land. The Parish Council is supportive of the amendment. (See Appendix 4).

In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the boundary of the site of the Camping Close, Bourn LGS to include just the area adjacent to Bourn Brook (see Note to the Inspectors on the Targeted Consultation with Landowners of LGS). This is proposed as a modification to the Policies Map.

The Council has proposed to amend the boundary of the LGS and has met the objectors concerns. This modification was submitted to the inspectors on 5 July 2016 with the note about the targeted consultation with landowners.

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)	Camping Clos	se	Bourn		
	support amended the target	Bourn Parish Council has submitted further evidence of their support for this site meeting the tests as a LGS but with the amended boundary put forward as a modification following the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS. (See Appendix 4).			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Amber Reasons: Grassland beside Bourn a contribution to the character and a village. It provides a break from the the north east of the village and consetting of Manor farm but does not of the setting of residential properties. contribute to views across the village moderately attractive. It features a vimaintained grassy area and public for neatly enclosed by hedgerows but la distinctive features. It it is not of participations.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The western part of the site is within the Conservation area and the curtilage of two listed buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to it heritage.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing a well used informal amenity through public rights of way beside Bourn Brook. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located beside Bourn Brook, the orgrassland provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent the would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the le community and of particular I significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises grassland best partly within the conservation of two listed buildings and the character and amenit as providing informal recorded remoteness and quiet conducted by LGS, given the criteria in the NPPF. [Not previously amended (in New York 1997]	is LGS. The site side Bourn Brook. It is ation area and curtilage d makes a contribution to by of the village, as well reation and a feeling of intemplation. However, it is level of protection the high bar set by the site - this site was		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)			Parish Bourn		
	smaller area close to Bourn Brook]				
Should the site be recomm	No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site Pa				
NH/12 – 22 (1.40 Hectares)	Cam	ping Close		Bourn		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framewor			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: G	rassland adjacent to Bou	rn Brook.		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?			Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar		Reasons:				
where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame	nitv					
of the village or for this partic						
part of the village?						
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 22 (1.4 Hectares)		ne of Site		Parish Bourn	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
•	Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
			Reasons: No discernable views.		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		into the open hat a link is retained gins and surroundings. If open rural views from the he frontage protects the	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recomme Countryside Frontage?	d for designation as Imp	ortant	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)		ne of Site Parish ess to Camping Close Bourn			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Agricultural field / meado	W.	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS010) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Access to Camping Close has wildlife significance because its hedges support a population of White-Letter Hairstreak butterflies. Meets to tests for LGS. The Councils Recommendation in 2013 will Include in local plan as LGS. The site was includes as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a result			
		the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:			
		The respondent supports the proposed local green space over their land. Land has been in ownership of Haggers family for generations. The respondent is the last surviving member of the family and desires that after she has gone the community will benefit from the land. Believe the land			

Site reference	Name	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)	Acces	s to Can	nping Close	Bourn	
		has particular local significance because of its beauty, tranquillity and recreational value.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Makes a contribution to the rural setting of the village and provides a break from the urban form at the north east of the village an contributes to the setting of residential properties to the south. It does not contribute to views across the village and it is only moderately attractive. It is grassy land enclosed by trees and hedgerows but it lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. It is not 'of particular local significance'.		break from he village and tial properties o views lerately I by trees and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar F	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site		attached to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: There is a public right of way through this site, providing access to the Bourn Brook. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		urn Brook. as having a that role
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: Located close open grassland provide s remoteness and quiet cor the extent that would war of protection provided by	ome feelin ntemplation rant the er	g of n but not to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar <i>p</i>	Amber	Reasons: Hedgerows contain White-Letter Hairstreak butterflies, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		t warrant the
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	sial to the local particular local perfore suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site matching evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS.		e site makes a nenity of the and a feeling tion. It's alue. However, protection		
Should the site be recomme	ended f	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)		e of Site	Parish Bourn		
Is the site within the development framework of the village? Is the site undeveloped?		Red	Site is outside of develo		nework.
		Details: A	gricultural field / meadow	,	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 23 (0.36 Hectares)		ne of Site ess to Camping Close		Parish Bourn		
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. I the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		into the open hat a link is retained gins and surroundings. If open rural views from the ne frontage protects the		
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		Name of Site Recreation Ground			to.
NH/12 – 24 (5.77 Hectares)				Caldeco	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•	•			
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		reen	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	G	reen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Re	easons	: Recreational ground.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	ea	ich part iginal s	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	s to be refe	renced with
	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gr	Green Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gr	Green Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ar 20 Op (in Th co co Th loc Th sit	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G05) with the council's assessment (in 2012): The sports field is located on the western edge of the village outside of the village framework and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. It has recreational value to the local community. Site meets test for only LGS. The Council Recommendation in 2013 was to include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS. The site was includes as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		nber	Reasons: Recreational ground contribution to the characteristic village. The site enhance village, providing a soft endevelopment and borders plantation and County Wiews through the village and is fairly visually attraction.	eter and and and set the rural dge to the set the Buck ildlife site.	nenity of the character of residential et Hill wood It offers longs th and east

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 24 (5.77 Hectares)	Recreation Gr	ound	Caldecot	e
		maintained grassy playing walking, a line of mature to on 3 of its sides provide a space. It is not 'of particular to be a space.	rees, at th semi-enc	e perimeter losed open
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical si this site	gnificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular lo community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a village reprovides some feeling of a contemplation but not to the warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	remotenes he extent	s and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient quali suitable for designation as ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signif demonstrably special to the warranting the high level of LGS.	s LGS. The ge recreaticance' and ne commu	e recreation tion area and ad nity,
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Lanc	ame of Site and north of Jeavons Lane, north of Cambour lonkfield Way			rne	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	There are current applications but these are for discharge of conditions for the wider area rather than specific to the site (Plot 4010 at the business park and Parcels 5A,5B and 5C) and the original Cambourne application from 1992.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			: Small amenity area of gr pond beside a residential		rees with a	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	n Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Monkfield Sustaina paper fo in the Iss council's This is an accessib wildlife. In the criter The cons site in the The cour plan as L The site in Proposed were rece A represe	d north of Jeavons Lane, red Way, Cambourne site wand bility Appraisal Annex Ar LGS) March 2014. It was ues and Options 2 Part 2 (assessment (in 2012): In area of grassland with tred le to the public. Having a part is within the village and a first being a PVAA. Soultation in 2013 drew 7 sure plan as LGS. Incil recommendation in 2016. In Submission Local Plan 2 deived on this site.	as assesses a Appendix is identified (site G06) where and a pond it has adds character prorts for 13 was Incorreen Space (013, no remis site as	x 5 (Evidence I as an option with the pond that is a value for cter. It meets including the lude in local e in the presentations a result of the	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of	Cambourne
	Monkfield Way	

addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

In their rep 64934, MCA Developments Limited state: Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:

- Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations:
- Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance';
- Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken and as such consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties:
- Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.

The Council's Response in 2015:

The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter** SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33:

SC4C.viii.

Would the LGS designations relating to (a) Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way, Cambourne (NH/12-025). (b) Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School. Cambourne (NH/12-26), (c) Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane, Cambourne (2) (NH/12-027), Land east of Stirling way, Cambourne (NH/12-028), (d) Land east of Sterling way, North of Brace Dein, Cambourne (NH/12-029). (e) Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane, Cambourne (NH/12-030), (f) Land east of Greenbank,

Site reference					
NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)					

Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way

Parish Cambourne

Cambourne (NH/12-031), (g) Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street, Cambourne (NH/12-032) (h) Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane, Cambourne (1) (NH/12-033) (i) Land north of Great Common Farm, west of Broadway, Cambourne (NH/12-034), (j) Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne (NH/12-035) and (k) Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space, Cambourne (NH/12-036) meet the designation criteria for LGS as the LGS sites are, in most cases, extensive tracts of land and the defined development frameworks already afford protection against future development?

Each of these sites in Cambourne have been assessed individually to review if they meet the test for designation as LGS. The Council has considered that once assessed unless there are new issues raised during the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS carried out in 2014 that affect the assessments of these sites or where it can be shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the sites designated should remain in the plan.

The master planning of Cambourne as a new settlement included as a fundamental part of its design the inclusion of a network of green spaces which link the three villages that together form Cambourne. These green areas are a combination of land with different characteristics from village greens to allotments, from the bridleway that surrounds Cambourne to play areas and recreation grounds. The NPPG states that new residential areas can include green areas that were planned as part of the development, and where they are demonstrably special and hold particular local significance could be designated as LGS. The Parish Council submitted this green network as being space that it valued by the local community as it is an integral part of the character of this relatively new settlement.

Objections to some of these sites have suggested that it would be more appropriate if some were identified as Protected Village Amenity Areas (NH/12- 025; 028; 029; 030; and 035). The Council has not designated any additional PVAAs in the emerging Local Plan as it is intended that existing PVAAs will be reviewed and tested to see if they meet the criteria for LGS when the Local Plan for the district is next reviewed. PVAA designation will be superseded by the new LGS policy.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Lanc	north of	Jeavons Lane, north of	Cambourne
	Mon	kfield Wa		
			s' Interim Findings March	
			anding the additional infor ent 3) and the Cambourne	•
			95) provided to the Inspect	
		following	the hearing, they are still of	of the view that the large
		•	us tracts of land identified a	
		•	encircle the village (particul create a conflict with the 3	•
		paragrap	h 77 of the Framework. Fu	rthermore, the PPG
		-	ce ID 37-014-20140306] st	
			on of open countryside adj propriate. In particular, de	
		•	l as a 'back door' way to tr	•
			o a new area of Green Bel	
		of PVAA.	onsideration could be giver	n to possible designation
Does the site have a particula	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area	with pand within a
local significance due to its:		Allibei	residential area makes so	•
Beauty			character and amenity of	_
			provide a break from the residential area of the vill	
			the setting of residential p	
			and east sides (Jeavons	Lane), and north side
			(Monkfield Lane). It does across the village and it is	
			attractive. It is grassy land	
			are a few scattered young	g trees across the site
			but it lacks any distinctive features. It is not 'of partic	• • •
Does the site have a particular	ar	Dod	•	
local significance due to its:	A1	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to
Historical significance				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Red	Reasons: The land has r	no recreational value.
Recreational value				
Does the site have a particular	ar	Red	Reasons: It is an informa	al amenity area in a
local significance due to its: Tranquillity			housing estate surrounde	
rranquinity			provide a feeling of remote contemplation.	teness and quiet
Does the site have a particular	ar	Amber	•	d so therefore has some
local significance due to its		Amber	Reasons: Site has a pon value for wildlife, but it do	
Richness of wildlife			enhanced level of protect	
CONCLUSION: Is the site	_	Red	Reasons: No compelling	evidence that the site is
demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular I			suitable for designation a	s LGS. The site is an
significance, and therefore	ocai		amenity area with pond with at makes some contribution	
suitable for designation as LC	SS?		and amenity of the village	
			wildlife. However, it does	not warrant the high
			level of protection provide	ed by LGS, given the

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Land	Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way			Parish Cambourne	
		high bar set by the criteria in the N			PPF.	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?					No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 25 (0.19 Hectares)	Land	Name of Site Land north of Jeavons Lane, north of Monkfield Way Parish Cambourne					
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is within development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?		Green					
			mall amenity area of gras		es with a		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields				
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: drainage p	Small amenity area of groond.	ass with tr	ees with a		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Small amenity area of groond contributes to the ch				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	\ of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.		
this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	surrounde	s: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estated by roads and does not provide a feeling of ess and quiet contemplation.		-		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	a supports	the village's		
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Land south of Primary School	and south of Jeavons Wood Cambou				
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	None		
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	There are current applications but these are for discharge of conditions for the wider area rather than specific to the site (Plot 4010 at the business park and Parcels 5A,5B and 5C) and the original Cambourne application from 1992.				
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reasons	s: Local wood with a path a	round the	perimeter		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	assessed Appendi identified (site G07 This is a footpath within an characte Council F as LGS. The cons site in the Proposed were rece No repre-	d south of Jeavons Wood d in the Sustainability App x 5 (Evidence paper for L as an option in the Issues of with the council's assess wooded area accessible to through it. It provides an operation of the urban area. It is within the recommendation in 2013 versue plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 20 elived on this site. Sentations were received of council appendix C - Schere Schere in appendix C - Schere in the Sustainability appendix C - Schere in the Sust	praisal And CGS) Marcon and Option ment (in 2 the public portunity evillage and eing a PV was: Include poorts for the Space of this site 14. The site of the CGS in this site of the CGS in th	ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 012): c with a for wildlife od adds AA. de in local plan including the e in the presentations as a result of e is therefore		

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)		Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School Cambourne			
`		for the Lo D - Sche 10/03/20 The site SC4 Exa 32-33: So Inspector Notwiths Supplem (May 199 following contiguor virtually e and 035) paragrap [Referen- designati not be ap proposed amount t above, co of PVAA.	cocal Green Space consultant dule of assessment for Factors. was specifically addressed mination Statement (Nove C4C.viii. See site NH/12 - and site of the second of the	d in the Council's Matter vember 2016) on pages 25 above. 2017 mation (SC4/SCDC- Master Plan Report ors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which larly NH/12-033 Brd bullet point of urthermore, the PPG tates that "blanket jacent to settlements will esignation should not be by to achieve what would it by another name". As in to possible designation	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	the village. It provides a late form at a central point in to setting of the residention the south and west sides Wood Primary School to	character and amenity of break from the urban the village. The site adds al buildings surrounding of the site and Jeavons its east. It does not s the village and it is only s heavily populated with site but it lacks any distinctive aesthetically	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing a small woodla However, it could not be particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LO	nd in an urban area. described as having a ce beyond that role enhanced level of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: The area prov remoteness and quiet co the extent that would wan of protection provided by	ntemplation but not to rant the enhanced level	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Parish Land south of Jeavons Wood Primary School		rne	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber Amber	Reasons: Woodland are biodiversity function, but enhanced level of protect	it does not	warrant the
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreational function, provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, as well as having some value for wildlife. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a contribution to lage, has an des some ntemplation, as fe. However, it rotection
Should the site be recomme	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 26 (0.41 Hectares)	Land	ame of Site and south of Jeavons Wood imary School Parish Cambourne				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green				
		Details: L	ocal wood with a path ard	ound the pe	erimeter.	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е		Details:	1		
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of			Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small wooded area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Wooded area which cont ity of the village.	ributes to	the character	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tranquillity			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity				of two roads.	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Wooded area character and amenity of			
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	nge	Yes	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)			ecreation Ground,	Parish Cambou	rne
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Ar	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?		Amber	An application for a new sports centre (S/6427/07/RM) was approved in Sept 2007. There are several recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlie applications for the wider area rather than being specific to this LGS si		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Small open space adjace a of open space	ent to spor	ts hall and
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties and village areas		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		assessed Appendi identified (site G08 Small are behind th public. The Cour plan as L The cons site in the No repres the Land not addre for PPF i for the Lo	sultation in 2013 drew 6 su e plan as LGS. sentations were received of Owner Consultation in 20 essed in appendix C - Sch meeting 10/03/2015 – sun ocal Green Space consultandule of assessment for F	raisal Anna GS) Marco and Option ment (in 2 in village for and accessors for this site 14. The site 15. The site 16. The s	nex A ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 012): ramework essible to the aclude in local including the as a result of the is therefore assessment epresentations or appendix

Site reference	Name of Sit	e	Parish			
NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)	Cambourne Back Lane	Cambourne Recreation Ground, Cambourne Back Lane				
	Inspectors Notwith Supple (May 1 following virtuall and 03 paragr [Reference design not be proposed amour services of the serv	tors' Interim Findings March that and ing the additional informet (a) and the Cambourne (a) provided to the Inspecting the hearing, they are still (a) uous tracts of land identified (a) encircle the village (particular) or the Framework. Further (a) (b) create a conflict with the (a) aph 77 of the Framework. Further (a) (b) articular (b) ation of open countryside ad (a) appropriate. In particular, de (a) as a 'back door' way to the consideration could be given.	wember 2016) on pages ove. 2017 mation (SC4/SCDC- Master Plan Report ors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which larly NH/12-033 3rd bullet point of urthermore, the PPG tates that "blanket lijacent to settlements will esignation should not be by to achieve what would lit by another name". As			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ambe	contribution to the character village. The site only make to the setting and views of does not make a particular character and amenity of	cter and amenity of the kes a modest contribution of local features and so ar contribution to the the settlement through of visual attractiveness as reness and enclosure. It			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance attached to			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am	•			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	Reasons: It is adjacent to recreational ground that premoteness and quiet count the extent that would was of protection provided by	provides some feeling of ntemplation, but not to rant the enhanced level			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a area forms a part of the roof particular local signification.	as LGS. The amenity recreation ground and is			

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 27 (0.15 Hectares)			Parish Cambou	rne
	special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recomm	Yes			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		me of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Land	east of S	Sterling Way	Cambou	rne	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		ige.				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Numerous recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area and there is also the application for 950 dwellings approved in October 2011 (S/6438/07/O) for the wider area.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			: Small open space north as trees and a piece of pu		ea of open	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	•	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			renced with	
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	O Green Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Sustaina paper for the Iss council's Triangula informal of Cambour separated a PVAA. The Courplan as Lathe consiste in the The site were received.	deast of Sterling Way site ability Appraisal Annex Ar LGS) March 2014. It was use and Options 2 Part 2 (assessment (in 2012): ar areas of open space nor open space within village from. It forms part of this larged only by a small road. It have of public art. It meets the ce of public art. It meets the ce of public art. It meets the plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Gray Submission Local Plan 2 elived on this site.	Appendix is identified (site G09) with of the lateramework ger green has trees place criteria; and the	x 5 (Evidence I as an option with the arge area of of Upper informal space lanted within it for being clude in local including the e in the presentations a result of the	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Land east of Sterling Way	Cambourne

addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

In their rep 64937, MCA Developments Limited object. Not designate as LGS. In order to be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be PVAA. They state: Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:

- Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations;
- Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance';
- Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken and as such consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties;
- Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.

The Council's Response in 2015:

The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area. This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii – see site NH/12 - 25 above.

Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017

Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of

Site reference	Name of Site)	Parish	
NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)	Land east of	Sterling Way	Cambou	rne
	[Refere designa not be a propose amount above,	paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	makes some contribution amenity of the village. The visually attractive with a sopen land which has tree piece of public art. It is not and the site is not very with a some contribution.	Reasons: Play area within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is only moderately visually attractive with a small area of grassy open land which has trees planted within it and a piece of public art. It is not especially distinctive and the site is not very well enclosed. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an play area. H described as having a pa beyond that role which w enhanced level of protect	lowever, it irticular loc ould warra	could not be cal significance ant the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a play are adjacent to a large cricke designated as PVAA. Do of remoteness and quiet	t pitch alre	eady vide a feeling
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	have a div	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the si suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a area within a residential area. It contributes to character and amenity of the village and it ha limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high baset by the criteria in the NPPF.		ne site is a play of the stributes to the e and it has a netion. gh level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	ignation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 28 (0.13 Hectares)		e of Site	erling Way	Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green				
			Details: Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art.			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a r area, allotment or playir		area / amenity	
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small open space north of large area of open space. Has trees and a piece of public art.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	space. Ha	Small open space north strees and a piece of pul and amenity of the village	blic art, coi		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	anquil locat	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Amenity area within a residential area			rea	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Land	Name of Site Land east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein			rne
Is the site already protected b • Protected Village Ame • Important Countryside	enity Are				None
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Numerous (over 30) recently approved and current applications for discharge of conditions for earlier applications for the wider area and there i also the application for 950 dwellings approved in October 2011 (S/6438/07/O) for the wider area.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Large informal play area equipment.	with large	green area
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	(Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	i () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	The Land east of Sterling Way, north of was assessed in the Sustainability Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS identified as an option in the Issues and (site G10) with the council's assessme This is a large area within the village for Cambourne. Provides informal green is Cambourne having large green area parand public art located on it. It meets the PVAA. The Council Recommendation in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 6 supposite in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 were received on this site.		Appraisa AGS) Marc and Optic ment (in 2 e framewo en space fo a plus play to the criter on in 2013 poports for	al Annex A ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 012): ork of Upper or Upper or equipment ia for being a 3 was include including the
	l I	A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Land east of Brace Dein	Sterling way, north of	Cambourne	
	for the append 10/03/2 In their suggest village PVAA.	Local Green Space consultix D - Schedule of assess to 15. The properties of the second of the secon	ents Limited Object and be consistent with other d be designated as	
	The Cocurrent next redesignate village. see if the form part of the community of the commun	uncil's Response in 2015: Juncil has not identified new draft Local Plan. It is the Coviews its planning policies to ation for protecting valued graft of a neighbourhood plannities to decide within their ewas previously assessed go the tests for LGS and them are that circumstances have ches of the opinion that the site in the plan.	ouncil's intension when it of consider having one reen spaces within a last would be assessed to S. This review could also making process for local local area. By the Council as refore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council designation should	
	SC4 Ex	s was specifically addressed amination Statement (Not SC4C.viii – see site NH/12 -	vember 2016) on pages	
Dogo the cite have a remind	Notwith Supple (May 1st following contiguration of PVA)	Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements winot be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.		
Does the site have a particul local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Play area with makes some contribution amenity of the village. It urban form at a residenti Cambourne and adds to	n to the character and provides a break from the all area of Upper	

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)		east of S e Dein	Sterling way, north of	Cambou	rne
			buildings on its west side side (Mosquito Road) and Primary School on its sous site does not offer long viof Cambourne to the wes moderately visually attractarea plus children's player and public art. It is not es the site is not very well er 'particular local significant	If the Vine of the side. He was throught. The site with a sequipment pecially displaced. It	Inter-Church owever the gh the centre is only large green , a footpath stinctive and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical sithis site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal play not be described as havir significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	/ area. Hoving a particurole which	wever, it could ular local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a village re provides some feeling of contemplation but not to twarrant the enhanced level by LGS.	remotenes he extent	ss and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a area within a residential a character and amenity of limited amenity and recre However, it does not warr protection provided by LG set by the criteria in the N	s LGS. The rea. It conthe village ational function the high second contract the high second cont	e site is a play atributes to the and it has a action.
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 29 (2.3 Hectares)	Land	lame of Site and east of Sterling way, north of Brace Dein Parish Cambourne			
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green			
		Details: La	arge informal play area w ment	ith large g	reen area and
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Large informal play area with large green area and play equipment			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Informal play area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.			e character
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	ion.
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Play area and grassland beside a residential road.			residential
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS LOCAL GREEN SPACE IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)			Parish Cambou	irne	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmerImportant Countryside	nity Area;				
The site does not have Plannii Permission for an alternative use?	o Ordon	Several recently approve applications for discharge applications for the wider	of conditi		
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of land	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reasons	s: Play area within a reside	ntial area.		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	was asse Appendi identified (site G11 This site and there commun village fr The Cou local plan The cons site in the The site Propose were rec A repres Land Ow	d north of School Lane, we essed in the Sustainability ix 5 (Evidence paper for Id as an option in the Issues I) with the council's assess has the cricket pavilion an efore it valued as a recreative. It is located next to an eamework so could be consincil Recommendation in 20 n as LGS. Sultation in 2013 drew 6 sure plan as LGS. was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site. entation was received on the consider of a suppendix C - Schedular appendix C	Appraisa GS) Marco and Option ment (in 2 d a childred ional area existing Policy idered as a 013 was in pports for reen Space 013, no real	al Annex A ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 2012): en's play area by the VAA. Within a PVAA. aclude site in including the ee in the presentations a result of the therefore	
	addresse PPF med for the L	ed in appendix C - Schedueting 10/03/2015 – summa cocal Green Space consults. x D - Schedule of assess	lle of asseary of rep Itation 20	essment for resentations 14 and in	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	Land north o Woodfield La	f School Lane, west of ne	Cambourne
	designar PVAA. Tand 649 The Courrent of next revidesignar village. see if the form part community previous LGS and affect the circums opinion The site SC4 Examples of the courrent of the site of the course opinion.	rep 64939, MCA Developming as LGS. More appropriating as LGS. More appropriately repeat what they wrote 34 etc. See above. Incil's Response in 2015: Incil has not identified new draft Local Plan. It is the Considerate of the protecting valued graft and protecting valued graft and protecting valued graft and protecting valued graft of a neighbourhood planatities to decide within their and therefore unless new issued therefore unless new issued therefore unless new issued that the site designation should be assessment or it has been that the site designation should be assessed by the Council that the site designation should be a specifically addressed amination Statement (Nove	PVAAs in preparing the puncil's intension when it to consider having one reen spaces within a As would be assessed to S. This review could also making process for local local area. This site was it as meeting the tests for ues have been raised that ten shown that Council remains of the rould remain in the plan.
	Inspector Notwiths Supplen (May 19 following contiguo virtually and 035 paragra [Referent designat not be a propose amount	ors' Interim Findings March standing the additional informent 3) and the Cambourne 95) provided to the Inspect of the hearing, they are still ous tracts of land identified encircle the village (particular) create a conflict with the 30 or 77 of the Framework. Funce ID 37-014-20140306] stion of open countryside ad ppropriate. In particular, ded as a 'back door' way to trace to a new area of Green Beconsideration could be give	mation (SC4/SCDC- e Master Plan Report fors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which alarly NH/12-033 3rd bullet point of furthermore, the PPG tates that "blanket lijacent to settlements will esignation should not be by to achieve what would the by another name". As
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Play area with makes some contribution amenity of the village. Ho 'particular local significan	n to the character and owever, is it is not of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal pla	a recreation function, y area. However, it could

Site reference NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane Parish Cambou		rne		
		not be described as having significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro-	role which	would warrant	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity			Reasons: It is a play area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site is area within a residential area. It contributes character and amenity of the village and it h limited amenity and recreational function. However, it does not warrant the high level protection provided by LGS, given the high set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a play atributes to the and it has a action.	
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 30 (0.17 Hectares)	Land	ame of Site and north of School Lane, west of cambourne codfield Lane				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green				
		Details: P	lay area within a resident	ial area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a rarea, allotment or playing		area / amenity	
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: lay area within a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Reasons: Play area within a residential area makes sontribution to the character and amenity of the village			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	ion.	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Play area and grassland beside a road.		beside a r	eside a residential	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.			the village's	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area? Yes						

Site reference	Name	me of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 31 (1.02 Hectares)	Land	east of G	Breenbank	Cambourne	
Is the site already protected b	y an e	xisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Ar	rea;			
 Important Countryside 		age.			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Site is outside the village which is adjoining. Easy for		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties and nature reserve connected to village		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Sustaina paper for in the Iss council's These are framework considered the local Council Recomm The consiste in the Proposed were received. No represent the Land not address for PPF is represent.	d east of Greenbank site was billity Appraisal Annex Ar LGS) March 2014. It was uses and Options 2 Part 2 (assessment (in 2012): e allotments located outsider of Cambourne and there are as a PVAA. As allotments as a PVAA. As allotments as a PVAA. As allotments are determined as a PVAA. As allotments are plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Green as a Local Green as LGS. Was included as a Local Green as a Local Green as LGS. Sentations were received of Country Consultation in 20 as a Local Green appendix C - Schemetting 10/03/2015 - sur attations for the Local Green appendix D - Schedule of Loc	Appendix sidentified (site G12) de of the vietore could not they hast for only if ude in local pports for reen Space 013, no recont this site 14. The site nedule of a mary of sen Space	x 5 (Evidence I as an option with the illage I not be ive a value to LGS. Il plan as LGS. including the e in the presentations as a result of the is therefore assessment c consultation

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 31 (1.02 Hectares)	Lanc	l east of C	Greenbank	Cambou	rne
		meeting	10/03/2015.		
		SC4 Exa 32-33: So Inspector Notwithst Supplem (May 199 following contiguor virtually e and 035) paragrap [Reference designati not be ap proposed amount to above, co	was specifically addressed mination Statement (Nov. C4C.viii. See site NH/12 - 20 cs. Interim Findings March tanding the additional informent 3) and the Cambourne (15) provided to the Inspector the hearing, they are still of the hearing, they are still of the tracts of land identified a cencircle the village (particular create a conflict with the 3 choron of the Framework. Further the conformation of the particular, decorded as a 'back door' way to tract of a new area of Green Bellonsideration could be given	rember 20 25 above. 2017 mation (SC Master Pl ors as request Camboularly NH/12 Brabullet pointhermore rates that " ijacent to s signation s y to achieve	C4/SCDC- an Report uested that the large urne which 2-033 bint of , the PPG blanket ettlements will should not be we what would er name". As
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	of PVAA. Green	Reasons: Allotment area village within a country payonich contributes to the other village by providing a comprises large allotmen paths and clear definition lacks any distinctive encless.	ark (site re character a tranquil ar t gardens to the allo	f. NH/12-035c) and amenity of rea. The site with walking
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an allotment are be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	ea. Howev particular role which	er, it could not local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Green	Reasons: Located within ref. NH/12-035c), the allo feeling of remoteness and	tments pro	ovide some
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green Reasons: The site comprises an area of allotments within, and indistinguishable from th country park (site ref. NH/12-035c).			able from the
Should the site be recomme		l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of School Lane, west of Broad Street			Parish Cambourne	
Is the site already protected be Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar	ea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Recently approved or cur to discharge of conditions on the wider site.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up	
			: Grassed open space wit to residential area.	h seating a	and a footpath
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		was asse Appendix identified (site G13 This site around it. therefore and trees communi The coun plan as L The cons site in the Proposed were rece	sultation in 2013 drew 7 super plan as LGS. was included as a Local Gobies Submission Local Plan 20 served on this site.	Appraisal A S) March 2 and Option ment (in 2 with a new e village from as a PVAA anquil area of LGS. D13 was: I pports for reen Space 013, no re	Annex A 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 012): trim trial amework and . It has seating a for the local include in local including the e in the presentations
		No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore not addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations			

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)	Land		School Lane, west of	Cambourne
		D - Sche 10/03/20 The site v SC4 Exa	ocal Green Space consultandule of assessment for F15. was specifically addressed mination Statement (Nove C4C.viii. See site NH/12 2	PPF meeting In the Council's Matter vember 2016) on pages
		Notwithsi Supplem (May 199 following contiguou virtually e and 035) paragrap [Reference designation to be ap proposed amount to above, coof PVAA.		mation (SC4/SCDC-Master Plan Report ors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which larly NH/12-033 Brd bullet point of arthermore, the PPG states that "blanket jacent to settlements will signation should not be y to achieve what would to by another name". As in to possible designation
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Grassed area trees and earth bunds, co character and amenity of a tranquil area.	ontributes to the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: It has a recreat relaxation area with seats and it is of 'particular locations'.	s for the local community
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Green	Reasons: Shielded from and out of sight of roads, provides a feeling of remocontemplation.	the area's character
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a diversity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a grassed area, shielded freearth bunds. It contribute amenity of the village and buildings on three sides a provides a tranquil area weremoteness and quiet contribute.	s LGS. The site is a om roads by trees and s to the character and d being shielded from and away from roads it with a feeling of

Site reference NH/12 – 32 (1.3 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Broad Street	School Lane, west of	Parish Cambou	rne
		recreation function provid with seats for the local co 'particular local significan special, warranting the hi provided by LGS.	mmunity a	and it is of monstrably
Should the site be recomm	Yes			

Site reference NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne R Back Lane	ecreation Ground,	Parish Cambourne			
Is the site already protected by • Protected Village Ame • Important Countryside	nity Area;					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	Amber Amber	An application for MUGA and cricket pavilion (S/1155/14/FL) was approved in May 2014. Other than that, several recently approved or current applications relate to discharge of conditions for earlier applications on the wider site.				
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan	Gieen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reasons	: Recreational ground.				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	assessed Appendi identified (site G14 This site fields. The framework playing fields it he Cambour recomme The consiste in the Proposed were recomme	nbourne Recreation Ground in the Sustainability Applex 5 (Evidence paper for Let as an option in the Issues is adjacent to the Sports Control of the site of the vast majority of the site of the so could not be considered as recreational value to the substantian in 2013 was: Included as a Local God Submission Local Plan 20 deviced on this site.	coraisal And Coraisal And Option and Option ment (in 2) Centre includes outside or as a Property LGS. The idea in local apports for a special and the community LGS, and the coraisal apports for a special apports for a	chex A ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 012): uding playing of the village eVAA. As ity of e council's I plan as LGS. including the e in the presentations as a result of		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	Cambourne I Back Lane	Cambourne Recreation Ground, Cambourne Back Lane				
	for the L D - Sch 10/03/20 The site SC4 Ex 32-33:	meeting 10/03/2015 – sun ocal Green Space consulta edule of assessment for F 015. was specifically addressed amination Statement (Nov	PPF meeting d in the Council's Matter vember 2016) on pages			
	Inspecto	ors' Interim Findings March	2017			
	Notwiths Supplen (May 19 following contiguo virtually and 035 paragra [Referent designat not be a propose amount	standing the additional informent 3) and the Cambourne (95) provided to the Inspect of the hearing, they are still of the hearing (particular) create a conflict with the 3 ph 77 of the Framework. Further of the hearing of the hearing, they are still of the hearing of the	mation (SC4/SCDC - Master Plan Report ors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which larly NH/12-033 Brd bullet point of urthermore, the PPG tates that "blanket jacent to settlements will esignation should not be by to achieve what would it by another name". As			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Red	Reasons: Recreational glimited contribution to the of the village.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular community.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a large vill that provides some feelin quiet contemplation, but would warrant the enhan provided by LGS.	ng of remoteness and not to the extent that			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the keep community and of particular keeping significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signidemonstrably special to twarranting the high level	as LGS. The recreation age recreation area and ificance' and			

Site reference NH/12 – 33 (7.86 Hectares)	Name of Site Cambourne Recreation Ground, Back Lane			Parish Cambourne	
	LGS.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Land	Name of Site Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway			rne
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	The only current or recen discharge of conditions for		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up	
		Reasons	: Allotment area adjacent	to village b	ooundary.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		site was a A Appen was iden 2 (site G Allotmen outside of as a PVA communi The cour plan as L The cons site in the The site of Proposed were rece No repres the Land not addre for PPF i represer 2014, or	d north of Great Common assessed in the Sustainal dix 5 (Evidence paper for tified as an option in the Island) with the council's assests located on the eastern of the village framework so IA. As allotments they have tify. Site meets test for only incil's recommendation in 20 GS. Bullation in 2013 drew 6 super plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Great Submission Local Plan 20 Evived on this site. Sentations were received to Cowner Consultation in 20 Gesed in appendix C - Schemeeting 10/03/2015 - surespendix D - Schedule or 10/03/2015.	pility Appror LGS) Masues and Cosment (in side of Carcould not be a value to LGS. D13 was: In process for in this site in this site in the site in the site in the space in the site in t	raisal Annex arch 2014. It Options 2 Part 2012): Imbourne but be considered to the local include in local including the e in the presentations as a result of e is therefore assessment in consultation

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Land	e of Site I north of of Broad	Grean Common Farm, way	Parish Cambourne
		SC4 Exa 32-33: SO Inspector Notwithst Supplement (May 199 following contiguous virtually eand 035) paragrap [Referent designation to be approposed amount to	was specifically addressed mination Statement (Nove C4C.viii. See site NH/12 25 and the Earling March tanding the additional informent 3) and the Cambourne (15) provided to the Inspect the hearing, they are still of the stracts of land identified a central tanding the village (particular of the Framework. Future 1D 37-014-20140306] strong of open countryside addressed to a few area of Green Bellonsideration could be given	mber 2016) on pages 5 above. 2017 mation (SC4/SCDC- Master Plan Report ors as requested of the view that the large at Cambourne which larly NH/12-033 Brd bullet point of urthermore, the PPG tates that "blanket jacent to settlements will signation should not be y to achieve what would t by another name". As
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area village makes some contrained amenity of the village at the east side of Upper adds to setting of the resinorth side (Spitfire Road) Farm to the south. However offer long views through to the west. The site is or attractive with a large are which are symmetrical, which are symmetrical in the symmetrical i	ribution to the character e. It provides a soft edge Cambourne. The site idential buildings on its and Great Common ver the site does not the centre of Cambourne ally moderately visually a of allotment gardens rell ordered and enclosed the allotment lacks any ng features. It is not of
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an allotment are be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	ea. However, it could not particular local
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Located on the allotments provide some and quiet contemplation I would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	feeling of remoteness but not to the extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not l	have a diversity of

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway		Parish Cambou	rne	
Richness of wildlife			wildlife.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular I significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	PN: Is the site represented by special to the local and of particular local and therefore		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments on the peripher of the village. It makes only a limited contributio to the character and amenity of the village, has recreation function, and its location provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site the periphery d contribution village, has a provides iet t warrant the LGS, given
Should the site be recomm	endec	d for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.5 Hectares)	Land	ne of Site d north of G t of Broadw	Grean Common Farm,	Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green				
		Details: A	llotment area adjacent to	village bo	undary	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of	this					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment	
Should the site be recomm Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 34 (1.51Hectares)	Name of Site Land north of Grean Common Farm, west of Broadway			Parish Cambourne		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No		

Site reference NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)		e of Site s Lake		Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site already protected by	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		age.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up		
		Reasons	: .			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons:				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		site was a A Appen was iden 2 (site Gambour village; the northern areas of Cambour The cour plan as Large and the Cambour The cour plan as Large and the Wild boundary agreeme amending revisions. The site was proposed.	cil's recommendation in 20	pility Appr r LGS) Ma sues and O ssment (in ver and Up estern extern of finger of the village egral to the D13 was: In poports for in eas part of ly different anagement	raisal Annex arch 2014. It Options 2 Part 2012): Oper ent of the f green around e. These are e masterplan of Include in local including the f Section 106 et so suggest at area. Some	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)	Sirius Lake	Cambourne

A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site is therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

In their rep 64944, MCA Developments Limited suggest designating smaller sites as LGS rather than have one large area. Also some areas are more appropriate as PVAA. They repeat what they wrote for reps 64938, 64937 and 64934 etc. See above.

The Council's Response in 2015:

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016)** on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See above for LGS NH/12 025 referring to (j) Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne (NH/12-035).

In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 35 (Large areas within village and around edge of village, Cambourne) into six parts (35a, 35b, 35c, 35d, 35e and 35f).

Site 35a is now Sirius Lake.

Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017

Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation of PVAA.

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 35a (35.35	Sirius Lake		Cambourne	
Hectares)				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	7 411.001	Reasons: Large amenity residential area makes so character and amenity of it is not of 'particular local	ome contribution to the the village. However, is	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Trou	Reasons: No historical si this site.	ignificance attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function providing an informal amenity through public rights of way, access to wildlife and views of countryside. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyon that role which would warrant the enhanced of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the edge of the village, th area's character provides a feeling of remotenes and quiet contemplation with views of countryside, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: The Wildlife Tr as a natural area, contain woodland and lake. There wildlife, but it does not wa of protection provided by	ns grassland, wetland, efore has some value for arrant the enhanced level	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	of protection provided by LGS. Reasons: No compelling evidence that the suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a large amenity area located on the eastern periphery of the village beside a residential at It has an amenity and recreational function, makes a contribution to the character and an of the village, and the location in a remote ar provides some feeling of tranquilly. It is also natural area and has some value for wildlife. However, none of these attributes are sufficiently warrant the high level of protection provided LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amend (in March 2014) to exclude development.]		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace? No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 35a (35.35 Hectares)		ne of Site us Lake		Parish Cambou	rne
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green			
		Details:			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	o of		Details:		
this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 - 35a (35.35 Hectares)		ne of Site us Lake		Parish Cambourne	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 35b (45.43 Hectares)	Name of Site Wamping Willow Lake			Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site already protected b	y an ex	isting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Are	ea;				
Important Countryside	Fronta	ge.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up		
	F	Reasons	SI .			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	F	Reasons:				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to (Green				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	C	Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	fe		mation for site consideration 5b, please refer to the info	•		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Amenity area with pond within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However it is not of 'particular local significance'.		bution to the e. However, is	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar F	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar "	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity through public rights of way, access to wildlife and views of countryside. However, it could not be describe as having a particular local significance beyor that role which would warrant the enhanced le of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar <u>,</u>	Amber	Reasons: Located on the area's character provides and quiet contemplation of countryside, but not to the warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	a feeling with views he extent the	of remoteness of nat would	

Site reference NH/12 - 35b (45.43 Hectares)			Parish Cambou	rne
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: The Wildlife Trust's website shows this as a natural area, contains grassland, wetland, woodland and lake. Therefore has some value for wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as Lo	ocal	Red Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a large amenity area locate periphery of the village b		e site is a astern sidential area. unction, er and amenity remote area It is also a r wildlife. are sufficient to provided by
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 35b (45.43 Hectares)		ne of Site nping Willo	rne		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green			
		Details:			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 35b (45.43 Hectares)	Name of Site Wamping Willow Lake			Parish Cambourne	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 35c (30.7 Hectares)				Parish Cambourne			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for				
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	extensive. The site is not	Site is adjoining the village boundary and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	3: .				
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	3:				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green					
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council					
How was the site considered through the plan making process?			information for site considerations through plan making site 35c, please refer to the information for site 35a ove).				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Country park of character and amenity of a tranquil area.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: It has a clear recreation value containing foot and cycle paths and access to wildlife. Crow Hill provides excellent views of local area. Community orchard to north of are Bridleway to west of site. Area providing a relaxation area with seats for the local community.		I access to t views of the orth of area. riding a		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Green	Reasons: Located on the area's character provides and quiet contemplation of countryside. Hill provides area.	a feeling with views	of remoteness of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Green	Reasons: Managed by the WT's website highlights far and wetland birds in the large	armland bi	irds on the hill		

Site reference NH/12 - 35c (30.7 Hectares)				Parish Cambou	rne	
			mention fruit in the comm local significance to the lo	•		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the keep community and of particular lesignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. A country park, with a community orchard in the northern part of the site. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village, has a clear recreational value, provides a large tranquil area with a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation away from roads and built development. Crow Hill provides excellent views of the surrounding countryside. Managed by the Wildlife Trust, it has a wildlife value. Site is of 'particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the local community warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 35d (22.35 Hectares)			he west and north	Parish Cambou	rne
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up	
		Reasons	3: .		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: :		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?			mation for site consideratio 5d, please refer to the infor		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area as a perimeter bridleway mathe character and amenity	kes some	contribution to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a provides perimeter bridler village. However, it could having a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LG	way with c not be designificance the enhan	ircular walk of scribed as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Located on the of the area's character provided by LGS.	ovides a fe it not to the	eeling of e extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Amber	Reasons: Woodland pro- Includes a number of new		ife habitat.

Site reference NH/12 - 35d (22.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Land around the west and north west Parish Cambou			rne
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a amenity area and wooder bridleway that makes sor character and amenity of recreational function and location on the edge of the some feeling of remotenes contemplation. However, high level of protection protection protection by the high bar set by the creation of the some feeling of the high bar set by the creation of the high bar set by the creating amenity and the sound in the so	s LGS. The darea with the contribution the village has a wild be village as and qualit does no covided by	e site is an nin a perimeter ution to the e, provides a life value. It's also provides iet t warrant the LGS, given
Should the site be recommo	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 35d	Land	d around th	e west and north	Cambou	rne
(22.35 Hectares)	west				
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?		Green			
		Details:			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previous	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	_		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participant of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for design	nation as Protected Villa	nge	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 35d (22.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Land around the west and north west			Parish Cambourne	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	e	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	r	Reasons: No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recomme Countryside Frontage?	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference NH/12 - 35e (6.55 Hectares)				Parish Cambou	rne
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar	ea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character as	made up	
		Reasons	: .		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	•	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 35e, please refer to the information for site 35a (above).			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: This is a wood contributes to the charact village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical si this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: It has a clear recreation value containing foot and cycle paths and access to wildlife. Wooded nature reserve with wildlife signage. It is of 'particular local significance' to t community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Green	Reasons: Wooded nature reserve provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation being shielded from village by trees.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Green	Reasons: Wooded nature wildlife habitat, with wildlife significance to the local control of the significance with the significance to the significance to the significance with	fe signage	and is of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locommunity and of particular local sections.	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient quali suitable for designation a wooded nature reserve w	s LGS. Th	e site is a

Site reference NH/12 - 35e (6.55 Hectares)	Name of Site Oaks Wood (Eco Park)		Parish Cambou	rne
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	character and amenity of to important recreation, wildled functions and provides a recontemplation. It is of 'part and demonstrably special warranting the high level of LGS.		life and bid remote are rticular loc I to the loc	odiversity ea of quiet al significance' al community,
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?				Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 35f (6.16 Hectares)		ame of Site outh of A428		Parish Cambou	rne
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	rea;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	None
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	The only current or recen discharge of conditions for		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the villag extensive. The site is not different uses/character a	made up	
		Reasons	3: .		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	3:		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	site submitted for Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?			mation for site consideration 5f, please refer to the inform		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area, forming buffer against A4 contribution to the charac village.	28. Makes	some
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical si this site	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have so providing an informal amorights of way. However, it as having a particular locathat role which would war of protection provided by	enity throu could not al significa rant the e	gh public be described ince beyond
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: The area's char of remoteness and quiet of shielded from village by to noise from A428 is a cons	contempla rees, howe	tion being ever traffic
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Amber	Reasons: Green woodland habitat, but it does not was of protection provided by	arrant the	

Site reference NH/12 - 35f (6.16 Hectares)	Name of Si South of A		Parish Cambou	rne
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a amenity and thicket area form a buffer to the villag makes some contribution amenity of the village, ha wildlife function and prov from built development, a major road. It does not w protection provided by LC set by the criteria in the N	is LGS. The with public eagainst to the chains some recides a remalthough it arrant the GS, given to with public earrant the e	e site is an c footpaths that he A428. It aracter and creational and ote area away is close to a high level of
Should the site be recomm	ended for de	esignation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 35f (6.17 Hectares)		e of Site th of A428		Parish Cambou	rne		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.				
Is the site undeveloped?		Green					
		Details:					
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	l —		Details: It has not previ	Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.			
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of							
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.		Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment		
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 35f (6.17 Hectares)	Name of Site South of A428			Parish Cambourne	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views. Wood to the west of the site.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No	

Site reference NH/12 – 35g (1.6 Hectares)	Name of Site Pitches next to Cambourne Sports Centre			Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	rea;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	The only current or recendischarge of conditions for			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up		
		Reasons	3: .			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons:				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?			mation for site consideratio 5g, please refer to the info			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Red	Reasons: Sports pitches contribution to the charac village.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: It does have a recreation function providing sports pitches and is of 'particula' significance' to the community		•	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: It is part of a large village recreation ground that provides some feeling of remote and quiet contemplation, but not to the extermination would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		of remoteness the extent that	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore		Green	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a pitches are the primary vi	s LGS. Th	e sports	

Site reference NH/12 – 35g (1.6 Hectares)	Name of Site Pitches next to Cambourne Sports Centre		Parish Cambou	rne
suitable for designation as LGS?		and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.		nity,
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?			Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)				Parish Cambou	rne	
Is the site already protected be Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	None	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	discharge of conditions a applications for parcels of and 5c, the original Camb	The only current or recent applications are discharge of conditions applications for earlier applications for parcels of housing land 5a, 5b and 5c, the original Cambourne application in 1992 and plot 4010 at the business park.		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site is adjoining the village extensive. The site is not different uses/character at	t made up		
			: Wild area with trees form anned green area for villag	•	of greenery,	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		was asse Appendi identified (site LGS Wild area greenery walkway of planne	eysuckle Close and Hazel essed in the Sustainability x 5 (Evidence paper for L as an option in the Issues (012) with the council's asset with trees planted forming a verlooked by housing a rectangular area outside and green space of village. It is recommendation in 20 as LGS.	Appraisa AGS) Marc and Optic sessment of g informal and adjace village fra Meets the	al Annex A ch 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 (in 2013): area of ont to grassy mework. Part tests for LGS.	
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.				
		Land Ow addresse PPF mee	entation was received on the ner Consultation in 2014. The drin appendix C - Schedu eting 10/03/2015 – summa ocal Green Space consu	The site is ule of asseary of rep	therefore essment for resentations	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)		Close and Hazel Lane	Cambourne			
	In their in Limited a small The site SC4 Ext 32-33: Sinspector Notwiths Supplem (May 19 following contiguo virtually and 035 paragra [Referent designal not be a propose amount above, o	appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. In their rep to the 2014 consultation, MCA Developments Limited state No objection to designation of this site which is a small private copse and is worthy of retention as a LGS. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 32-33: SC4C.viii. See site NH/12 25 above. Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 Notwithstanding the additional information (SC4/SCDC-Supplement 3) and the Cambourne Master Plan Report (May 1995) provided to the Inspectors as requested following the hearing, they are still of the view that the large contiguous tracts of land identified at Cambourne which virtually encircle the village (particularly NH/12-033 and 035) create a conflict with the 3rd bullet point of paragraph 77 of the Framework. Furthermore, the PPG [Reference ID 37-014-20140306] states that "blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements will not be appropriate. In particular, designation should not be proposed as a 'back door' way to try to achieve what would amount to a new area of Green Belt by another name". As above, consideration could be given to possible designation				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	PVAA. Reasons: Planted area of trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing a wooded area not to the extent that wou level of protection provide	adjacent to village, but uld warrant the enhanced			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Amber Reasons: Located on the edge of the village, wood provides some feeling of remoteness at quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber Amber	Amber Reasons: Wooded area provides some diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.				
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo	ocal Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a				

Site reference NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)	Name of Site Honeysuckle Close and Hazel Lane green space		Parish Cambou	rne
community and of particular lesignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	wooded area which makes some the character and amenity of the informal recreational function, pr feeling of remoteness and quiet well as having some value for w does not warrant the high level o provided by LGS, given the high criteria in the NPPF.		y of the vill tion, provid quiet cor e for wildli level of p	lage, has an des some ntemplation, as fe. However, it rotection
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?				No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	me of Site Par				
NH/12 – 36 (0.12 Hectares)		en space Close and Hazel Lane Cambourne				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
			/ild area with trees formingreen area for village.	ng area of (greenery, part	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?			Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?						
		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment	
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 36 (0.13 Hectares)	Hon	ne of Site eysuckle Close and Haz en space	Parish Cambourne			
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	•					
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
		Reasons: No discernable views.				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No		

Is the site already protected by an existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village Amenity Area; Important Countryside Frontage. The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use? Site does not have planning permission Green Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas. Reasons: Small amenity area of grass makes a significant contribution to the character of the village and that of the listed buildings opposite. Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered? If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves? Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council? The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* issed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Does the site have a particular of the site have a particular of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of particular local significance.	Site reference NH/12 – 37 (0.34 Hectares)		e of Site Old Marke	et Place	Parish Caxton	
Permission for an alternative use? Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land Green Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas. Reasons: Small amenity area of grass makes a significant contribution to the character of the village and that of the listed buildings opposite. Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered? If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No Green Close to residential properties Green Site submitted by Parish Council The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade If listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Green Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Green Site related to the village and not extensive. The site was included local significance.	Protected Village Ame	enity A	Area; Village			Village
Reasons: Small amenity area of grass makes a significant contribution to the character areas.	Permission for an alternative	ning	Green	Site does not have planning permission		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered? If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			Green	site is not made up of a v		
the site that should be considered? Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves? Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process? The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty The same sessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No Green Site submitted by Parish Council The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It is an appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2013: Meets the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site.			contributi	ion to the character of the		
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves? Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process? The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Close to residential properties Site submitted by Parish Council Site submitted by Parish Council Cividence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area for mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of partuicular local significance.	the site that should be		each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process? The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Green Reasons: Amenity area contributes to the character of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of partuicular local significance.			Reasons: No			
Consideration by the Parish Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process? The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Partish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village until 1021 site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of partuicular local significance.			Green	Close to residential properties		
Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is an existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provides a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site. Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014: Submitted as an option in the Issues and Option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is an area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village and an area for quiet enjoyment. It is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meets the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014 landowner Consultation in which no representations were received on this site.	consideration by the Parish		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Reasons: Amenity area contributes to the character of the village. Within Caxton it is unique and is of partuicular local significance.	through the plan making		Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS013) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This site is a area of mown grassland with scattered trees across it in the centre of the village within the Conservation Area and is a existing PVAA. It is located opposite the grade II* listed Manor House and near to other listed properties. It provide a setting to these buildings and an area for quiet enjoyment is an area that can be used for informal recreation Meet the tests for LGS. Recommendation in 2013: Meets the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and in the 2014			This site is an across it in the Area and is an e II* listed ies. It provides uiet enjoyment. reation Meets LGS. e in the the 2014
	local significance due to its:	ar	Green Reasons: Amenity area contributes to the character of the village. Within Caxton it is un		ton it is unique	
	Does the site have a particula	ar	Green	•		

Site reference NH/12 – 37 (0.34 Hectares)		Name of Site Pa The Old Market Place Ca			
local significance due to its: Historical significance		House (Grade II*) and No. 55 Manor Cottage (Grade II) listed buildings and is within the conservation area. Caxton dates back to the Domesday book (1086) and the modern village has grown up around the Roman Ermine Stre			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: The land provides an informal recreation resource. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: The amenity area is beside a road and therefore does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		of
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The amenity area makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has historical significance, being the site of a former market and lies within the conservation and forming a part the setting of listed buildings. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrabl special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		e amenity on to the e and has e of a former tion and uildings. It is demonstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)		me of Site nd South of Barton Road			ton
,	by an e enity A	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Area; ntage. Protect Village Amenit & Impo Country			Protected
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons: Site is made up of a number of uses. The largest area is grassland meadow, with an area of trees to the east and south			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submittee and part Rd is with frontage northwar within the provide a The cour of road m Green Be in local p The site v Proposed landowne	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS015) with ent (in 2013): If the distribution of site is within the Green Belt with an approtecting views across the econservation Area for the econservation Area for the evaluable green rural characteristics recommendation in 2 meets the tests for LGS. Not belt therefore not designate lan. If was included as a Local Ger Consultation in which 2 on this site.	paper for L in the Issu of the counc of site is ex Belt. North important re green sp n a PVAA. e village. T racter to the 013 was: C orth side of as LGS ar	ies and cil's visting PVAA a side of Barton countryside bace Both come The areas e village. Only south side is road is within and not include e in the athe 2014

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Land South of Barton Road	Comberton

The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

One rep (no.64905) was from an individual who objected to the boundary. The comments are summarised as: Respondent objects to inclusion of his land within this Local Green Space designation. Propose site boundary is amended as per attached map.

Land in question is garden of 36 Barton Road, Comberton. In respondent's view does not meet criteria in that it holds no particular local significance in terms of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquillity or its wildlife. The land cannot be accessed or viewed by the local community from any public access point. Land is already protected as its within curtilage of No 36 Barton Road, a Grade II listed building and the conservation area.

The other rep was from individuals (nos. 64952) who objected to the LGS boundary. The comments are summarised as:

1/ Please give max LGS protection to ALL the fields/meadows and orchards and open areas within the currently adopted PVAA for the wildlife, particularly barn owls, kestrels and badgers.

2/ Please amend the south east corner of the proposed LGS as per plan 3 so that brown field bits are removed and some of the omitted green and wooded bits are included (if the LGS idea is to be used at all).

3/ If one leaves the adopted PVAA as is, maybe this would in practice, provide more sound protection. But better to afford all the Comberton PVAA fields meadows and orchards and open areas - LGS status.

The council responded on 2015 with a recommendation: The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 33.

SC4C.ix.

Should the boundary of the LGS designation relating to the land south of Barton Road, Comberton be amended so as to exclude the private garden of no. 36 Barton Road having regard to the designation criteria for a LGS particularly in terms of local or historical significance, recreational value or

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Land South of Barton Road	Comberton

tranquillity? Should the designation also include all of the land within the current PVAA but exclude the brownfield land? (NH/12-038)

This land forms a green wedge into the centre of the village and is partly within the Green Belt and the rest is currently protected as PVAA. The central green area in Comberton has had a long history of being recognised as land worthy of protection form inappropriate development. It makes a significant contribution to the character of the centre of the village, and is valued by the local community.

The Parish Council submitted this wider area, for consideration as a LGS through the Issues and Options Consultation 2 in January 2013. The area was described by the Parish Council as a 'green lung' through the village. The Council assessed the land south of Barton Road as meeting the tests for LGS. The land to the north is within the Green Belt therefore the Council did not designate as LGS avoiding duplicating policy protection of the land.

During the targeted consultation with landowners of proposed LGS a number of the owners submitted representations relating to different parts of this wider area.

Comberton Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4) The PC has re-emphasised that the green space form a green lung through the village in a conservation area and represent a protected village amenity, being adjacent to a historic footpath, with public access on three sides, and as such provides tranquillity and a site for wildlife.

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:

- a. Should the garden of 36 Barton Road be excluded? b. Should all the PVAA excluding the brownfield land be designated?
- Excluding the garden of 36 Barton Road?

Land does not have to be publicly accessible or indeed in public ownership for it to be valued by the local community. The PC when it submitted the larger site for consideration as a LGS identified this garden area within its boundary as it forms a part of this 'green lung'. The PC has provided further evidence to support the designation of this LGS and state that the land referred to as garden at no 36 should be retained as LGS as a larger area has greater benefit to the community and the dwelling at no 36 would retain a garden and curtilage. (Appendix 4) The Council remains therefore of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in this

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Land South of Barton Road	Comberton

LGS.

<u>Designate all the current PVAA excluding the brownfield</u> land?

During the targeted consultation with landowners of proposed LGS one respondent questioned why only part of the existing PVAA in Comberton had been included as a LGS (Representation 64952). In their representation they considered that the wider area should be protected by just one policy rather than two. Comberton Parish Council when asked to consider what areas of land within the village merited consideration as LGS only included certain areas to the north and south of the Barton Rd, i.e. not the whole PVAA. The Council in assessing sites has only considered the areas proposed by local residents and from parish councils taking their lead on what is considered by them to be demonstrably special to the local community. The remit of the targeted consultation about LGS was only to give landowners the opportunity to make comments about their own land being proposed as LGS and not to suggest further areas for consideration. The Council therefore has not had the opportunity to assess the whole PVAA as to its suitability as a candidate for LGS.

No new issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed and therefore the Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan.

The PVAA addresses a significantly wider area than the area identified as an LGS. Due to the characteristics of the area, which has a distinct rural character at the heart of the village, development has the potential to have an adverse impact on the character, amenity, tranquillity or function of the village. It is correct this is specifically recognised in the plan, in order to provide clear guidance for potential developers and future planning decisions. Not all PVAAs meet the tests for LGS designation.

It should be noted that PVAAs can include individual buildings or areas of built development. This typically occurs where development is of a low density, or is of a particular character that it contributes to village amenity. On this basis it would not be automatically appropriate to exclude 'brownfield land', as the amenity provided by these areas could be compromised by development which did not take account of the particular contribution the area makes to contribution to the amenity, tranquillity or function of the village.

Site reference	Name	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Land	South of	Barton Road	Combert	on
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Grassland with makes some contribution amenity of the village. The Comberton has had a lon recognised as land worthy inappropriate development character of the centre of this applies to a wider are identified as LGS, and this particular local significant	to the cha e central g g history of y of protec nt. It contri the village as than th s area is n	racter and reen area in of being tion from butes to the e. However, e area
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: Site is located Area. There are listed bui Road and Swaynes Lane considered the land has a significance' due to its he	ldings fron . However ı 'particula	ting Barton , it is not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: The Parish Corbeing a green lung for the way runs to the east of the receational opportunity to charcater of the area. How does not extend to the site	village. A e site, prov experience wever, rec	public right of viding a e the rural
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Centrally locate provides some feeling of a contemplation but not to t warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	remotenes he extent	s and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Amber	Reasons: Although the si small fields, meadows, he have a diversity of wildlife local significance'.	edges etc.	Site does not
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation as comprises grassland with makes some contribution amenity of the village, with area and part of the setting buildings. It has an informand provides a feeling of contemplation. However, high level of protection prot	s LGS. The in a reside to the cha hin the core of sever all recreating the core of the cor	e site ential area and racter and nservation ral listed on function es and quiet t warrant the LGS, given
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 38 (1.67 Hectares)	Land	South of	Barton Road	Combert	on	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		area is gra	Details: Site is made up of a number of uses. The largest area is grassland meadow, with an area of trees to the east and south.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?			Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Green Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Large informal recreation ground within a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Central informal recreation ground wit residential area contributes to the character and the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	(Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	al activity.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Large, centrally located informal recreation ground within a residential area.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Important recreation space which also supports the character of the village and provides some tranquil areas.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 39 (0.83 Hectares)	Name of Site All Saints Ch	urch	Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site already protected by				No	
Protected Village Ame	,	esignation in the adopted L	.טר י	INU	
 Protected village Ame Important Countryside 	•				
The site does not have Plann		Oite de la contraction de la c		-1	
Permission for an alternative use?	Ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	GIECII	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reason	s: Churchyard.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reason	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	n Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It Options (in 2012 This are is within value for LGS. In in the loc In the 20 objection The site Propose were rec	e was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March was identified as an option in the Issues and 2 Part 2 (site G17) with the council's assessment 2): The ais around the church with its associated setting. In the Conservation Area. It therefore has historic for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA and 2013, the council recommended Including the site local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting. In the local community is a set to the local community in the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting. In the local community is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS. The ais around the church with its associated setting is a set to the local plan as LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Churchyard co character and amenity of			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Green	Reasons: Church of All S building, within the conse earliest documented evid Cottenham is in a Charte 948. This church was rep edifice in the 12th centur	ervation are lence of a er of Saxon blaced by a	ea. The church in King Edred in a Norman	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 39 (0.83 Hectares)	All Saints Ch		Cottenha	
		can still be seen in the pre itself dates from the 15th		ing, which
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Reasons: The church yard could not be described as having a particular local significant beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		al significance nt the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: The churchyard provides some for femoteness and quiet contemplation, but the extent that would warrant the enhanced of protection provided by LGS.		tion, but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Churchyard contributes to the character and amenity of the village, and the setting of the Grade I Listed Church of All Saints and the conservation area. Its location provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		enity of the enity of the lenity of the leni
Should the site be recommo	ended for des	ignation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 40 (0.05 Hectares)	Broa	d Lane High Street Junction Cottenha			am	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			s: Small amenity area of gr al area beside a main road		ees in a	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) N 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G19) with the council's assess (in 2012): This is a triangle of grass at a road junction. It is with village framework and Conservation Area. It has a most of well-established trees and some seating. It provides pocket of green space within a urban setting. Site matest for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and objections to including the site in the local plan as Local The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site.			des and assessment is within the pas a number provides a Site meets in local plan as its and no in as LGS.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Small amenity beside a main road make contribution to the charactillage.	s an impo	rtant	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is with and within 25m distance			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 40 (0.05 Hectares)	Broad Lane I	ligh Street Junction	Cottenha	am
Historical significance		listed buildings (4 Broad I 120 High Street). The site well-established trees and street scene and contribut buildings. However, it is not has a 'particular local sign heritage.	e contains d does enlate to the s not conside	a number of nance the etting of these ered the land
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal and However, it could not be particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LO	enity area described ce beyond enhanced	with seating. as having a that role
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is an information to the High Street and do of remoteness and quiet	es not pro	vide a feeling
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a area of grass with trees a Street, makes an importa character and amenity of the conservation area an setting of several listed by local significance and de community, warranting the provided by LGS.	s LGS. A sadjacent to int contributhe village d provides uildings. It monstrably	small amenity the High ution to the and is within part of the is 'of particular y special to the
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference		e of Site	Wow	Parish	
NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)		d at Victory Way Cottenham			
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•	•			
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons area	: Amenity area with a few	trees withi	in a residential
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G20) with the council's assessmen (in 2012): This is a large area of grass in the middle of a housing estate. There are a few trees at each end. It provides a valuable area of informal open space and has recreation value for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA a LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS.			LGS) March ues and assessment a housing provides a s recreational at for PVAA and in local plan as tts and no n as LGS.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area with trees within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal amonousing estate. However	enity area	within a

Site reference	Name of Site	e	Parish	
NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)	Land at Vict	ory Way	Cottenha	am
		described as having a pa beyond that role which w enhanced level of protect	ould warra	int the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees within a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does no warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for des	signation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 41 (0.24 Hectares)		e of Site d at Victory	Way	Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: An area.	menity area with a few tre	ees within	a residential	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	O	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Area of informal open space in a residential area				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Area of grassland in a re ribution to the character a			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland in a residentia	ıl area.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	Ceme			Cottenha	am ·	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	•					
Important Countryside		age.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Cemetery.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G21) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is a cemetery with some trees on the northern boundary. It could provide a haven for wildlife and be a tranquil place. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan a LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 4 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			ies and assessment and be a and be a and local plan as upports and plan as up as LGS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Cemetery make the character and amenit However, is it is not of 'pa significance'.	y of the vil	lage.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: In the 19th cer became a prominent cen religious dissent. A disse opened in 1845 in Lamb's not considered the land h significance' due to its he	tre of non- nters' cem s Lane. H nas a 'parti	conformist etery was owever, it is	

Site reference NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	Name of Site Cemetery		Parish Cottenha	am
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: The cemetery provides an informal recreation resource. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber Amber	Reasons: The cemetery provides some feeling remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber Amber	Reasons: Site coiuld be a haven for wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a cemetery that makes some contributes to the character and amenity of the village, has historisignificance and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, none of the attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a utes to the e, has historical remoteness none of these ne high level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 42 (0.51 Hectares)	Cem	etery		Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: C	emetery			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Amber		Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?	of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Site important to village character			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Cemetery makes some of and amenity of the village		to the	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	al activity.	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	Cemetery			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Cemetery supports village character and provides a tranquil area.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	am.	
NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)		chard Close Cottenham				
Is the site already protected by	•	•	esignation in the adopted L	.DF?	No	
Protected Village AmeImportant Countryside	•					
The site does not have Plann			0:1	•	-1	
Permission for an alternative use?	iiig	Green	Site does not have plann	ning permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
			s: Small amenity area of g esidential area	rass with s	ome trees	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G22) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is a grassy area in the middle of a housing estate. There are some trees and scrubs planted within the green space. Car parking spaces have been designed into the space (assuming it was originally oval). It provides an area of grass for informal recreation within this residential area Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 3 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation			LGS) March les and les and les assessment ling estate. In the green led into the lidential area. In local plan as lupports and plan as LGS. le in the	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area residential area makes s character and amenity of is not of 'particular local s	ome contri f the village	bution to the e. However, it	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance	e attached to	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)	Orch	ard Close		Cottenha	am
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Amber		Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: It is a small amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area with trees within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 43 (0.07 Hectares)		e of Site ard Close		Parish Cottenha	am		
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this				
		Details: Sa residenti	mall amenity area of gras al area.	ss with son	ne trees within		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields				
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important	to village c	haracter		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Small amenity area with s some contribution to th				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	4	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this partice part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's		
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes		

Site reference		of Site	lan a	Parish	
NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)		lge Gard		Cottenha	<u> </u>
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing (Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	F	Reasons	: Village green		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	F	Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	(Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	2 () (""" r a a t l! L t!	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G23) with the council's assessment (in 2012): "This is a large green space scattered with trees within a residential area. It has a meadow like atmosphere which adds to the character of this part of the village. It is within the Conservation Area. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan a LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for includin the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar ,	Amber Reasons: Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is it is not of 'particular local significance'.			village.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar /	Amber	Reasons: The site is in to The site is 40m distance buildings and the site does the setting of the nearest Denmark Road). However	from 2 gra es contribut of these b	de II listed ite positively to buildings (60

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)	Cool	idge Gard	dens	Cottenha	am
			land has a 'particular loca heritage.	ıl significar	nce' due to its
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular los significance beyond that role which would warra the enhanced level of protection provided by LG		However, it particular local would warrant
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area beside one of the village's main arterial road and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		d and does
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 44 (0.27 Hectares)		e of Site lidge Garde	ens	Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green		Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: V	illage green.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ig neids		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board.			ential area	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area including a small local notice board support the village's character.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)		me of Site Parish uth of Brenda Gautry Way Cottenham			am	
Is the site already protected by	by an e	existing de	isting designation in the adopted LDF? No			
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;				
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of la		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
		Reasons residentia	: Amenity area with a few al area	trees besi	de a road in a	
Is there a smaller element wind the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to to	Green	Close to residential prop	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marce 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G24) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is a strip of woodland that follows the village frame boundary and the rear of housing on the edge of Cottenham. The strip is within the village. It forms a distinctive edge to this part of Cottenham and has value wildlife as well as for informal recreation. Site meets test PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plates. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			LGS) March ues and assessment lage framework e of orms a it has value for meets test for in local plan as including the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area, strip containing several rhedgerows. The trees ar semi-enclosure for the splandscaped edge to the vice of the vice of the vice of the splandscaped edge to the vice of	nature tree nd hedgerd pace. It als	es and ows provide o provides a	

Site reference	Name of Site)	Parish	
NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)	South of Bre	enda Gautry Way	Cottenha	am
		for properties on the villa some contribution to the the village. However, it is significance'.	character a	and amenity of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: Part of the site conservation area. Howe the land has a 'particular its heritage.	ver, it is no	ot considered
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am could not be described as significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	enity area. s having a role which	However, it particular local would warrant
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Reasons: It is an information edge of the village's and feeling of remoteness an	does not p	rovide a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grass amenity area containing several mature trees and hedgerows which provide a landscape edge to the village, It contributes to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a ral mature a landscaped the character des an er, none of rant the high , given the
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	ignation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 45 (0.65 Hectares)	Sout	th of Brend	a Gautry Way	Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?	Is the site undeveloped?		Site is undeveloped, and does not have planni permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: A residential	menity area with a few tro area.	ees beside	a road in a	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			area, allotment or playing fields			
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: residential	Large area of informal oparea.	oen space	beside a	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	thic	Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the			
land important to the characte			village.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: Large area of informal open space be residential area.		beside a		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Located on the southern periphery of the village beside a residential area				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)		e of Site		Parish Cottenha	am
Is the site already protected by					No
Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted L	יוט	INO
Important Countryside	•	•			
The site does not have Plann		Green	Site does not have plann	ing nermis	l sion
Permission for an alternative use?		0.0011	One does not have plann	ing ponilio	NOTO T
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons residentia	: Amenity area with a few al area	trees besi	de a
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each part	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.		
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process? Does the site have a particular particular through the plan making process?	ar	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G25) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This site is a field on the edge of the village within the village framework. It has value to the local community for informal recreation. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan at LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 3 supports and no objections to including the site in the local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			Jes and assessment within the mmunity for and LGS. In local plan as upports and plan as LGS. The in the presentations
local significance due to its: Beauty	A1	Amber Reasons: Amenity area with trees beside a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, is not of 'particular local significance'.			bution to the e. However, it
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am		·

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)	Dunstall Fiel	d	Cottenha	am		
Recreational value		significance beyond that r	could not be described as having a particular loca significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area of edge of the village's and does not provide feeling of remoteness and quiet contemple		rovide a		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.				
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees beside a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does no warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.				
Should the site be recommo	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 46 (0.17 Hectares)		e of Site		Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not permission for new development working this			
		Details: A area.	menity area with a few tre	ees beside	a residential	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ig fielas		
	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character			
land important to the characte	he village or for this particular		Small amenity area of gres some contribution to the			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Grassland in a residentian Cottenham Village Colle		•	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)		me of Site St of Sovereign Way Parish Cottenham			am
Is the site already protected b					No
Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted L	.DI :	140
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons residentia	: Amenity area with a few al area	trees besi	de a road in a
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G26) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This area of woodland links with the strip of green space south of Brenda Gautrey Way. It creates a distinctive character to this part of Cottenham and has value for wild and for informal recreation. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for includit the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation			Jes and assessment reen space stinctive alue for wildlife r PVAA and n local plan as ts for including e in the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area in a residential area mak the character and amenit However, it is not of 'part	es some co ty of the vil	ontribution to lage.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)	West of Sove	reign Way	Cottenha	am
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular lo significance beyond that role which would warrathe enhanced level of protection provided by LC		However, it particular local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area on the edge of the village's and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		rovide a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is an amenity area with trees within a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is an idential area character des an er, it does not provided by
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 47 (0.10 Hectares)		e of Site t of Sovere	am		
Is the site within the development of the village?	he site within the development mework of the village?		Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this		
		Details: A residential	menity area with a few tro area.	ees beside	a road in a
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ng fielas	
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Small amenity area of gres some contribution to the		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gr	ass within	a residential
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 48a (2.71 Hectares)		Name of Site Old Recreation Ground Parish Cottenha			am	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is made up of a varie uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Large recreation ground			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties via site 48b			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G27) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is an area of open space on the north-western edge of Cottenham. It is open to the public for informal recreation. Site meets test for only LGS. In 2013, the council recommended including in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			LGS) March ues and assessment estern edge of al recreation. uncil The ding the site in	
		No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore not addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.				
		The site was not specifically addressed in the Council's				

Site reference NH/12 – 48a (2.71 Hectares)		e of Site Recreatio	n Ground	Parish Cottenha	am
		2016). In July 20 Site 048	C4 paper Examination St 017, South Cambs District into two parts (048a Old R ad Lane Amenity Area).	Council of	ficers split up
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Grassed informal amenity area contributes to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Green	Reasons: An important village recreation ground which is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area's mixed character uses provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grassed informal amenity area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is an important village recreation ground, located on the periphery of the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided to LGS.		e site is a makes some nenity of the reation the village ss and quiet al significance' mmunity,
Should the site be recomme	ended	d for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 48b (0.85 Hectares)				Parish Cottenha	Parish Cottenham	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Are	ea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			: Pond area and surround amenity area. No dogs allo	•	•	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	I	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	;	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 048 into two parts (048a Old Recreation ground and 048b Broad Lane Amenity Area).				
	1	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 48b, please refer to the information for site 48a (above).				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar (Green	Reasons: Large pond are / vegetation and informal to the character and ame	amenity a	rea contribute	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar I	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value		Green Reasons: Area surrounding pond has an info amenity function, providing an informal ameniarea.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar (Green Reasons: Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area's character use provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			provide a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır ı	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a div	ersity of	

Site reference NH/12 – 48b (0.85 Hectares)	Name of Site Broad Lane Amenity Area		Parish Cottenha	am
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	a large pond area a		es LGS. The esurround amenity are enity of the reation fundery of the vide a feelintemplation ce' and deign warranting.	e site contains ling trees / ea contribute village. It ction and given village, the ng of n. It is 'of monstrably ag the high
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?				Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)			Parish Cottenha	am		
Is the site already protected b • Protected Village Ame • Important Countryside	nity Area;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Amber	is subject to a live planning western part of the site.	Site does not have planning permission. The site is subject to a live planning application on the western part of the site. It is anticipated to be considered by planning committee in August 2017.			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reason	s: Recreation Ground.				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reason	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It Options (in 2012 This is a village re cricket, p variety of is outsid only. The Cou Playing to for LGS included tests for The con for include The site Propose	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprais Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Ma 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G28) with the council's assessm (in 2012): This is an extensive area of open space which include village recreation ground with pitches for football and cricket, plus a bowling green as well as allotments. It is variety of uses which are of value to the local commun is outside of the village framework. Site meets test for only. The Council's recommendation in 2013 was: Playing fields relating to schools are not being designs for LGS and therefore part of the site is not included in local plan as LGS. The rest of the site meet tests for LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 3 supports and 1 comm for including the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representat were received on this site.		LGS) March les and les and les assessment th includes the ball and lents. It has a community. It is test for LGS g designated site meets the d 1 comment te in the		

Site reference

NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)	Recreation Ground	Cottenham
	Land Owner Consultation addressed in appendix C PPF meeting 10/03/2015 for the Local Green Space Cambridgeshire County C for these 4 reasons: Objection relates to part of	eived on this site as a result of the in 2014. The site was therefore - Schedule of assessment for - summary of representations ce consultation 2014: council objected (rep no. 64933) of proposed designation area which ly with tests set out in NPPF.
		tension to recreation ground, is lated to existing recreation ground by community.
	should area be used by C equivalent area in close p would be provided by CC adjacent land could accor	Council. Lease requires that CC for other purposes an roximity to recreation ground C. Any residential scheme on mmodate this and provide a better gnation may preclude such a
	Site is featureless open at demonstrably special to the for recreation and it is current.	ne local community. Only value is
	meeting 10/03/2015, the objection was: The sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS have been raised that affections shown that circumstances	e of assessment for PPF council's response in 2015 to the assessed by the Council as and therefore unless new issues ect the assessment or it has been a have changed the Council at the site designation should
	SC4 paper Examination page 35. SC4C.x. Should the LGS boundary Playing Fields, Cottenhan land comprising an extens on the grounds that the si	Addressed in the Council's Matter Statement (November 2016) on of the Recreation Ground and in be amended so as to exclude the sion to the recreation ground the is featureless and is not the local community? (NH/12-049)
	The Recreation Ground at the Cottenham Design Sta Document as open space	nd Playing Fields is identified in atement Supplementary Planning and was submitted by the

Name of Site

Parish

Cottenham Design Group for consideration as a LGS. The Council's assessment shows that this site meets the tests

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 49a (8.34 Hectares)	Rec	reation Ground	Cottenham
		for LGS designation.	
		An objection has been received fro County Council to amend the boun exclude part of the area from LGS not consider it meets the tests for L	dary of the LGS to designation as they do
		Cottenham Parish Council has sub support why they consider that the LGS should be included in the Loca Council has provided a detailed dethe elements that together form this out the reasons why the field the C should be excluded from the LGS r designation and that this part of the special to the local community as it and recreational needs in Cottenham	whole of this proposed al Plan. The Parish scription and history of s LGS. The PC has set ounty Council considers meets the test for a site is demonstrably provides for social, sport
		Cottenham Parish Council is current neighbourhood plan and during the making process consideration has for a new village hall and nursery far The PC has recently submitted a part of the two storey village hall in the sallocated on the edge of the Recreate has provided as part of its additional boundary of the village hall and nurextends into the southern area of the sallocate of the sallocate of the southern area of the sallocate of	neighbourhood plan been given to the need acility within the village. lanning application to village hall and build a ame location It would be ion Ground and the PC al evidence the proposed rsery which partly
		A planning application has been sure development on land north east of Cottenham comprising 154 dwelling of which falls within the northern partield' as well as the adjoining LGS application, as well as proposing deproposed LGS area, also proposes a different location. In considering the Council will give the appropriate designation and the current status this application will be decided on the taking into account all the material anticipated it will be determined in the content of the council will be determined in the council will be determine	Rampton Road, gs. (S/2876/16/OL) part art of this site – 'Two Mill – NH/12 – 052The evelopment on part of the additional open space in this planning application e weight to the LGS of the plan. A decision on the merits of the case considerations. It is
		An update on the status of these ay implications for the LGS will be pro when available.	

In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 49 into two parts (049a Recreation ground and 049b

Allotments).

Site reference NH/12 - 49a (8.34 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Gr	round	Parish Cottenha	am	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Recreation ground makes a modest contribution to the setting and views of local features and so does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. It is not of 'particular local significance'.		s of local articular nenity of the o only ess as a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located beside a school, allotments and residential properties, the area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		provides iet that would	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area ar is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.		e recreation tion area and ad inity,	
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 49b (1.7 Hectares)	Name of S Allotments			Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity Area;					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Gree	n	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Oice	n	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	Reas	ons	: Allotments.			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reas	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gree	n	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gree	n	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Site 0	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 049 into two parts (049a Recreation ground and 049b Allotments).				
	for sit	For information for site considerations through plan ma for site 49b, please refer to the information for site 49a (above) about the wider site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Red		Reasons: Allotment area contribution to the charactillage.		•	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red		Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Ambo	er	Reasons: Allotments profunction. However, they chaving a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LC	could not be significance the enhar	e described as e beyond that	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red		Reasons: Located beside and residential properties provides some feeling of contemplation but not to twarrant the enhanced level by LGS.	s, the allotr remotenes the extent	ments only ss and quiet that would	

	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Cottenha	am
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the loc community and of particular loc significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS	cal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site makes only a and amenity unction. gh level of
Should the site be recomme	nded for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 49b	Allo	ments		Cottenha	am	
(1.7 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: A	llotments			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	_		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 49b (1.7 Hectares)		ne of Site tments		Parish Cottenham	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference	Name o			Parish	
NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Land in	nd in front of Village College Cottenham			
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		reen	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan		reen	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.		
	Re	easons	: Amenity land with a few	trees	
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	ea	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity	4-0	1		rtico	
the community it serves?	· G	reen	Close to residential prope	erues	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gı	reen	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ar 20 Opre 20 1, Th co es all Th 4: Ex ind Th ind Th (6: A La	nnex A D14. It w ptions 2 eference D12) ide 2, 3 an hese are blege. T establishe tready w he Cour sub-site xisting F cluded i he cons cluding he site w roposed 34981, s represe and Own ddresse	e areas of open space at the sp	aper for L in the Issue sites give noil's assessites (name the entrance ady a PVAA the test for 1013 was in 1013 was in 1014 for LGS it 1015 aw any research site. The site as a life of assessite was alle of assessite.	GS) March les and in the ssment (in led Morgans lee to the village lee with well A. The site is LGS. Identical for all can be ponses for lee in the resentation a result of the las therefore lessment for

SITE ASSESSMENTS		
Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Land in front of Village College	Cottenham
	for the Local Green Space consult was the representation from Mr N 64981) which the council summaris Respondent comment is that as fair reference area referred to above when adjoining Cottenham Village Cottenham Village Cottenham village Cottenham beart of his front garden. The defining his garden boundary for at Council's plans may pre date that the driveway and some lawn behind the not sure that it is relevant to the local designation.	lick Baker (rep no. sed as: r as he can tell the rhich relates mainly to College appears possibly There has been a hedge t least 20 years so the His front garden has a e hedge. As such he is
	In appendix D - Schedule of asse	ssment for PPF

In appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015, the council's response in 2015 to the objection was:

This site includes part of the front garden of a house adjacent to Cottenham Village College. The owner has requested that the boundary of the site be amended to exclude this residential land. The residential property has a different character to the adjoining open green area in front of the village college. It forms one of a row of residential properties. A minor change to exclude this and adjoining front gardens would better reflect the area of special character and would not undermine the protection of this wider area.

Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Village College, Cottenham LGS to exclude the front gardens of adjacent residential properties.

The site boundary was amended following Targeted landowner consultation.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 36. In response to the objection, the statement considered:

SC4C.xi. Should the boundary of the LGS designation for Land in Front of Village College, Cottenham be amended so as to exclude the residential garden land and College Farm to the north-west of the site which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection as it is within a conservation area? (NH/12-050)

The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. This site includes part of the front garden of a house adjacent to Cottenham Village College. The owner has requested that the boundary of the LGS be amended to exclude this residential land. The residential property has a different character to the adjoining open green area in front of the village college. It forms one of a row of residential properties. A change to exclude this and adjoining front

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 50 (1.05 Hectares)	Lanc	l in front	of Village College	Cottenha	am
		In the rep Holder of boundary the front	would better reflect the are ld not undermine the protect port to the South Cambridg in 10 March 2015 it was ago of the Village College, Co gardens of adjacent reside incil has proposed to amend has met the objector's cor	etion of this reshire Pla reed to am ottenham L ential prope d the bour	s wider area. nning Portfolio nend the GS to exclude erties.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Amenity area of school premises. It does of the school, and complet However, is it is not of 'pasignificance'.	contribute ements the	to the setting green.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the area. The site is 20m distolisted buildings and the site positively to the setting of Street / Morgans Close). Considered the land has a	ance from te does co these buil However,	2 grade II Intribute Idings (High
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: Small amenity on school premises could having a particular local s role which would warrant protection provided by LG	not be de significance the enhan	scribed as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: Small amenity on school premises besid provide any feeling of remonstration.	le a main r	oad does not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation as amenity area of grass and premises that makes some character and amenity of of the setting of the school and complements the gree informal recreation function warrant the high level of pLGS, given the high bar is NPPF. [Note - this site was (in March 2015) to exclude adjacent properties.]	s LGS. The difference on the contribution the village of and lister en. It proves on. However or tection part by the cas previous	e site is an school ation to the e, forming part d buildings, rides an er, it does not provided by criteria in the sly amended
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 - 50 (1.05 Hectares)		e of Site I in front of	Village College	Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the development of the village?	s the site within the development ramework of the village?		Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this			
		Details: A	menity land with a few tre	es.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previously PVAA.	y been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
		Reasons: Amenity area of grass with some trees in front of Village College.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland with trees in front of Village College contributes to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Red	Details: Site not in a tra			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this particupart of the village?	ea nity	of a Reasons: Amenity area of grass with some to Village College.		th some tr	ees in front of	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Grassland with trees in front of Village College contributes to the character and amenity of the village. [Note - this site boundary was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude the front gardens of adjacent properties.]				
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	l for design	ation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)		e of Site Reeves W	/ood	Parish Cottenha	am	
• •	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No				
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Red	Site is not connected to the	ne village.		
not an extensive tract or iar	ıa	Reasons	: Woodland.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with	
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Red				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submittee woodland communi planted ii and ence extensive historical The Coul local plan The site v Proposed	was assessed in the Sustal Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS019) with ent (in 2013): If the downed by the Parish Council and of the downed by the Parish Council and of the council and of the sustain 1993. Mixture of trees to be ourage wildlife. Some fruit the orchards which once don't value. Meets the tests for notil's recommendation in 2 in as LGS. Was included as a Local Gibbs and the submission Local Plan 2 in the council on this site.	aper for L in the Issu the counce thers. Com uncil on be- ne area. Tr enhance k trees to rei ninated vill LGS. 1013 was to	ies and cil's amunity thalf of the crees first coincidiversity mind of clage area — co include it in the	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance			Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar		Reasons:			

Site reference NH/12 - 51 (2.48 Hectares)	Name of Site Fen Reeves V	V ood	Parish Cottenham	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r	Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r	Reasons:		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Not connected	I to the village	
Should the site be recomme	ended for design	gnation as Local Green S	pace? No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)		e of Site Reeves Wo	ood	Parish Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.	
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: W	/oodland			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 51 (2.48 Hectares)	Name of Site Fen Reeves Wood			Parish Cottenham	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	e	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.	
Should the site be recomme Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference		e of Site	.1	Parish Cottenha	
NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)		King Woo			
• •	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF?			
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside		tage.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative	ıng	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
use?					
Is the site local in character		Red	Site is not connected to the	he village.	
not an extensive tract of lar	nd	Reasons	s: Woodland.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par original s	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
		Reasons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Red			
Was the site submitted for		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
consideration by the Parish Council?					
How was the site considered		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal			
process? Does the site have a particular	ar.	Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS020) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council and others. Woodland plant in 2000 – owned and managed by Cambridgeshire Council. Aim is to enhance long term appearance of landscape and create a quiet place to enjoy walks throug woodland and improve environment for wildlife. Planted be local people. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's recommendation in 2013 was to include it is local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			des and cil's odland planted eshire County ance of valks through the Planted by the control of t
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar		Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance			Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value			Reasons:		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	ar		Reasons:		

Site reference	Name of Site F				
NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	Les King Woo	od	Cottenha	am	
Tranquillity					
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r	Reasons:			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Not connected to the village			
Should the site be recomme	pace?	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)	Les	King Wood		Cottenha	am	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: W	oodland			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previous	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	(Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.			ppment	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 52 (3.76 Hectares)		ne of Site King Wood		Parish Cottenham	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		into the open hat a link is retained gins and surroundings. If open rural views from the ne frontage protects the	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No	

Site reference NH/12 – 53 (0.59 Hectares)		ame of Site Parish Ilage Green Cottenhar			am.	
,						
 Protected Village Ame 	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village				
Important Countryside	-	Amenity Are:				
The site does not have Planni		Green				
Permission for an alternative use?		O 10011	Che doos not have plann	g poiiillo	01011	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.		
		Reasons	s: Village green			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par original s	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with	
		Reasons	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS023) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This forms a valuable green space within the village. It has numerous trees upon it and seating for quiet enjoyment of the space. Meets the tests for LGS. The council recommendation in 2013 was Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Village green makes a sigbificant contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the provides the setting for set buildings on the site bour 324, 331, 332, 333, 337, Farm House) High Street considered the land has a significance' due to its he	everal Grandary inclu 339 and 3 However a 'particula	de II Listed ding 316, 318, 44-346 (Manor , it is not	

Site reference NH/12 – 53 (0.59 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Cottenha	am
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, it could not be described as having a particular losignificance beyond that role which would warrs the enhanced level of protection provided by LO		However, it particular local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area betwee the village's main arterial roads and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not hawildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is also an important space used for fairs and cars when the classic car rally comes through. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided be LGS.		e site is a on area and , it makes and amenity of recreation ce used for rally comes hificance' and inity,
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	nation as Local Green Sp	pace?	Yes

Site reference		ame of Site Parish			4	
NH/12 – 54 (0.28 Hectares)		ge Green				
	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village				
Protected Village Ame	•	Amenity Area				
Important Countryside The site date not have Plann						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	iirig	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Village green			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS026) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Northern part is existing PV and southern part is within Green Belt. The village green provides a valuable area of open space within the village. Mown grass with trees creating a rural character to the village and setting for nearby grade II* listed church. The council recommendation in 2013 was: Only north side of road meets the tests for LGS. South sid of road within Green Belt therefore not designate as LGS and not include in local plan. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			existing PVAA llage green the village. eter to the church.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: At the heart of the village the village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance Amber Reasons: Provides part of the set grade II* listed church. However, it considered the land has a 'particul significance' due to its heritage.				owever, it i a 'particula	is not	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 54 (0.28 Hectares)	Village Green	Dry Drayton		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area. However, could not be described as having a particular significance beyond that role which would war the enhanced level of protection provided by L		However, it particular local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area beside the village's main arterial road and does not provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		does not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	suitable for designation as LGS. At the heart of the village the village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the		the heart of a significant nenity of the of the setting o has an 'of particular y special to the	
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)		me of Site Parish eenacres Duxford			
,					No
Protected Village Ame	•	Ū	3		
Important Countryside	•	•			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons residentia	: Grassland with scattered al area	d trees with	nin a
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Amber Site submitted by the Parish Council but who subsequently withdrew their support during the Local Plan examination – see South Cambridgeshire District Council's written statement for Matter SC4, Appendix 4.			t during the n vritten
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte scattered recreation tests for I The cour local plan The site v Proposed there was be summ Village al in cul-de- area requ local resin area – ch	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence proves identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS029) with ent (in 2013): If the description of the sustant area of t	of mown getate. Area ommunity. 13 was to in the espondent of s. Popular arents. Alter olind junction planning in the lind jun	ies and cil's arass with for informal Meets the include it in the e consultation, s. These can ernative play on. Valued by ing aspect to inspector.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Greenacres	Duxford

together.

A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014:

It was an individual respondent (Rep 64914) who objected and their comments are summarised as:

Do not designate as LGS. Site does not meet any of 5 tests set out in NPPF for Local Green Space. Parish Council claim land is special to local community - open nature and recreational value. Site is area of left over grassed highway verge adjacent to road in middle of housing estate - not beautiful by normal standard, no historic interest, not tranquil, not wildlife rich. Land only available for recreation with permission of landowner - now withdrawn. Land to be fenced off. Site better suited to meeting the main focus of the NPPF of providing increased housing numbers and sustainable development.

The council's response in 2015 was:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 36:

SC4C.xii. Would the land at Greenacres, Duxford meet the designation criteria for LGS as it represents a relatively small area of grass verge in private ownership which is to be fenced to restrict public access and could potentially be developed for additional housing as it is surrounded by the residential properties in Greenacres? (NH/12-055).

The Council considers that there have been no new issues raised neither has there been a change of circumstance to merit the removal of the designation. The site was submitted by Duxford Parish Council who considered it to be an area of informal recreation valued by the local community.

The owner of the land has objected to the designation of the land as LGS. A planning application (S/2038/08/F) for a dwelling and garage on the site was refused in 2008.

Included in the reasons for refusal was the fact that the open green space contributes towards the local character of this part of the village and the proposed development would

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Greenacres		Duxford	
	area. The area of Land the communing green specified that it si	compromise the openness and landscape character of the area. The site is valued by the local community as it is an area of green space within a predominately residential area. Land that is designated as LGS can be in private ownership and there does not have to be public access for the local community nearby to value the space. Development of this green space for additional housing would impact on the character of this part of Duxford and the Council considers that it should remain in the plan as a LGS. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that		
		ne assessment carried out b nown that circumstances ha		
	LGS Site This site resident housing any way	Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 LGS Site NH/12-055-Duxford This site represents a small grass verge within residential cul-de-sac, a feature which is comm housing estates. It could not, to my mind, be reany way as demonstrably special and therefore satisfy the LGS criteria.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Grassland with which contributes to the of this part of the village. He particular local significant Inspectors interim finding	character and amenity of owever, it is not of ce'. This refelects the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is out area but does provide a significant conservation Area. The significant conservation Area is one grade II listed dwelling distance south of the site Street. However, it is not a 'particular local significant conservation.	setting for the site provides a setting for any which lies 50m = No. 4, St Johns considered the land has	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal ame housing estate. However described as having a particular beyond that role which we enhanced level of protects.	enity area within a , it could not be articular local significance ould warrant the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a grass verge adjacent to a residential road leading to a cul-de-sac of hot therefore it is not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	have a diversity of	
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo	ocal Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a		

Site reference NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)	Name of Site Greenacres		Parish Duxford	
community and of particular lesignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC		small grassed amenity area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and the setting of a listed building and conservation area. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		ecreational ion to the e, and the ervation area. gh level of
Should the site be recomme	No			

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 55 (0.07 Hectares)		enacres		Duxford		
framework of the village?	the site within the development amework of the village?		Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planr permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: Garea.	Details: Grassland with scattered trees within a residential area.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previous	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA	Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Green Details: If the site is a recru			
			area, allotment or playing fields			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity area of grass within a residential area.			a residential	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Small amenity area of grass within a residential contributes to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	nquillity		
this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: area.	Small amenity area of gra	ass within	a residential	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference	Name of Si			Parish	
NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	End of Mar	ige	rs Lane	Duxford	
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	1	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olcci	1	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			s: Grassland with scattered without public access	trees and	l residential
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each	par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
	Reas	ons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	1	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	1	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anne. 2014. Option asses Site is Prima Area. with the area is of this existin PVAA lie two use. E appea of PV Counc meets LGS. The s Propo there	It was a lit was	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence providence providence) as identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site PVAA03) with ent (in 2013): If thin the village framework is can be seen from Green in well-established trees where of the village. Respondent of the village. Respondent of the village. Respondent is in the second of the village of the second of the village of the second of the village. Respondent is in the second of the village of of th	aper for L in the Issu the counce adjacent to s within Co to the pub St to the e ich adds to ent who wis tates that the are beyond has unker aracter an rea. Existing F luded in the reen Spac 013. In the	ies and cil's of Duxford onservation olic. Fields east. Enclosed of the character shes the within the site of functional on the dappearance of the character of the site of the character of the character of the olice olice of the olice olice of the olice of the olice o

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	End of Mangers Lane	Duxford

should remove designation of PVAA as no longer meets criteria. Replace with more flexible and responsive community use allocation / designation (for allotments / orchard / affordable housing) to serve local community and village.

Assessment by Council -

All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.

Representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore not addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

There was one objection from an individual (64973) whose comments are summarised as:

Inclusion of site as LGS not following robust assessment of site. Previously designated as PVAA - does not mean it meets criteria for LGS.

Concerned that the landowners had received no notification of proposed designation.

Site enclosed area, not accessible to public. Not visible from public domain therefore does not contribute to village character. No community role. No recreational value. No significant wildlife. Extensive tract of land - not suitable as LGS. Some parts may be suitable but not northern section owned by respondent - College Farm. This should not be LGS. Site within conservation area which will protect its character and appearance.

There was another objection from an individual (64975) whose comments are summarised as:

Site within conservation area - does not need further constraint. Comprises of 2 paddocks and 2 garden areas enclosed by fences. Accept paddocks have only limited development potential due to access constraints. Have been assured that LGS designation would not allow public right of access onto the land or use of compulsory purchase of site. On that understanding the landowner does not object to LGS on paddock areas but does strongly object to gardens being included. Both areas in full use as garden for adjoining houses. Delineation includes front portion of their house. Adjoining and neighbouring gardens not designated as LGS - expect to be treated likewise.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	End of Mangers Lane	Duxford
	Site not visible from public road or site has been properly visited and a	•

designation.

The council's response in 2015 was:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 37-8:

SC4C.xiii. Should the boundary of the LGS designation for the End of Mangers Lane, Duxford be amended so as to include the paddock areas but exclude all of the residential garden land? Would the land remaining meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? (NH/12-056)

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:

- a. Amend the boundary of the LGS
- b. Remaining land meets the LGS test?

Amendment of the boundary?

This site is an existing Protected Village Amenity Area, and since 1993 has been recognised in plans for the district as an area worthy of protection in the central part of Duxford, due to the contribution the openness of the area makes to the character and amenity of the village. The Council has assessed this area as being a suitable candidate for LGS.

An objection has been received from the owners of the residential properties whose garden land is included within this LGS requesting that it be removed. The Council assessed the area and considered that it met the test for LGS providing an important open area within the village. A LGS can be made up of land with different characters - the paddocks alongside the residential gardens form an important open area within the centre of the village that if developed would impact on the character of this area.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

Remaining land meeting the LGS test?

Site reference		e of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)	End	of Mange		Duxford
		paddocks holds a pand there residential. The Counthis site is been raise the assess	aining land' is an area which with mature trees. The Control articular local significance afore would meet the test for all garden areas. Incil remains of the opinion should remain in the plan areas are through the landowner as ment carried out by the control at circumstances have charted.	ouncil considers that this to this part of the village or LGS together with the that the designation of as no new issues have consultation that affect Council nor has it been
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Paddocks and contribute to the characte village. However, it is not significance'.	er and amenity of the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: Site is in the Coprovides the setting for 2 which are positioned on it the Old House, Green Stramhouse and attached However, it is not consider particular local significant	grade II listed buildings ts east boundary - no.3 reet and No.11, College barn, Green Street. ered the land has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ır	Red	Reasons: The land has r	no recreational value
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a central at to provide some feeling of contemplation but not to the warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	f remoteness and quiet the extent that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a diversity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises paddocks and located within the consert to the setting of listed bui contribution to the characteristic village. However, it does level of protection provide high bar set by the criteria	s LGS. The site residential gardens, vation area it contributes Idings and makes some ster and amenity of the not warrant the high ed by LGS, given the
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace? No

Site reference NH/12 – 56 (1.65 Hectares)		ne of Site of Mangers	Lane	Parish Duxford	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	s the site within the development ramework of the village?		Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wou change this		
			rassland with scattered to thout public access.	ees and re	esidential
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	Yes	Details: It has previously PVAA.	y been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula			Reasons: Paddocks and residential gardens		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Paddocks and residential gardens contribute t the character and amenity of the village.			contribute to
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o o f	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tranquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Grassland with scattered trees and residen gardens without public access.		residential	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Paddocks an contribute to the charac village.		
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	ation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name	of Site		Parish Elsworth	1
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity Ar				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Allotments.		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?		each part	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte providing LGS. The cour the local The site v Proposed represen Represer Land Ow not addre for PPF i represer 2014, or meeting Davison ((no.6491)	was assessed in the Sustanappendix 5 (Evidence proposed in the Sustanappendix 5 (Evidence proposed in the Sustanappendix 6 (Evidence proposed in 2013): If you have a control of the sustanappendix of the Local Green appendix D - Schedule of 10/03/2015. Sons (Great Barford) Ltd 1) because: Interpretation of the Local Green appendix D - Schedule of 10/03/2015. Sons (Great Barford) Ltd 1) because: It is not justified and Courter appendix C - Sustanappendix C - Schedule of 10/03/2015.	aper for L in the Issu the counce d commun e. Meets the 013 was to reen Spac 013 and the chis site as The site was ledule of a mary of en Space f assessn	des and cil's asset the tests for a include it in the nere were no a result of the as therefore assessment a consultation nent for PPF

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Allotments	Elsworth
	space and consequently L mechanism to secure use Relevant draft policy in Lo with the NPPF. Assessme not reflective of it circumst would provide adequate p development. LGS designation will not s is a distinct risk that their is significantly curtailed altog The council responded in The sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS have been raised that affe shown that circumstances	cal Plan (NH/12) does not accordent of site's quality as allotments is tances. Alternative policy - SC/9 rotection of allotment from secure the allotments, indeed there use for these purposes may be gether.
	SC4 paper Examination page 38-9: SC4C.xiv. Would the LGS gardens on land at Meado	ddressed in the Council's Matter Statement (November 2016) on a designation of the allotment ow Drift, Elsworth be necessary or icv SC9 of the Plan would provide

SC4C.xiv. Would the LGS designation of the allotment gardens on land at Meadow Drift, Elsworth be necessary or appropriate given that Policy SC9 of the Plan would provide adequate protection to the allotments from their loss or future development? (NH/12-057)

185. The Council considers that the allotments should continue to be designated under Policy NH/12. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as they considered it to be valued community asset providing recreational use for the village in the form of allotments in this part of the village. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation. The Council recognises that Policy SC9 of the Plan does provide protection to allotment uses in general but not to specific sites and the policy would allow for another suitable location if there was a proposal to remove the allotment use from this site. The LGS designation shows that the local community value this particular location for the allotments as it has a long history and is oversubscribed by villagers. The protection of allotments is one of the types of green area that is listed in the National Planning Practice Guidance as being a suitable use for a LGS..

Elsworth Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4) The Parish Council has set out the reasons why this LGS meets the tests for a LGS as this site is of special local significance. These are the only allotments in the village and the PC would find it difficult to find a suitable alternative site for this use within the village. They have been consistently

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Allotments		Elsworth
	There has the charvillage. The Couthis site been rainthe assesshown the line of the site village. It is the chart of the site village. It is the chart of the chart of the chart of the site village. It is the chart of the char	tinuously in use as allotmer as been a high demand for acter and amenity of the rule and remains of the opinion should remain in the plan a sed through the landowner assment carried out by the chat circumstances have changed and allotment situated on t clearly serves a purpose wit, to my mind, be regarded erms.	them. They contribute to ral community of the that the designation of as no new issues have consultation that affect Council nor has it been anged. 2017 worth the northern fringe of the within the village but
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area contribution to the characteristic village. The site provides west corner of the village of the Church of England However, the site does not through the village. The amoderately visually attracted maintained allotments an enclosed with mature trees sites. It is not of 'particular village.	eter and amenity of the a soft edge at the north and adds to the setting Primary School. ot offer long views allotment garden site is etive. It has well d paths and the site is es and hedgerows on 3
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: Part of the site conservation area. Howe the land has a 'particular its heritage.	ver, it is not considered
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: Allotments profunction. However, they chaving a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LC Inspectors interim finding	sould not be described as significance beyond that the enhanced level of SS. This refelects the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the village, they provide some and quiet contemplation be would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	e feeling of remoteness out not to the extent that
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a diversity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locommunity and of particular locommunity.		Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises an area of allo	s LGS.The site

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Elsworth	1
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	SS?	within the conservation a limited contribution to the of the village and has a relocation on the periphery feeling of remoteness and However, it does not war protection provided by LO set by the criteria in the Nappectors interim finding	character ecreation f of the villa d quiet cor rant the hig SS, given t IPPF. This	and amenity unction. Its age provide a ntemplation. gh level of the high bar
Should the site be recomme	ended for desiç	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)	Allo	ments		Elsworth	ı
Is the site within the developmer framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: A	llotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e 	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function					
the village or for this portioular		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this particle part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	?	Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.		ppment
Should the site be recomme	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 57 (0.36 Hectares)		ne of Site tments		Parish Elsworth
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green		
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green		
Criteria tests for ICF				
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.	
		Reasons: No discernable views.		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.	
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.		into the open hat a link is retained gins and surroundings. If open rural views from the ne frontage protects the
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.
Should the site be recommodule Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No

Site reference		e of Site	Natura Basama	Parish		
NH/12 – 58 (0.90 Hectares)		lells Lane Nature Reserve Elsworth				
 Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside 	nity A	I Amenity A				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Unofficial Nature Reserv	e.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS031) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This nature reserve is one that South Cambs DC included in the Biodiversity Strategy as being an open space of local importance. Wooded area important for wildlife. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.				
		The site was included as a Local Green Spa Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013 and t representation (no. 64881) in support of the designation from Elsworth Parish Council wh Valuable village amenity accessible to all res Supports wide variety of plant and animal sp natural habitat. Site provides a nearby educa- nature study for pupils at local Primary Scho			nd there were one the LGS I who wrote: I residents. Il species in their ducational asset for	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ır	Green	Reasons: Nature Reserve character and amenity of			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ır	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the and provides the setting f			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
		e Nature Reserve	Elsworth			
NH/12 – 58 (0.90 Hectares)	Fardens Land					
Historical significance		buildings Nos 2 and 4, (D Fardells Lane to the east (Ivyfold), Broad End to the However, it is not conside 'particular local significant	of the site e west of t ered the la	and No 4 he site. nd has a		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	7411201	Reasons: The land is account it is not of 'particular lo				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located to the centre, it is a the small na some feeling of remotene contemplation but not to t warrant the enhanced lev by LGS.	ture reser ss and qu he extent	ve providing iet that would		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Green	Reasons: Nature reserve identified in the Biodiversity Strategy and will have a wildlife / biodiversity function and is also 'of particular losignificance' to the local community.		a wildlife / particular local		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a nature reserve located within the conservation area, which contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of listed buildings. It is accessible for recreation and provides a remote area of quiet contemplation. It is identified in the Biodiversity Strategy for its importance for wildlife and biodiversity function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided builds.				
Should the site be recommo	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 59 (2.68 Hectares)		e of Site s Close		Parish Elsworth			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	Amenity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planning permission				
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Recreation Ground.				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprainance A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) M 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS034) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Playing providing recreational use for village. Field edged with mature trees. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include plan as LGS.					
		Proposed	was included as a Local Go d Submission Local Plan 20 eived on this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Red	Reasons: Large area of only a limited contribution amenity of the village.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the and does provide the sett buildings at Nos 18, 20, 20 House), Smiths Street to several Grade II listed contact.	ting for gra 22 and 24, the east of	de II listed (The Manor f the site,		

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 59 (2.68 Hectares)		of Site Close		Parish Elsworth		
			the west of the site, Grov			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar ,	Amber	Reasons: Located beside a local nature reservand residential properties, the area provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar F	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided b LGS.			
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)		e of Site e Field		Parish Elsworth		
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	I Amenity Area				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
			: Grassland with trees aro tial area	und the pe	erimeter within	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	:: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte to the loc green tre Meets the	was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March was identified as an option in the Issues and 2 Part 2 (site LGS033) with the council's nent (in 2013): ed by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Field adjacent cal church surrounded by mature trees. Brings eed area to within the village adding rural character. The tests for LGS. Incil's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local LGS.			
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. A representation was received this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 20 The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 summary of representations for the Local Green Spaconsultation 2014, or appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.				
		The rep (no. 64927) was an objection from the Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who commented: Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in				

OTTE AGGEGOWENTO			
Site reference	Nam	ne of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	Gle	pe Field	Elsworth
		paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is valued for its mature trees w statutorily protected. The Council has not demonstrated holds a particular local significance could be retained with a sensitive of proposal. Eight sites have been identified with	that site is special and apart from trees which development

to an extensive overall reduction in potential for the bringing forward of sustainable development, contrary to NPPF.

The Inspector is respectfully requested to exclude the designation from the Local Plan.

The council's response in 2015 was:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement** (November 2016) on page 39:

SC4C.xv. Would the land known as Glebe Field, Elsworth meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular significance, and is currently protected by its designation as a PVAA? (NH/12-060).

The value of this site in contributing to the character of this part of Elsworth has been recognised through earlier plan making as it is protected as an existing PVAA. This field is adjacent to the local church surrounded by mature trees. Brings green treed area to within the village adding rural character. The Council considers that the land known as Glebe Field should be designated as a LGS. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as it is an area of historical interest being the only 'glebe land' remaining within the village. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation.

Elsworth Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4). This LGS has both historic significance and recreational value for the local community. Glebe originates in the Middle Ages as land held by the parish church to support the incumbent priest. After the 1803 Enclosure Award there was 58 acres of glebe land within the village and this field proposed as LGS is the last remaining piece of that mediaeval legacy - it therefore has great historical significance. It also has landscape value as it abuts the churchyard and contributes

Site reference	Name of Si	te	Parish	
NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)	Glebe Field	d	Elsworth	1
		to the setting of the Grade I listed church. It has recreational value as it is the site of the church fete and other village events. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ambe	which contributes t this part of the villa	Reasons: Grassland within a residential area which contributes to the character and amenity this part of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Ambe	There are 2 Grade distance to the eas cottage), Boxworth Chapel), Boxworth considered the land	Reasons: The site is in the conservation area. There are 2 Grade II listed buildings lying 50m distance to the east of the site (No 38, (Chapel cottage), Boxworth Road and No 40, (Baptist Chapel), Boxworth Road. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Ambe	providing an inform area. However, it c having a particular role which would w	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an informal amenity area in a resident area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	oes the site have a particular cal significance due to its: Amber Reasons: It is a cert to provide some feel		eling of remotene not to the extent	ess and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site doe wildlife.	es not have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	suitable for designary grass amenity area which contributes the village and the provides an information However, none of the warrant the high less	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a grass amenity area within the conservation area which contributes to the character and amenity the village and the setting of listed buildings. It provides an informal recreation function. However, none of these attributes are sufficient warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.	
Should the site be recomme	ended for de	esignation as Local Gr	reen Space?	No

Site reference NH/12 – 60 (0.34 Hectares)		e of Site e Field		Parish Elsworth	l		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this				
		Details: Gresidential	rassland with trees aroun area.	d the peri	meter within a		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	•		Details: It has previousl PVAA.	y been de	signated as a		
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green			area / amenity		
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields				
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Amenity area of grass wi	th trees.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Grassland with trees contributes to the characte and amenity of the village.					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	nquillity			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area beside a main road.			ential area		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Green Reasons: Grassland with trees within a residential area supports the village's character.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area? Yes							

Site reference	Name of Sit	110 01 0110				
NH/12 – 61 (0.42 Hectares)	Grounds of	unds of Low Farm Elsworth				
Is the site already protected b • Protected Village Ame		desig	gnation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village Amenity Area	
 Important Countryside 	Frontage.				Amenity Alea	
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	o Older	n S	ite does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Oleci	Green Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
	Reaso	ons: (Garden (not open to the	public).		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each p	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reaso	ons: N	No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	C	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	n S	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. Option asses: Submi farmh which village provid The co plan a	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS037) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. A grade II listed thatched farmhouse – Low Farm – stands in the middle of this area which is an existing PVAA. As the oldest house in the village this has historic interest and the surrounding land provides a setting to this property. Meets the tests for LGS The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in loc plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		were received on this site. Amber Reasons: It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the villa limited as it surrounded by tall trees and cannot be contributed.			of the village is	
		be seen from the public road.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Ambe	b a is	leasons: The garden is uilding in the village, a Cond it is within the consels not considered the landignificance due to its he	Frade II list vation are d has a 'pa	ed farmhouse a. However, it	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Name	of Site					
NH/12 – 61 (0.42 Hectares)	Grou	nds of Lo	w Farm	Elsworth	1		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Red	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		onal value.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: It is a privately owned garden.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?			Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises residential garden, located within the conservation area it contributes to the setting of listed buildings and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site ed within the he setting of ontribution to lage. gh level of		
Should the site be recomme	ended	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

Site reference NH/12 – 61 (0.40 Hectares)		e of Site unds of Lov	v Farm	Parish Elsworth	1	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: G	arden (not open to the pu	ıblic)		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Privately owned site with no public access. The garden is part of the oldest building in the village, a Grade II listed farmhouse.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: The garden is part of the oldest building in the village, a Grade II listed farmhouse.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tranquillity			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Secluded private garden.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Private garden of a Listed Building - the oldest in the village - supports the village's character.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	ation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 62 (1.121 Hectares)	Name of Site Field between Brook Street	Brockley Road and	Parish Elsworth	1		
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity Area;	Fronta				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reasons	s: Meadowland.				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each par original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	s: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options a assessm Submittee southern following towards an impore Many of grasslan rural enti for LGS. The cour plan as L The site Proposed were rec A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence properties an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS039) with ent (in 2013): If the western boundary. Views of the weste	aper for L in the Issu in the Issu in the Issu in the council is grasslan mature her ews across village are along Brock and theref of them. The esouth. M 013 was: If the site as The site as The site wa alle of asso ary of rep	d on the dgerow sthe site e protected by kley Road. fore this is creates a deets the tests include in local e in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for resentations		

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 62 (1.121	Field between Brockley Road and	Elsworth
Hectares)	Brook Street	

appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

An individual objected to the boundary in a rep (no. 64896) whose comments are summarised as:

Owners of land believe that there is an opportunity to provide a large element of green space on the site whilst integrating it with a sustainable development of open market and affordable housing - More detailed information is available on the proposed scheme.

The council responded in 2015:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 39:

SC4C.xvi. Should consideration be given to amending the boundary of the Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street, Elsworth LGS designation in light of the proposals for residential development on part of the site? (NH/12-062)

No. The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS, and warrants protection in the Local Plan. Elsworth Parish Council submitted this site as an area which is important to the character of the rural setting of the village. The Council considers that this site meets the tests for LGS designation.

The proposed residential development was refused by the Council in a planning application in September 2015 (Ref. S/1279/15/OL) and subsequently there was an unsuccessful appeal in January 2016 (APP/W0530/W/15/3135579)91. One of the main reasons for refusal was the important contribution this site makes in its current undeveloped form to the overall character and appearance of the conservation area and the setting of the church and other buildings including listed buildings which have views across this land. Due to the surrounding topography in the inspector's opinion it provides important contextual views of the parish church and the village within the wider rural landscape. Development of this site would result in a substantial change in the land's character and appearance. It would significantly erode the important balance of historic built form and landscape within the conservation area.

Elsworth Parish Council in its additional evidence (See

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 62 (1.121 Hectares)		Id between Brockley Road and Elsworth bok Street			
		Indicated LGS des The value the appe importan of this pa	(4) has highlighted the apply that the current owners of ignation. e of the proposed LGS has al decision as the Inspector to the village. This has fix assessment that this site	this LGS some the supper recognises to the runther reaf	support the ported through ed the ural character firmed the
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: It has a beauty in itself, but its contribution to the wider character of the village is limited as it surrounded by tall trees and cannot be seen from the public road.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Red	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: No public acce	ess.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the sits suitable for designation as LGS. The site is meadowland located within the conservation a which contributes to the character and amenity the village, but none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 62 (1.12 Hectares)		d between E ok Street	Brockley Road and	Elsworth		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: M	eadowland			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 62 (1.12 Hectares)	Field	ne of Site d between Brockley Roa ok Street	ad and	Parish Elsworth	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green Reasons: Views betwe framework.	but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character. pns: Views between Elsworth's own development		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: An ICF could go along the eastern edge of Brockley Road - Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside between Elsworth's own development framework.		
		Reasons: The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Green	Road and protected I	Field between Brockley Brook Street can be by the designation of an Brockley Road.	
Should the site be recommo	ended	d for designation as Imp	ortant	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 - 63 (0.209 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at south end of Brook Street Parish Elsworth			1	
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Area	a;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		reen	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		reen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Re	easons	: Grassland.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		easons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		ireen	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		reen	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ai 20 Opas So Mi vin tra LO Th as A La ac PI fo	nnex A 014. It was ptions 2 ssessme tubmittee Meadow illage branquil a GS. he counts LGS. he site was represe and Own ddresse PF mee or the Lo	vas assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence powers identified as an option of Part 2 (site LGS040) with ent (in 2013): If the description of the description of the parts o	aper for L in the Issu the counc ent to Broos a woode a bridge. Meets the 013: Includ reen Spac 013, no re nis site as The site wa alle of asse ary of repo	ckley End d area with the It provides a e tests for de in local plan e in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14, or

Site reference NH/12 – 63 (0.209 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at south end of Brook Street	Parish Elsworth
. 100ta 100)	The rep (no.64974) was an ob whose comments are summar No robust assessment of LGS	ised as: against criteria in para 77 o
	NPPF. Concerned that no form designation. Site does not meet criteria for vacant and unkempt site; not site not previously designated as F. County Wildlife site - no signification evidence as to why LGS. Site within conservation area of appearance and character.	LGS - no public access; special to local community - PVAA; Valued as next to cant wildlife on site. Limited
	The council's response in 201: The sites was previously asse meeting the tests for LGS and have been raised that affect the shown that circumstances have remains of the opinion that the remain in the plan.	ssed by the Council as therefore unless new issue he assessment or it has bee re changed the Council
	The site was specifically address SC4 paper Examination State page 40: SC4C.xvii. Would the Land at Elsworth meet the designation being demonstrably special ar significance as the site is unkers screened and has no public acceptable benefits from designated herital within a conservation area? (Note that is the site of the site o	ement (November 2016) of south end of Brook Street, a criteria for LGS in terms of ad of particular local empt, overgrown, well access, and the land already age asset protection as it is
	The value of this site in contrib part of Elsworth has been reco making as it is protected as ar	ognised through earlier plan
	The Council considers that this LGS. Elsworth Parish Council which is considered to be a trabrook, adjacent to the Brockle and has wildlife value to the vithat this site meets the tests for	submitted this site as an are anquil area by the village y End Meadow Wildlife site Ilage. The Council considers
	It should be noted that a LGS access and can be valued by rural unkempt appearance.	
	Elsworth Parish Council has s support the designation of this site meets the tests for LGS of tranquillity and richness of wild LGS NH/12-061 to the north w	LGS. (See Appendix 4). The n the grounds of its Allife. The site sites between

Site reference	Name o	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 63 (0.209 Hectares)	Land at	t south	end of Brook Street	Elsworth	ı
	so er co de TI th be th st	outh. It invironmompone evelopn The Cours site seen rais assesses	In the village and the Counts a highly significant area ental conservation in the vent should be given the highent. Incil remains of the opinion should remain in the plan area through the landowner as ment carried out by the Cat circumstances have charted.	for wildlife village and hest protect that the design new incomplete consultations.	and each ction against esignation of issues have on that affect
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ır Aı	mber	Reasons: It has a beauty contribution to the wider of limited as it surrounded be cannot be seen from the	character o y tall trees	of the village is in parts and
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		mber	Reasons: Most of the site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r R	ed	Reasons: The land has r	no recreation	onal value.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ır R	ed	Reasons: No public acce	ess.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		ed	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	ed	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a grassland, mostly located area. It contributes to the of the village, but none of sufficient to warrant the h provided by LGS, given the criteria in the NPPF.	s LGS. The within the character these attri	e site is conservation and amenity ibutes are f protection
Should the site be recomme	ended fo	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 63 (0.21 Hectares)	Land	d at south e	I at south end of Brook Street Elsworth			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.	
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: G	rassland			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	.?	Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.		ppment	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 63 (0.21 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at south end of Brook Street			Parish Elsworth	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.	
Should the site be recommodule Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	ortant	No	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Land at Fard	ell's Lane	Elsworth	1	
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	Frontage			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	Reason	s: Grassland.			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pa original	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reason	is: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. It Options assess Submitte Fardell's frontage enhance LGS. The could as LGS. The site	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS044) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Views northward from Fardell's Lane protected by an important countryside frontage. The site is within the Conservation Area enhancing the rural setting of the village. Meets the tests LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local pas LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: It has a beauty to the wider character of surrounded by hedgerow easily seen from the pub	the village s and tree	. It is	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	^r Amber	Reasons: Site is within the However, it is not consider particular local significant	ered the la	nd has a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	r Red	Reasons: The land has	no recreati	onal value.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Nam	Name of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Land	Land at Fardell's Lane Elswort		Elsworth	1
Recreational value					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: No public acce	ess.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the sits suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland, located within the conservation are contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is rvation area. It enity of the are sufficient on provided by
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Land	d at Fardell	's Lane	Elsworth	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: G	rassland		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?					
		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	_		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ige	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 64 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Land at Fardell's Lane			Parish Elsworth	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	r	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: An ICF could go along the Fardell's Lane frontage to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside.		
		Reasons: The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Green	Reasons: The site's southern ed can be protected by the designation of an ICF.		
Should the site be recommo Countryside Frontage?	d for designation as Imp	oortant	Yes		

Site reference NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)		e of Site ge Green		Parish Eltisley	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Village green.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
In the offering to a second offering		Reasons	S: NO		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte an import by alread for the bu listed bui The cour as LGS. The site v Proposed were rece A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee for the L appendix 10/03/20	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence proposed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence proposed identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS047) with ent (in 2013): If the description of the description of the sustant key green feature with the submission that the tests for a submission Local Plan 2 description of the submission Local Plan 2 description of the submission of the submi	aper for L in the Issue the counce illage gree in the villa AA. It prove village wh LGS. D13: Include reen Space D13, no report his site as The site wa alle of asse ary of report Itation 20 ment for I	en in Eltisley is age recognised ides a setting nich include de in local plan e in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14, and PPF meeting

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)	Village Green	ı	Eltisley		
	to point of land as if Council a	mapped in the inclosure avare aware of an error in thistry and are in the proces	ea must match the original ward of 17/3/1864. e land registered with		
	The sites meeting have be shown the remains	ncil responded in 2015: s was previously assessed the tests for LGS and their en raised that affect the as that circumstances have chot the opinion that the site in the plan.	refore unless new issues ssessment or it has been nanged the Council		
	SC4 pap page 41: SC4C.xv Green, E	The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Mat SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) of page 41: SC4C.xviii. Should the LGS designation for the Village Green, Eltisley be concurrent with the original land as mapped in the inclosure award of 17 March 1864? (NH/1065).			
	village g the village PVAA. T for LGS	Parish Council (PC) submireen and is an important kage recognised by already be a council considers that designation and designate bundary as the existing PV	rey green feature within peing identified as a this site meets the tests are the LGS to follow the		
	boundar map sho boundar LGS. Wi developi boundar village g over a n planning the LGS	the Parish Council has requested that the inclosure award bundary be used to identify the LGS and has submitted a papending this boundary (See Appendix 4). This bundary is very similar to that proposed as that for the GS. Where there are differences it would appear that evelopment has taken place since 1864 on the southern bundary of the green. The existing PVAA has protected the lage green are a number of years and the Council considers that for anning purposes continuing the boundary of the PVAA to be LGS provides continuity and is an effective logical bundary for the LGS.			
		Council remains of the opinion that the designation of ite should remain in the plan with no amendment to its dary.			
Does the site have a particul local significance due to its: Beauty	ar Green	Reasons: Large village contribution to the chara village.	green makes a sigbificant cter and amenity of the		
Does the site have a particul local significance due to its:	ar Amber	Reasons: Site is in the 0	Conservation area and		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 65 (3.5 Hectares)	Village Green		Eltisley	
Historical significance		there is one listed building on site (a telephone kiosk). It provides the setting for the Grade II listed Green farmhouse, 50m to the south of the Green. There is a war memorial on the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a central at to provide some feeling of contemplation but not to the warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	remotene he extent t	ess and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides a prima recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protecti provided by LGS.		e site is a and and and and and and and and and a
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green Sp	pace?	Yes

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	Allot	ments for	Labouring Poor	Eltisley	<u> </u>	
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	•					
Important Countryside		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
use?						
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Allotments.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS048) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Allotments to north side of Caxton Drift provide a recreational use for the village/ Meet the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local pla as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the				
			d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.	· 	•	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area contribution to the charact village. The site provides east corner of the village, the setting of nearby build through the village. The a only moderately visually a maintained allotments and fully enclosed with mature distinct aesthetically plead 'particular local significant	eter and ar a soft edg The site of dings. or of allotment g attractive want d paths ar e trees. It	menity of the ge at the south does not add to ffer long views parden site is with well ad the site is lacks any	

Site reference	Name of Site	n I ah ayusin n Da ay	Parish Eltisley	
NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares) Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: No historical s this site.	Reasons: No historical significance attache	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: Allotments profunction. However, they chaving a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LC	could not be significance the enhan	e described as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: Located on the village, they provide some and quiet contemplation be would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	e feeling o	f remoteness he extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only limited contribution to the character and amenit of the village and has a recreation function. Its location on the periphery of the village provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)	Allo	ments for Labouring Poor Eltisley				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.	
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: A	llotments			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	.?	Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.		ppment	
Should the site be recomme	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 66 (0.59 Hectares)		ne of Site tments for Labouring Po	oor	Parish Eltisley		
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No		

Site reference NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Pocket Park		Parish Eltisley		
Is the site already protected b	y an existing d	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Area;				
 Important Countryside 	Frontage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reason	s: Wooded area			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	nap to be produced to indicent. Assessment of the parts site reference number then	s to be refe	erenced with	
	Reason	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It Options assessin Submitte has a m the road wildlife i tests for The cou as LGS. The site Propose were red	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS049) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. This is a wooded area which has a mature hedgerow all along the northern boundary with the road. The local community are working to encourage wildlife into this area by careful management. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local planas LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber Amber	Reasons: Wooded area to the character and ame However, it is not of 'part	nity of the	village.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a small we not be described as having significance beyond that	ng a partic	ular local	

Site reference NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Pocket Park		Parish Eltisley	
		the enhanced level of pro	tection pro	ovided by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amb		Reasons: It is a small woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber Amber	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, and has informal recreation, wildlife and biodiversity functions and provides a remote area of quiet contemplation. However, none of these attributes are sufficient to warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a contribution to lage, provides contemplation, and a remote area cone of these ne high level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	ignation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)	Pock	ket Park		Eltisley	
Is the site within the developmer framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: W	ooded area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this particle part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	?	Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.		ppment
Should the site be recomme	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ıge	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 67 (0.29 Hectares)		ne of Site ket Park		Parish Eltisley	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Padd	me of Site ddock, Ditton Lane at the junction Fen Ditton th High Ditch Road		on		
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Ar	Frontage				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: .			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish			
		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submittee has an in Cambridg across th to the wid a green s enhances the tests The cour as LGS. The site v Proposed were rece Land Ow addresse PPF mee	Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS050) with ent (in 2013): If the properties of the state of the st	aper for L in the Issue the counce western ed age along if protecting the and garder a of pasture viside into vert of Fen D 013: Include reen Space 013, no rep this site as The site wa alle of asse ary of repi	desired by the site of the of	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish				
NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road	Fen Ditton				
	appendix D - Schedule of assess 10/03/2015.	appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.				
	designation. It was from RM Franci	The representation (no.64926) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from RM Francis Will Trust who stated: Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in				

The representation (no.64926) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from RM Francis Will Trust who stated: Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site fails second test as it has not been shown to be demonstrably special and of particular local significance. Concept of bringing countryside into village to enhance rural character is not considered demonstrably special due to the existing scale of the village and its already strong rural character.

Views of the site are limited. Views of properties and gardens are not considered special. Views out towards open countryside are restricted by mature trees and views are already protected by Importance Countryside Frontage designation. Designation will preclude any consideration of a sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development.

The council responded in 2015:

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 41-2:

SC4C.xix.

Would the Paddock, Ditton Lane at the junction with High Ditch Road, Fen Ditton meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular significance, and is currently protected by its designation as an Important Countryside Frontage? (NH/12-068).

Fen Ditton Parish Council submitted this site for consideration as a LGS stating that it is always regarded as the 'green lung' of the village and that it enhances its rural aspect. The Green Belt surrounds the village of Fen Ditton and this field is a pocket of green space within the village where the boundary of the Green Belt extends around the built form of Fen Ditton.

The value of this land has been recognised in an earlier plan as there is an Important Countryside Frontage identified along part of its boundary in the Cambridge East Area Action Plan. This frontage is along the northern and western boundary of the site; protecting views out across the site towards properties and gardens and beyond to the

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)		dock, Ditte High Ditc	on Lane at the junction h Road	Fen Ditto	on
		from dev	en countryside. This fronta elopment of the site. The C idered that it met the tests	Council is a	assessing this
		objected meet the NPPF an considera	ers of the land, the RM Fra to the LGS designation co test for LGS according to to that designation as LGS ation of a sensitively design tole development.	nsidering t paragraph would pre	that it does not 77 of the clude any
		this site s	ncil remains of the opinion should remain in the plan a sed through the landowner	s no new l	issues have
		affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: It has a beauty in itself and its openness contributes to the wider character of the village. It surrounded by tall trees.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the and is positioned next to (no 6, (Honeysuckle Cotta However, it is not consider 'particular local significant')	a grade II age) High ered the la	listed building Ditch Road. nd has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red	Reasons: The land has r	no recreati	onal value.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: No public acce	ess.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a paddock land which has a openness contributes to the village and it forms part of conservation area and list it does not warrant the high provided by LGS, given the criteria in the NPPF.	s LGS. The beauty in the wider of the settin ted buildingh level of	e site is in itself. Its character of the ig of the gs. However, protection
Should the site be recommo	ended	d for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 68 (0.70 Hectares)		dock, Dittor High Ditch	n Lane at the junction Road	Fen Ditto	on
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: 0			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?					
		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- 4		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this particle part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 68 (0.7 Hectares)	Pade	e of Site dock, Ditton Lane at the High Ditch Road	Parish Fen Ditton			
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular		Green	Details: Land between two nearby but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character.			
part of the village?			Reasons: Views towards the south and east from the centre of Fen Ditton's own development framework.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: An ICF could go along the northern and western edges of the site to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside from Fen Ditton's own development framework.			
		Reasons: The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Green	Reasons: The site's northern and western edges can be protected by the designation of an ICF.			
Should the site be recommo	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	Yes		

Site reference	Name of			Parish	
NH/12 – 69 (0.01 Hectares)	Village 0			Fen Ditte	
· ·	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No			
Protected Village Ame					
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane	 				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing Gre	een	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	GI	een	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Re	asons	: Village green.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	ead	ch par	ap to be produced to indic t. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	s to be refe	erenced with
	Re	asons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gr	een	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gre	een	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	An 20° Op ass Su. loc villa are villa for The as The even	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS051) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Very small area of grass land located at a cross roads upon which there is a seat and the village sign. Due to the busy nature of this road it is not an area for informal recreation or quiet reflection but has the village sign which is important to the village. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plar as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			es and cil's of grass land a seat and the ad it is not an but has the Meets the tests de in local plan
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Green Green	Green Reasons: Small village green which is the location of the village sign in the heart of the village. It makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of this crossroads in the central part of the village.			art of the ibution to the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Am	nber	Reasons: The site is in t is located 50m distance f Ancient Shepherd public	rom the G	rade II listed

Site reference NH/12 – 69 (0.01 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Fen Ditto	on .
		Street and Musgrave farmh Street. However, it is not co a 'particular local significant	onsidered	d the land has
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Red	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		onal value.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a small, central area beside a bu crossroad and therefore provides a very limited feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation be not to the extent that would warrant the enhance level of protection provided by LGS.		very limited templation but the enhanced
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not ha wildlife.	ıve a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green, within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings, it makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		e site is a on area and ildings, it the character particular local cial to the
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 70a	Recr	eation Gr	ound	Foxton		
(2.81 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;				
 Important Countryside 	Front	age.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) Recreation village fra To the no overlooks space to space on village w LGS. The cour as LGS. The consiste in the Proposed were rece A represe	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided as an option 2 Part 2 (site G30) with the conground in the middle of amework so could not be country of the site is a grade I as the recreation ground with open countryside. Housing a two sides. The local characteristic recommendation in 2 sultation in 2013 drew 2 supplies a Local Gas and submission Local Plan 2 devived on this site.	aper for L in the Issue council's the village considered listed chur th views ac g overlook acter of thi ea were de 013: Includ pports for reen Spac 013, no re	LGS) March les and les and les assessment le outside of the las a PVAA. Inch which leross the green is part of the lesignated as lede in local plan lincluding the le in the le presentations la result of the	

Site reference NH/12 – 70a (2.81 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground	Parish Foxton		
	addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representati			

PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

The representation (no.64969) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from Cambridgeshire County Council who stated:

Site should only be designated if it passes the tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Objection to part of site being designated. Site used as allotment land -this has not been demonstrated by District Council to be special to local community or to hold a particular local significance. Site forms part of an extensive tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community. Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF. Designation will risk harming future delivery of sustainable housing development on adjacent land contrary to aims of enabling sustainable development set out in NPPF.

Inspector requested to amend designation to exclude objection site.

The council responded in 2015:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 42-3:

SC4C.xx.

Should the land currently in use as allotments south of the recreation ground and immediately adjacent to the hammer head at the western end of Killingworth Way be excluded from the LGS designation for the Recreation Ground, Foxton on the basis that it is of an entirely different nature to the recreation ground and is not demonstrably special or hold a particular significance for the local community? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles? (NH/12-070)

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:

- a. Remove the allotment area from LGS
- b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 70a	Recreation Ground	Foxton
(2.81 Hectares)		
	as a LGS. It comprises of allotments which were ideamenities and would have character of the village if the community value both the allotments and in other village allotments area that is listed of green area that is listed and an according a suitable and the local community precreation ground and the supportive of the allotment area to the main recreation considers that the whole supportive of the allotment area to the designation bed development principles? The owners of the allotments of the allotments of the allotments of the allotments of the allotments. In designation, and the designation. In designation and the designation. In designation and the designation and the designation. In designation and the designation. In designation and the designation and the designation. In designation and the designation and the designation and the designation. In designation and the designation area to the allotments area to the designation. In designation and the designation area to the allotments area to the designation. In designation and the designation area to the allotments area to the allotments area to the designation. In designation and the designation area to the allotments area to the all	comitted this site for consideration the village recreation ground and entified as much loved local a hugely detrimental effect on the hey were lost. The local a recreation area and the lages where these two uses are lesignated on both sites. The site meets the tests for LGS on of allotments is one of the type of in the National Planning Practice able use for a LGS. Comitted by Foxton Parish Council of the high significance on this LGS, both the entitle allotments — it is a green space of the type of the site of the high significance of the local continuous and the site in ground. The Council however the the high significance on the site into two

In Foxton there are only four sites being proposed as LGS. The Parish Council is currently preparing a neighbourhood plan and through this process will be considering the future uses of land within the village. The Council considers that the current boundary of the LGS should be retained and that during the neighbourhood plan process there could be an opportunity for the Parish Council to take account of the views of the local community to see if there are

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017

opportunities for sites suitable for housing.

Site reference NH/12 – 70a		Name of Site Parish Recreation Ground Foxton				
(2.81 Hectares)						
LGS The s is we has a view althor object In Jul Site 0		The site of is well look has a recoview this although objective In July 20 Site 070	LGS Site NH/12-070-Foxton The site comprises a recreation ground and allotment which is well located within the village. The playing field clearly has a recreational value and is served by a pavilion. In my view this recreation area would meet the criteria above although the allotment by contrast would fall short of that objective. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 070 into two parts (070a Recreation ground and 070b Allotments).			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	Reasons: Recreational ground makes a particular contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty as it is visually attractive, adds to the setting of surrounding buildings and offers long views through the settlement and of local features.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: The site is located 50m distance south from the Grade I listed 12th century Church of St Lawrence for which it provides a setting. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		Church of St tting. nd has a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: It is a village recreational ground wi approximately 90% of the site surrounded by residential uses and therefore cannot demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quie contemplation.		unded by ot	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 - 70b	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Foxton		
(0.57 Hectares)	v on ovieting o	visting designation in the advated LDEO			
Is the site already protected be a Protected Village Ame		iesignation in the adopted L	.DF ?	No	
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Plann		Site does not have plann	ina nermis	l ssion	
Permission for an alternative use?	Green	Site does not have planin	ing pennis	551011	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reasor	s: Allotments.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasor	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Site 70	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 70 into two parts (070a Recreation ground and 700b Allotments).			
		rmation for site consideration 070b, please refer to the inf .			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Red Red		Reasons: Allotments make only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1.00	Reasons: No historical s this site			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: Allotments profunction. However, they of having a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LO Inspectors interim finding	could not be significance the enhares. This re	e described as e beyond that nced level of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a village reapproximately 90% of the residential uses and there demonstrate a feeling of contemplation.	e site surro efore cann	ounded by not	

Site reference NH/12 – 70b (0.57 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Foxton	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site makes only a and amenity unction. gh level of
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 70b	Allot	ments		Foxton		
(0.57 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.	
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: A	llotments			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.				
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	l for design	nation as Protected Villa	ıge	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 70b (0.57 Hectares)		ne of Site tments		Parish Foxton	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. It the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost.			
		The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recomm Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 71 (0.10 Hectares)		e of Site Green		Parish Foxton			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Village green				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	:: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G31) with the council's assessment (in 2012): Located to the south of the High Street. This is a triangle green space with trees and seating which offers a tranquarea within the village. There are a number of grade II cottages overlooking the green. Sites meets test for only LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local pas LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			ies and assessment is a triangle of ers a tranquil f grade II est for only de in local plan including the		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Compact village green area with seating and trees overlooked by Grade II listed buildings, makes a significant contribution to the character of the village.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Green Reasons: Site is within the conservation area and provides the setting of the adjacent Grade II listed buildings. The Green includes a war memorial, a					

Site reference	Name of Site	Site Parish	
NH/12 – 71 (0.10 Hectares)	The Green	Foxtor	
		grade II listed cottage (Cottage on the Green) and the listed Water Pump. There are 2 further listed buildings (Cottage at no.1 the Green and Home Farmhouse outside the Green but overlooking the Green.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Reasons: It does have an informal amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warre the enhanced level of protection provided by Lo	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: The land is adjacent to a village's peripheral road and therefore cannot be said to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a dwildlife.	versity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal		
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	ignation as Local Green Space?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 72 (0.44 Hectares)		ame of Site ovecote Meadow				
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Meadowland			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process? Does the site have a particula	ar	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G32) with the council's assessment (in 2012): Within centre of village on the High Street with important countryside frontage identified along its northern boundat to protect views across the site. It is outside of the village framework. The grassy meadow has a dovecote within it which has been restored by the local community. This dovecote has historical significance to the village. The side being managed to improve its biodiversity. (There is a 25 year lease (2006) from the owners, Cambridgeshire Council to the Parish.) Sites meets test for only LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local past LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports for including the site in the plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			des and assessment important ern boundary of the village ote within it nity. This age. The site is here is a 25 reshire County ly LGS. de in local plan including the ern the presentations	
local significance due to its: Beauty	41	Green Reasons: Provides views through the village and is of 'particular local significance'.				

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 72 (0.44 Hectares)	Dovecote Mea	adow	Foxton	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: Dovecote on-site has some unspecified historical significance to the village. Site is located in conservation area and is 30m distance from a Grade II listed cottage on the High Street and 30m distance from another Grade II listed dwelling on the High Street and also a war memorial. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Reasons: It does have an informal amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warra the enhanced level of protection provided by LG		, it could not local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a central area of land large enout to provide some feeling of remoteness and quie contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		ess and quiet that would
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Reasons: Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	•		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Gree	n Area or	n Station Road	Foxton		
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No				
 Protected Village Ame 	nity A	rea;				
Important Countryside	Front	age.				
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?		Amber	Outline planning permission granted for residential development of up to 22 dwellings of the land to the rear of 7-37 Station Rd, Foxton. Access to this proposed development is indicated as crossing a section of the LGS.			
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Village green.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons: Yes - Remove southern corner area owned Goreway Holdings Ltd to allow access improvements to sites at the rear of station road.				•	
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) This is a Station F character would no within the village fra meets the The cons for includ Foxton P this site. It ad setting of two list	wide grass verge following Road. It has some trees wit r to this stretch of road. As t have a recreational value	aper for L in the Issue the council's of the wester thin it create it is beside or be trans or LGS. pports and LGS. and local of the village	LGS) March les and s assessment ern side of ting a rural le a road it inquil. It is sider that it If 1 objection support for le and is ication was	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Green Area on Station Road	Foxton
	important part of the village.	

An objection was received from landowner of site to rear of properties in Station Rd (SHLAA site 233) to having a parish council proposed important green space since this is not consistent with NPPF or the Council's approach. Site does add to the setting of two listed buildings in Station Rd and to the rural character of this part of Foxton.

The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.

The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.

A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

The representation (no.64950) was objecting to the LGS designation. It was from Goreway Holdings Limited c/o Endurance Estates Limited who stated:

This wide roadside verge does not hold any recreational value for community and would be unsafe to use. Does not provide tranquil oasis due to its proximity to Station Road. No evidence that significant wildlife is present. Statement from Parish Council does not demonstrate land is demonstrably special to local community and no evidence has been submitted. Council's assessment in 2012 concluded land did not meet criteria of Local Green Space. From Council's Submission documents designation is based on Parish Council's recommendations, which were limited and vague.

To apply LGS designation to roadside verge undermines criteria of LGS. Applying designation to one roadside verge suggests it could be applied to many, which is not intention of Paragraph 77 of the NPPF. Proposed designation is unsound and should be removed.

The council responded in 2015:

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 43-4:

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Green Area o	n Station Road	Foxton	
	meet the local sig of the la 073) The Coulomb LGS. For Issues a not consumittee reasons local couproposa where the site. this part building. Council	he land at Green Area on See designation criteria for LG nificance given that the Council considers that this site exton Parish Council (PC) so and Options consultation in sider that it met the criteria seed by the parish council at the for why it was a site demonstration of the Issues and Options are was support from the Issues that the land of the village and is the sees. As a result of this addition re-assessed the site and distributed in the Issues and Options are was support from the Issues that the land of the village and is the sees. As a result of this addition re-assessed the site and distributed in the Issues and Issues	as in terms of particular uncil's own assessment its designation? (NH/12-e should remain as a submitted this site in the 2012 and the Council did as little evidence was that time as to the enstrably special to the sa Parish Council 2 consultation in 2013 Parish Council and also in to explain the value of d adds to the character of tting of two listed and information the ecided that it met the	
	designa historica links to a Universi Accordii village d in front of buildings PC for ii Conserv	The PC has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this area as LGS (See Appendix 2). The historical importance of this site is set out including its clinks to the adjacent housing built for key worker at the University Tutorial Press in the early twentieth century. According to the PC it is a unique example of a model village development in Cambridgeshire, and the open spin front of the cottages is as much at the heart of this as buildings themselves. The area is being considered by the PC for inclusion in a proposed extension to the Foxton Conservation Area in a review that is currently being carout. The Council is working closely with the PC during the review.		
	The owners of the land have objected to its designation Goreway Holdings Ltd and have submitted a planning application to the Council in August 2016. This application (S/2148/16/OL) is for residential development of up to dwellings on the land to the rear of 7-37 Station Rd, Foundard Access to this proposed development is indicated as crossing a section of the LGS. This application is to be considered by the Council at the December 2016 Plant Committee. An update on the status of the application the implications for the LGS will be provided to the Inspectors prior to the hearing.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Village green to the character and ame However, is it is not of 'pa significance'.	•	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Green Area or	n Station Road	Foxton	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance Amber		Reasons: Site faces 2 grade II listed dwellings and provides a setting for these. A war memorial and a building of local interest (The Burlington Press building) lie south of the site. This green space survives from historic times, when Station Road was named 'Stockers Lane', and is part of original parkland pasture. The site is also closely linked to the adjacent housing built for key worker at the University Tutorial Press in the early twentieth century.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have an the village. However, it co having a particular local si role which would warrant to protection provided by LG	uld not be ignificance the enhan	described as beyond that
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Reasons: The land is adjacent to a village's main arterial road and therefore cannot be said to provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation as with some historical significant contributes to the character a recreation function. How warrant the high level of p LGS, given the high bar so NPPF.	s LGS. Vill icance wh er of the v vever, it do rotection p	age green ich illage and has bes not brovided by
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green Sp	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	lame of Site Par				
NH/12 – 73 (0.16 Hectares)	Gree	een Area on Station Road Foxto				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Green Site is undeveloped, and doe permission for new developm change this			
		Details: V	illage green.			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	5 5	Details: S community		ered close to
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
		Reasons: Village green.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Village green and amenity o			ution to the
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Green	Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			ution to the	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Village green with some historical significance which contributes to the character of the village.				
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Pro	tected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Name of Site Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond			Parish Fulbour	n
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	rea;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Amber	Site does not have planning permission but is a subject to a live planning application. However Inspector considering a previous application considered that it did not warrant LGS status. (Appeal Ref. APP/W0530/15/3139730)		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Large area of unused ru	ral land	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Red	Site not submitted by Par	ish Counc	sil
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) The site of the rai comprise Belt land as part o was foun existing h includes framewon The resp residents and is a g Site mee	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G34) with the important is located on the northern of the sustant in the sustant is located on the northern of the sustant is located on the northern of the sustant in the sustant is located on the northern of the SHLAA (Site 162). The sustant is located that is located that is sustant is located that is lo	aper for L in the Issue council's edge of Futo Ipswich and is adjact during the during t	LGS) March ues and assessment ulbourn south . The site eent to Green Call for Sites assessed and rtunities. Two one of which of the village ed as a PVAA. used by many walking etc. appreciated.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
	Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	Fulbourn

The consultation in 2013 drew 60 supports and 2 objections for including the site in the plan as LGS.

Objection from owner of land to LGS. Site is neither available for open space nor capable of delivery of such purposes. The land is entirely within private ownership and does not benefit from any form of public access.

Lots of support for the option. Fulbourn Parish Council supports this as the Parish Plan calls for the village's setting and best landscapes and views to be preserved.

LGS does not have to be accessible to the local community to be considered special to them in providing a rural setting to their village. Site meets test for LGS.

The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013: Fulbourn PC – support LGS policy as it protects intrinsic character of village and surrounding countryside. Support designation from Fulbourn Forum for Community Action and 24 individuals. Haven for local wildlife. Important green space for village. Field enhances setting and appearance of this part of village – brings countryside into heart of village. Contributes to retaining rural character. As village has expanded in recent years important to preserve character and ambience of village.

Objection that site does not meet criteria for LGS by Castlefield International Ltd. No public access / private land – therefore any public activity on land represents trespass. Need for sixth criteria for assessing sites – whether they are deliverable as LGS – this site is not. Not put forward by Parish Council even though they made comprehensive represents to S Cambs therefore not worthy of designation. If site to be secured as long term green space would need support of PC. Priority in South Cambs is for housing land, sustainable site for allocation - complies with NPPF. Remove designation.

Assessment by Council All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.

A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	Fulbourn

10/03/2015.

The representation (no.64907) was objecting to the LGS designation and requested that the boundary is amended. The representation was from an individual whose comments are summarised as:

Leg of site extending east across frontage of private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas. Local community may not know that land now has no connection

to the Victorian Garden and therefore respondents request that it is deleted from designated area. Alternatively, request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.

The council's response in 2015 was:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 44-5:

SC4C.xxii.

Would the LGS designation relating to the land comprising the Field between Cox's Drive, Cow Lane and Land adjacent to the Horse Pond, Fulbourn be necessary as the land already benefits from designated heritage asset protection as it is within a conservation area? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles as the site is located within the village development framework? (NH/12-074).

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:

- a. LGS designation necessary?
- b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?

The Council considers that the site should remain as a LGS as it is valued by the local community.

LGS designation necessary?

The site is located on the northern edge of Fulbourn south

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond	Fulbourn

of the railway line from Cambridge to Ipswich. The site comprises of two enclosed fields and is adjacent to Green Belt land. Two existing PVAAs adjoin the southern boundary one of which includes the Horse Pond. The respondent who proposed this site as suitable for LGS stated that the area is used by many residents for recreation, dog walking, toddler walking etc. and is a green space that is widely used and appreciated.

The site was considered by the Council to meet the test for LGS designation and received a high level of support when it was consulted upon in Issues and Options 2 including support from Fulbourn Parish Council who pointed out that the Parish Plan supports this site as it forms part of the village's setting that the parish wants to be preserved. The landowner also objected at this stage stating that the site was neither available for open space nor deliverable as such. The site is in private ownership and does not benefit from public access. It should be noted that the National Planning Practice states that LGS can be in private ownership with no public access.

As stated at paragraph 109 above, the Council does not consider that a site being within a conservation area means that it cannot be considered as a suitable candidate for LGS. It does not duplicate protection. It is in fact only the southern part of the site that is within the conservation area.

Fulbourn Parish Council has submitted further evidence in support of the designation of this LGS. (See Appendix 4). The Parish Council has outlined the reasons why this site meets the test for LGS. For many years the site has been an area of peace and tranquillity for parishioners to enjoy and is a much loved local amenity which allows for quiet enjoyment. It is used for informal outdoor lessons by local school children and for informal recreation — dog walking and as an informal play space. It is considered an oasis of green space frequently by all over many years. Residents of the local nursing home appreciate walks or just the view to the green space. The site has a rich biodiversity with a chalk stream running through it.

<u>Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?</u>

The landowners of the site, Castleford International Ltd, have objected to its designation as a LGS saying that South Cambridgeshire District Council should give priority to allocating housing land in sustainable locations such as this site.

The site had been submitted during the Call for Sites as part

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse			Fulbourn
	Pond	of the SF Council f limited de a housing LGS the the designation case the possesse richness. However retained determinincluding Inspector LGS Site Lane and This is a village who could see enable the In this regulation of the signation of the signature of the si	ALAA (Site 162). The site was or its development opportunities and opportunities are option in the Local Plan. Council has taken into account and opportunities are not over used uture space for growth. The proposed that there is strong supportion from local people. It is a long to make any particular beauty, he of wildlife to warrant LGS of the views of the s.78 apports. Interim Findings March and adjacent to Horse large parcel of land on the hich appears largely unkers and any particular beauty and the hich appears largely unkers and large parcel of land on the hich appears largely unkers and large parcel of land on the hich appears largely unkers and large parcel of land on the hich appears largely unkers and large parcel of land on the hich appears largely unkers and largely unk	all and was found to have and was not included as In assessing sites for count the need to ensure so that a village ends upere are only two LGS eal decision has cort for the LGS acknowledged that in this ded that the site istoric significance, or designation. As designation should be cal Plan Inspectors to evidence before them, eal Inspector. 2017 In Cox's Drove, Cow Pound, Fulbourn Inorthern fringe of the mpt and overgrown. I ecial that would a LGS. In the findings of the on mented that he did not cape in Framework for LGS In ations should not be evelopment of (in that the guidance in the deference ID 37-007-
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	way that Amber	Reasons: Large area of character. Planning appe APP/W0530/15/3139730 limited role in defining the village.	grassland with a rural al ref: : the site only plays a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: South part of t conservation area. Howe	•

Site reference NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Field	and Lan	Cox's Drove, Cow d adjacent the Horse	Parish Fulbourr	1
			the land has a 'particular its heritage.	local signif	ficance' due to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red	Reasons: No formal right. The land has no recreation warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	nal value	that would
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amber Reasons: It is large enough to provide feeling of remoteness and quiet content not to the extent that would warrant to level of protection provided by LGS.		templation but the enhanced			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its		Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a limited role in defining the village and provides some and quiet contemplation. highlights: the site's poten development; it has no vadeserving of protection un 'of particular local signific warrants the high level of LGS, given the high bar since	s LGS. The rural chains of feeling of A recent and itself of horal lands ander paragance' and protection	e site has a racter of the f remoteness ppeal decision using scape, traph 109. It is therefore a provided by
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 74 (6.44 Hectares)	Field Land Pon	ne of Site d between (e and Land d	1		
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Red	Red Part of the site is within development frame		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wou change this		
		Details: L	arge area of unused rural	land.	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes - in part	Details: Part of the site designated as a PVAA.	has previo	ously been
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, allotment or playing fields		
		Reasons: Large area of grassland with a rural character			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Southern part of the site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		South part of the site ma		contribution to
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	Grassland within a resid	lential area	3.
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character. Include the p within the village frame.	art of the s	site that is
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for desigi	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference	Name of Si			Parish Fulbouri	
NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)		9			I
· ·	,	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village			
Protected Village Ame	•	Amenity Area			
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane		age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	Ing Greei	n	Site does not have plant	ning permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Oleci	n	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.		
	Reas	ons	: Victorian garden.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each	par	ap to be produced to indict. Assessment of the partite reference number ther	s to be refe	erenced with
	Reas	ons	: Yes - Private garden ar	eas remove	ed
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Greei	n	Close to residential prop	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Ambe	er	Site submitted by Fulbor	urn Forum	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anne 2014. Option asses Submothers Pump conta steam The b prese this a tests The C as LG The s Propo There comm comm Lands Count	It vons 2 seminittees. Estimate in front in seminate in front in seminate in front in seminate in semi	was assessed in the Sustanta Appendix 5 (Evidence of the Sustanta Appendix 5 (Evidence of the Sustanta Appendix 5 (Evidence of the Sustanta Appendix 5 (Site LGS059) with ent (in 2013): If the pulbourn Forum for the Station. A garden was depended which was originally on the engines. The site in the pumping station at a historic value to the local Control of the pumping station at a historic value to the local Control Recommendation in 2 was included as a Local Control of Submission Local Plan 2 was included as a Local Control of Submission Local Plan 2 was support for designation if the pumping area to the local Plan 2 was included as a Local Control of Submission Local Plan 2 was support for designation if the penetrating into villaguar acter.	paper for Land in the Issue he the council community has within it esigned in the esigned in the esigned to constant the esigned and related goal community of the estimation	action and the Old 1891 and to the Old 1891 and to the public. es. The garden give ity. Meets the de in local plan e in the ourn Forum for lued by local al value. willage.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Victorian garden	Fulbourn
	2 representations were received on	this site as a result of

the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 - summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015:

Rep no. 64907 was from an individual who objected and proposed amending the boundary. The individual's comments are summarised as:

Leg of site extending east across frontage of private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas. Local community may not know that land now has no connection to the Victorian Garden and therefore respondents request that it is deleted from designated area. Alternatively, request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.

Castleford International Ltd (Rep no. 64959) also objected to the designation as LGS for these reasons:

- 1. Not 'positively prepared' as seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential and is capable of coming forward during SCDC five year period under assessment (2014-2019)
- 2. Not 'effective' as site is already designated as Conservation Area and not afforded any other special protection in Local Plan.
- 3. Not 'justified' as consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties.
- 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet LGS criteria of para 77 or accord with para 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.

The council responded in 2015:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 46: SC4C.xxiii.

Site reference	Name of Site)	Parish			
NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Victorian ga	rden	Fulbourn			
	Victoria of land accomi Garder	Should the LGS boundary designation relating to the Victorian Garden, Fulbourn be amended to exclude the area of land extending east across the frontage of private office accommodation which is fenced off from the Victorian Garden? (NH/12-075) This site has been recognised for a number of years as				
	providii and du Forum is the a a garde station	ng character to this part of the ring plan making was proposifor community action for correa where the Old Pumping an designed in 1891. The present related garden give this all community.	ne village being a PVAA sed by the Fulbourn nsideration as a LGS as it Station is located within esence of the pumping			
	and 16 consult to othe highligh landsca	was support for this LGS from individuals during the Issue ation in 2013 This level of some test areas proposed in the ated that this site was recognage value where countryside contributing to the rural charcomes.	s and Options 2 support is high compared e plan. The supporters nised as having e penetrates into the			
	amend access This an that ad the gar Counci	rners of the proposed LGS hed boundary to the site whice roads and parking areas in mended area would also except to the character of this part den site where the pumping I considers that the whole of States designation and therefore red.	th excludes the paved front of their property. Indees some mature trees of the village linking to station is located. The fitte site meets the tests			
	Fulbourn Parish Council has not previously provided any views about this LGS. However the Council consulted with the PC for their help in providing comments on any local support for LGS sites in Fulbourn. The PC has submitted comments regarding this LGS confirming that it does not have an objection to the boundary of this LGS being amended to exclude the area of land extending east acre the frontage of the private office accommodation which is fenced off from the Victorian Garden. (See Appendix 4)					
		The Council does not consider on balance that the removal of this LGS would be necessary for the plan to be found sound.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	7	Reasons: Site makes so character and amenity of is not of 'particular local s	the village. However, it			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Green	Reasons: Site of Old Purconservation area. The o	. •			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 75 (0.78 Hectares)	Victorian gard	len	Fulbourn	1
		referred to on the Cambri Environment Record (CH does have a particular loo historical significance.	ER) websi	te. The site
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	T.ou	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		onal value.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Reasons: Site of Old Pumping Station cannot demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Site of Old Pumping Station, within the conservation area, and with a particular local significance due to its historical significance. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 76a (0.80 Hectares)	Nam Log	e of Site Field		Parish Gamling	ay	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Open land.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each part	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	' to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) A large re northeas wildlife va village ou to have a LGS. The submissic character (Represe area is de meet test The cour Resubmic consultat was not o LGS. Fur Council in	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence property as identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS62) with a surply area with well attern edge beside Greenach alues. It is on the western of alues at it is on the western of a Parish Council has mented any distinguishing features are Parish Council has mented any distinguishing features are perish Council has with its new and the state of the property of the pro	aper for L in the Issue the council destablishe res. It wou dedge of Ga york. It doe to it to be ioned this if reserve the earby ham to the res village. Si sessed in co an available ests for eit ailable from tue the loca	des and l's assessment led trees along led have some amlingay les not appear identified as field in their les special lets pondent this ite does not learlier le at that time it ther PVAA or in the Parish al community	

Cita nofemonas	Nama of	Cita	Davish
Site reference	Name of		Parish
NH/12 – 76a (0.80 Hectares)	Log Field	•	Gamlingay
(0.50 Ficotaics)	bet rec few The	dlife. It is seen as a green lung poween Gamlingay and Dennis Grantional value since it is close to green spaces. Meets the tests is council's recommendation in 20 n as LGS.	een. It has high o an area of housing with for LGS.
	Pro Sup 54 and Val poi Lim of v as set	e site was included as a Local Grossed Submission Local Plan 2droport for LGS designation came individuals – preserves opennes drichness of wildlife for residents ued by local community. Should not of village especially when lupinated opportunity and access to crillage. Suggest part of Merton Fiplay area. Field marks boundary thement and Hamlet of Dennis Gross not most criteria for designations.	on from Gamlingay PC and as, beauty, tranquillity on west side of village. The flower in summer. The space on this particled should be fenced off between edge of the method of the meth
	mis des not bee pub Res	es not meet criteria for designation is guided in designating it as LGS. signation of open countryside adjudgments and appropriate + Landowner does en properly consulted – plan fails blic right of access. Limited historaction from community to planning signation barrier to future develors.	NPPF states blanket jacent to settlements is not believe they have s legal compliance. No ric or wildlife value. ng application on site.
	hav Cor nev it h	sessment by Council - All the site of the sessment by Council - All the site of the sessment submitted were previous uncil as meeting the tests for LG or issues have been raised that a last been shown that circumstance uncil remains of the opinion that build remain in the plan.	sly assessed by the S and therefore unless ffect the assessment or es have changed the
	Lar add PP for app	epresentation was received on the Owner Consultation in 2014. The Properties of the Consultation in 2014. The Properties of the Local Green Space consuments Described assess 03/2015.	The site was therefore ule of assessment for ary of representations ltation 2014, and
	obj 1. 2. I ope arc	e representation (no.64951) from ecting to the LGS designation. Rather land lies outside of the Village is not an area of beauty, complete grazing land that is indistinguished the village. There has been no identification	leasons for objection ge Framework. rising a scrub area and ishable from other areas

Site reference NH/12 – 76a (0.80 Hectares)	ne of Site Field	Parish Gamlingay
	land being of ecological value 4. It is not of known historic signific 5. Only part of the land serves any is only on a temporary arrangemen 6. It is not demonstrably special to Proposed allocation is considered unnecessary and contrary to NPPH not sound. This is reflected in fact requested to designate area as a L officers concluded 'Site does not m or LGS' and in absence of any cha remains the case.	recreational use and this nt. the local community. inappropriate, -, as such it is considered that when initially ocal Green Space neet test for either PVAA
	In 2015, the council responded: This site was previously assessed meeting the tests for LGS and ther have been raised that affect the as shown that circumstances have ch remains of the opinion that the site remain in the plan.	efore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council
	The site was specifically addressed SC4 paper Examination Stateme page 47-8: SC4C.xxv. Would Lupin Field, Gamlingay meet for LGS in terms of particular local represents an area of scrub and opindistinguishable from other areas village and only part of the area su activities and this is on a temporary the land is beyond the village developed would therefore be protected from could result in coalescence with Defent of the Plan. (NH/12-076).	nt (November 2016) on et the designation criteria significance as it pen grazing that is of open space around the pports recreational y arrangement? Further, lopment framework and future development which
	The Council considers that this site LGS. This site was submitted by G during the Issues and Options 2 costressed the value the local committed for its beauty, tranquillity and richness a group lung providing a buffer.	amlingay Parish Council onsultation in which they unity place upon the site ess of wildlife. It is seen

The owners of the land, Merton College, have objected to

using the evidence from the Parish Council and was included in the Proposed Submission Local Plan where there was much local support for its inclusion (Gamlingay

Parish Council and 54 individuals).

as a green lung providing a buffer between Gamlingay and Dennis Green. It has high recreational value since it is close to an area of housing with few green spaces. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 76a	Log Field		Gamlingay			
(0.80 Hectares)						
	of the cr that the space a have ind area by use for i develop exception protecte	gnation considering that the iteria for being designated site is indistinguishable from the village. However dicated that it is considered them. The site does not have to be a LGS. The LGS is ment framework but could an site for development and the from future development be removed.	as a LGS. They consider m other areas of open the local community a demonstrably special eve to be in recreational outside of the village be considered as a rural of the the local events.			
	evidence as a LG that sup natural s a signific also play pattern of This site village fic last 'gre- valued by northern a lack of The soul environry has a his Clopton	Gamlingay Parish Council has submitted further detailed evidence supporting the continued designation of this site as a LGS. (Appendix 4) There are specific characteristics that support the site as being worthy of LGS status. A natural spring on the site has created a biodiversity that has a significant value to the village and is unique to this site. It also plays an important role in preserving the settlement pattern of hamlets surrounding the village of Gamlingay. This site preserves the village separation on the edge of the village from the Denis Green/Park Lane hamlet – it is the last 'green lung'. It has a distinct character and is highly valued by the residents of Green Acres who use the northern part of the site- Log Field - for recreation. There is a lack of play spaces within the adjoining residential area. The southern section of the site has a more natural environment which is treasured by local residents. It also has a historical value as it forms the main access the Clopton Way – a long distant walkway that dates from Anglo-Saxon times. The PC supports the site remaining in				
	this site been rai the asse shown to	The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.				
	Site 076	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field).				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Meadowland village.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance attached to			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	Amber Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am	•			

Site reference NH/12 – 76a (0.80 Hectares)	Name of Site Log Field		Parish Gamling	ay
Recreational value		residential areas. However described as having a par beyond that role which wo enhanced level of protecti	ticular loc ould warra	al significance nt the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Green Green	Reasons: Located on the western periphery of the village, the area's character use provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		provide a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Meadowland wi mature trees, located on the periphery of the village. It contributes to the character and ameniof the village and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		eadowland with ery of the er and amenity of the n. It is 'of monstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 - 76b (1.10 Hectares)		Name of Site The Horse Paddocks		Parish Gamling	ay
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Area				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		reen	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		reen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	R	easons	: Paddocks.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			renced with	
Is the site in close proximity	4.0	Reasons: No Green Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Si pa co re	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field). For information for site considerations through plan making for site 076b, please refer to the information for site 076a (above) when it was part of a larger site.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar A	mber	<u> </u>		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar R	ed	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar R	Red Reasons: No formal rights of way across the site. The land has no recreational value.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar A	mber	Reasons: Located between residential housing, the site is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar R	ed	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of

Site reference NH/12 - 76b (1.10 Hectares)			Parish Gamling	ay	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	suitable for designation as located on the periphery of contributes to the characters.		rural paddock, ge. It nenity of the noteness and es not warrant I by LGS,	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	Name of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 76b	The	Horse Pade	docks	Gamling	ay	
(1.10 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: P	addocks			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 - 76b (1.10 Hectares)	Name of Site The Horse Paddocks			Parish Gamlingay		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference NH/12 - 76c (1.35 Hectares)		e of Site n Field		Parish Gamling	ay	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	s: Open land.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each part	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 076 into three parts (076a Log Field, 076b The Horse paddocks and 070c Lupin Field).				
		for site 07	mation for site consideratio 76c, please refer to the info vhen it was part of a larger	ormation for		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Meadowland we some contribution to the of the village. However, it is significance'.	character a	and amenity of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical si this site	ignificance	e attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red Reasons: The land has no recreational value.			onal value.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation be not to the extent that would warrant the enhance level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a div	ersity of	

Site reference NH/12 – 76c (1.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Lupin Field		Parish Gamling	ay
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a wildflowers, located on the village. It contributes to the of the village and provide remoteness and quiet condoes not warrant the high provided by LGS, given the criteria in the NPPF.	s LGS. Me e peripher ne characto s a feeling ntemplatio n level of p	eadowland with ry of the er and amenity of n. However, it rotection
Should the site be recommo	pace?	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	ame of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 76c	Lupi	n Field		Gamling	ay	
(1.35 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: O	pen land			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 76c (1.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Lupin Field			Parish Gamlingay		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference	Name of Sit			a line and a re	
NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)	Middle of M		Great Ak		
Is the site already protected b		designation in the adopted L	_DF?	Protected	
Protected Village Ame	•	Amenity			
Important Countryside					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	I ^{ng} Green	Site does not have planr	ning permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	GIECII	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
	Reaso	ns: Grassland with football	posts.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each p	map to be produced to indicart. Assessment of the part I site reference number ther	s to be refe	erenced with	
	Reaso	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Green Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Red	Red Site not submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anney 2014. Option assess This is reside a PVA recrea alread The co as LG: The si Propos were r	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA04) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is an expansive of grassland in the middle of a residential area in Great Abington. It is already identified as a PVAA It has some trees and a goalpost so has a recreational value for the local community. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ambe	Amber Reasons: Site makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Red Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Ambe	Reasons: It does have a providing an informal am residential areas. However	enity area	between two	

Site reference	Name of Site	Name of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)	Middle of Mag	na Close	Great Ab	ington	
		described as having a pa beyond that role which we enhanced level of protect	ould warra	nt the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not provide a feeling or remoteness and quiet contemplation.		e a feeling of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprising grassland, makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it is not of 'particular local significance' and does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e comprising n to the and has a ot of 'particular rant the high , given the	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 77 (0.28 Hectares)		e of Site	Parish Great Ab	oington	
Is the site within the development of the village?			Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this		
		Details: G	rassland with football pos	sts	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has not previ		n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ig fielas	
	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Informal open space		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	7		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character			village.	in the village or to this particular part of the ge.	
the village or for this particula part of the village?		within a re	Small amenity area of gr sidential area only make eter and amenity of the vil	a limited c	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil loca	tion.
this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: area.	s: Small amenity area of grass within a residenti		a residential
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference	Nam	Name of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 78 (3.51 Hectares)	Recr	Recreation Ground Gu			Morden
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;			
 Important Countryside 	Front	tage.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Recreational ground		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity	to	Green Close to residential properties			
the community it serves?		Olose to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprais Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Ma 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS67) with the council's asse (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Valued area for recreat uses for the village consisting of playing fields. Meets tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in locas LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a resthe Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summa representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not appendix D - Schedule of assessment PPF meeting 10/03/2015.			de in local plan e in the presentations as a result of e was a result of e summary of onsultation
		Council v	vas in support of the LGS of as Public Open Space. The dix D - Schedule of assess	designatio e site was	n for the site to not assessed

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 78 (3.51 Hectares)	Recreation Gr	round	Guilden Morden
	10/03/20	15.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	7 111100	Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of th village. This large grassy area is divided into 2 playing fields with mature trees and hedgerow the perimeter of the site and a line of trees providing the division. The west side of the site fully enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows and provides an attractive area for sport and recreation whereas the east side is semi-encloby trees and hedgerows on 2 sides of the perimeter of the site. The site only makes a modest contribution to the setting and views o local features and so does not make a particul contribution to the character and amenity of the settlement through its beauty due to only moderate level of visual attractiveness as a whole. It is not of 'particular local significance'.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: Site adjoins the Conservation area There is one Grade II listed feature (Pump agwall of No. 2 (Edward VII Public House) on Fe Hill Road a few meters to the west of the recreation ground.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to community.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	•	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as Lo	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protecti provided by LGS.	
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace? Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	Nam The	e of Site Craft		Parish Guilden	Morden
	by an e	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Area; Protected Village Amenity Area			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Pastureland - Natural Er ship project.	igland Cou	ıntryside
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submittee pasturela heart of t Stewards schemes the count landscap and to im council h wildlife at Meets the The count as LGS. The site v Proposed were reco	d by Parish Council. Existi and bringing land with a rui he village. It is managed u	aper for L in the Issu the council ing PVAA. ral characte inder a Cou if project. T beauty and ind re-crea ind historica blic access important incess gree incess gree 013: Includ reen Spac 013, no re	IGS) March les and it's assessment This area is er into the untryside The aim of such diversity of let targeted al features, st. The parish area for in space. Ide in local plan e in the presentations

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	The Craft	Guilden Morden

Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015:

Ely Diocesan Board of Finance objected to the designation in rep no. 64928. They stated:

Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is an extensive tract of open rough grassland of no particular character. It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to local community and to hold a particular local significance. Site fails the second and third tests set out in NPPF. Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within NPPF.

The council's response in 2015 was -

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 48.

Would The Craft, Guilden Morden meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance, and is currently protected by its designation as a PVAA? (NH/12-079)

AND

SC4C.xxvii.

Would the site at Church Meadow, Guilden Morden (SEE BELOW) meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area as the site is within the village settlement boundary? (NH/12-080)

These two sites together are part of a much large PVAA in the adopted LDF which have provided protection to this important green area within the centre of Guilden Morden. It has a long history of being recognised as providing an important open rural character to this part of the village.

The Council has responded to these two questions as one given that the two sites are adjacent to each other.

Guilden Morden Parish Council submitted these two sites

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	The Craft		Guilden Morden		
	candidate part of a 2013 an The site which ex	g identical reasons for then tes for LGS – both areas we higher level of Countryside d are important areas for we s adjoin each other and are detends over pastureland that for into the heart of the villag	ere according to the PC e Stewardship scheme in vildlife and public access. e within an existing PVAA eat brings land with a rural		
	has objection does not and that consider Council designation consider LGS sites that the ends up therefore in the arms.	The owners of the land, the Ely Diocesan Board of Finance has objected to the designation considering that the sites does not pass the tests set out in paragraph 77 of the NPPF and that by designating them as LGS this will preclude consideration of any sustainable housing development. The Council considers that both the sites meet the tests for LGS designation with the Parish Council indicating that they consider them suitable candidate as LGS. In assessing all LGS sites the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that the village ends up with no future space for growth. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area.			
	these sit been rai the asse	The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues had been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Pastureland - Countryside Stewardship adjoining meadows contr open rural character of th these sites do not have 'a significance' in terms of the	project. The site and the ibute significantly to the ne village. However, a particular local		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The south particular local significant	adds to the setting for 2 The Bells), Fox Hill Road St Mary, Church Street. Bered the land has a		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: A public right of site, providing a recreation the countyside within the However, it could not be particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LO	on opportunity to access heart of the village. described as having a ce beyond that role enhanced level of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a central at to provide some feeling contemplation but not to warrant the enhanced lev	the extent that would		

Site reference	Name	Name of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)	The (Craft		Guilden	Morden
			by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Amber	Reasons: Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation at the site and the adjoining significantly to the open revillage and part of the site conservation area and for listed buildings. It provide feeling of remoteness and and is of value for wildlife. However, it does not warr protection provided by LG set by the criteria in the N	s LGS. Pa meadows ural charace is within to rms part of is informal d quiet con and biodiverant the hig GS, given to	stureland on contribute cter of the the fithe setting of recreation, a ntemplation versity.
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 79 (1.36 Hectares)		e of Site Craft		Parish Guilden	Morden
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	s the site within the development amework of the village?		Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wo change this		
		Details: P Stewardsh	astureland - Natural Engl ip project	and Count	tryside
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: Site considered	d close to o	community
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields		
	land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Open pastureland, mana ip scheme.	aged unde	r Countryside
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is importar character.	nt to the vi	lage's
	•		Pastureland contribution the village.	s to the ch	aracter and
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	a of	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity
Does the undeveloped nature of his land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Large area of pasturelan	d.	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Undeveloped important to the village's		
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference		ne of Site Parish Guilde			Mordon	
NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)				Guilden DE2	Protected	
Protected Village Ame	•	Village				
Important Countryside	•				Amenity Area	
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			: Pastureland - Natural Er ship project.	igland Cou	ıntryside	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submittee pasturela heart of t under a C England The aims beauty a and re-cr and histo public ac an impor access g The cour as LGS. The site v Proposed were reco	d by Parish Council. Existi and bringing land with a rui he village adjacent to the c Countryside Stewardship –	aper for L in the Issu the council ing PVAA. ral characte church. It is part of a I mprove the side, enhau their wildlu ove opport thas indicate or the comm ts for LGS. 013: Includ reen Spac	IGS) March les and it's assessment This area is er into the s managed Natural he natural hece, restore ife habitats unities for ed that this is munity to de in local plan e in the presentations	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Church Mead	ow	Guilden Morden	
addr PPF for t appe 10/0 Ely D in re Site gras oper built is no to th signi NPF sens settii cont set o The This mee have show		and Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PFF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations or the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015: Ely Diocesan Board of Finance objected to the designation in rep no. 64929. They stated: Site is a largely enclosed featureless area of open rough grassland of no particular character. Significant areas of open land exist in vicinity that are more readily visible from poulit up area and already bring a rural character to village. It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to the local community and to hold a particular local significance. The site fails the second test set out in the NPPF. The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme within the context of the centrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF. The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council temains of the opinion that the site designation should temain in the plan.		
	SC4 pap	was specifically addressed per Examination Statement See above.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Pastureland -	project. The site and the ibute significantly to the e village. However, a particular local	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	,	Reasons: Site adjoins Control adds to the setting for 2 Land (The Bells), Fox Hill Road Parish Church of St Mary war memorial to the south is not considered the land significance' due to its here	Listed Buildings (No 1, d and the grade I listed r, Church Street and the h of the site. However, it d has a 'particular local	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: A public right of site, providing a recreation the countyside within the However, it could not be particular local significant which would warrant the	on opportunity to access heart of the village. described as having a ce beyond that role	

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Church Mead	ow	Parish Guilden	Morden
		protection provided by LG	SS.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amk		Reasons: It is a central area of land large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: Improvements to the site's unspecified biodiversity have been implemented, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland on the site and the adjoining meadows contribute significantly to the open rural character of the village and the site adjoins the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings. It provides informal recreation, a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation and is of value for wildlife and biodiversity. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 80 (0.41 Hectares)		e of Site	N	Parish Guilden	Morden	
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plan permission for new development which would change this			
			Details: Pastureland - Natural England Countryside Stewardship project			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: Site considered	d close to d	community	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Open pastureland, managed under Countryside Stewardship scheme.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Pastureland contributions to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of.	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	al activity	
this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Pastureland not near to any roads.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Undeveloped tranquil pastureland important to the village's character.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Land	Name of Site Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green Parish Guilden			Morden
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	enity A	rea;			
Important Countryside	Front	age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons village	: Grassland on the wester	n peripher	ry of the
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each part	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
		Reasons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS73) with the council's assessr (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. This is an area of pasture the western edge of the village with a public footpath running along the northern boundary. It is within the Conservation Area and provides a countryside setting for adjoining houses – some of which are listed buildings. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. A representation was received on this site as a result of Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefor addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representation for the Local Green Space consultation 2014, and appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – Schedule of Schedule OPPF meeting 10/03/2015 – Schedule OPPF meet			Jes and l's assessment of pasture on cotpath hin the e setting for buildings.
					a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14, and

SITE ASSESSMENTS			
Site reference	Nam	ne of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Land Gree	d between Swan Lane and Pound en	Guilden Morden
		The representation (no.64854) was designation. It came from FW Pepp Do not designate to LGS 1. Field is agricultural 2. No amenity value. 3. No footpath on site. 4. Not visible from the road. 5. No historical significance. 6. No recreational value, no tranquirichness of wildlife. 7. Not demonstrably special to local meeting the tests for LGS and there have been raised that affect the assistent shown that circumstances have charemains of the opinion that the site remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed SC4 paper Examination Statement	illity (Agricultural), no I community. by the Council as efore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council designation should

page 49.

SC4C.xxviii. Would the land between Swan Lane and Pound Green, Guilden Morden meet the designation criteria for a LGS as it is an agricultural field? (NH/12-081).

The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. Guilden Morden Parish Council (PC) submitted this site as being a suitable candidate for LGS. This is an area of pasture on the western edge of the village with a public foot path along its northern boundary. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation being an area that brings a rural character into the village and a setting for adjoining houses which include some listed buildings.

The owner of the land has objected to the LGS designation considering that the site is an agricultural field with no significant value. The PC by submitting this site as a candidate for LGS considers that it does have value to the local community as a LGS as it provides a setting to adjoining listed buildings and enhances the rural character of this part of the village.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)	Name of Land bet Green		Swan Lane and Pound	Parish Guilden	Morden
	sho	own th	at circumstances have cha	anged.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		the village with a public footpath along northern edge adjacent to a residential contribute to the character and amenit village. However, the site does not have particular local significance in terms of designation.		ong the atial area enity of the have 'a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Am	nber	Reasons: The east half of conservation area. Provide nearby grade II listed built Green, No 25, (Home Date Swan House, Swan Lane distance of the site. Howe the land has a 'particular its heritage.	des the set dings (no. le) Pound which lie which lie	ting of 3 19 Pound Green and within 50m ot considered
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ır Am	nber	Reasons: It does have a providing a large area of a nature land. However, it das having a particular locathat role which would war of protection provided by	accessible could not b al significa rant the er	rural, in e described nce beyond
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		nber	Reasons: Located on the western periphery of the village the area's rural character provides feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation not to the extent that would warrant the enhance level of protection provided by LGS.		r provides a stemplation but the enhanced
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	d	Reasons: Agricultural lar diversity of wildlife.	nd and doe	es not have
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	d	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the sit suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises grassland on the periphery of the village, adjacent to a residential area. Part of t site is within the conservation area and contributes to the character and amenity of the village and listed buildings, has an informal recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. Howeve does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site ery of the a. Part of the and enity of the nformal eeling of n. However, it
Should the site be recomme	ended for	desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectares)			Swan Lane and Pound	Parish Guilden	Morden
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frai	mework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: G	rassland on the western	periphery (of the village.
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- (Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 81 (1 Hectare)		ne of Site d between Swan Lane and Pound Guilden Morden			
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommo	ended	d for designation as Imp	portant	No	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)	Pound Green		Guilden		
Is the site already protected b		esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	-				
Important Countryside The site does not have Planni		1			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olocii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		s: Triangular area of grassl	and with to	rees	
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pai original	each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
Is the site in close proximity	1-	Reasons: No			
the community it serves?	Green	Close to residential prope	erties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It so Options (in 2013) Submitte with tree area with the villag The couras LGS. The site Propose were rec A repres Land Ov addresse PPF me for the L The repr was in si commen Site is of	ed by Parish Council. This is planted within it and a se hin the village and has histoge green. Meets the tests foncil's recommendation in 2	in the Issue the council is a triangle eat. It provide the council is a triangle eat. It provide the council interest of LGS. O13: Including the site as The site as The site was ary of republic interest of the council in the including the council in the	des and l's assessment e of grassland des a tranquil et being once de in local plan e in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14. 0.64893) and dividual's the area	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)	Pound Green		Guilden	Morden
	village, a amenity, successf Local His	It is also one of the few gre cting as a surrogate ' villag recently acting as a displa ul ' Guilden Morden in the story Group.	ne green'. I y area in ti	lt is a useful he recent
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	Reasons: Triangular area and a bench contribute to amenity of the village. Ho particular local significant	the chara	cter and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	^r Amber	Reasons: Site is within c grade II listed dwelling lie the site. The site was a for However, it is not consider 'particular local significan	s 50m dist ormer villace ered the la	ance north of ge Green. nd has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function the village. However, it could not be described having a particular local significance beyon role which would warrant the enhanced lever protection provided by LGS.		e described as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a central a road and does not provide of remoteness and quiet of	e a demor	strable feeling
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a triangular area of grassla bench. It is within the concontributes to the charact village and listed building recreation function. Howe the high level of protection given the high bar set by	s LGS. Sit and with tre aservation a are and am as and has ever, it doe an provided	e comprises a es and a area and enity of the an informal s not warrant I by LGS,
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 82 (0.05 Hectares)		e of Site nd Green		Parish Guilden	Morden	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: T	riangular area of grasslar	nd with tree	es.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Triangular area of grassland with trees.			ees.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Triangular area of grassl tribute to the character a			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	ion.	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench located beside a main road.			ees and a	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Triangular area of grassland with trees and a bench contribute to the character and amenity of the village.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference	Nam	me of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)	Thor	npsons M	leadow	Guilden	Morden
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•	•			
Important Countryside		tage.			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Small amenity area of gr esidential area	ass and a	few trees
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submitte within ho trees. Me The cour as LGS. The site v Proposed were rece A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee for the L The repre Council (Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS80) with the day Parish Council. Area using development. Grass pets the tests for LGS. Incil's recommendation in 20 and as included as a Local Grass pets and the day and the day and the day are consultation in 2014. The day are consultation in 2014. The day are consultation was from Guilden and Green Space consultation, stating: Used as Public Consultation; stating: Used as Publ	aper for L in the Issu the council of informat land with s 013: Includ reen Spac 013, no re his site as The site wa le of asse ary of repr ltation 20 en Morden bort of the	des and des assessment des and des assessment des assessment des assessment des in local plan des in the presentations des assessment for desentations des des des des des des des des des de

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)	Thompsons M	leadow	Guilden	Morden
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Small amenity trees within a residential contribution to the characteristic village. The grassy site purban form at the south eto the setting of the surroproperties and offers long village including the church moderately visually attracted grassy open land that is subushes. It provides an ento the east of the site. Ho distinctive aesthetically places not have 'a particulaterms of the LGS designates.	area only reter and an rovides a kneet of the unding respondent. Howevertive. A smeet semi-enclotrance for wever, it late asing fear local sig	makes some nenity of the preak from the village, adds sidential of the through the er the site is all piece of esed by the larger field acks any atures and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Red	Reasons: It is a small are support only a limited information recreational function with	ormal ame	nity and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is an information housing estate and does remoteness and quiet con	not provid	e a feeling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a small amenity area of grass and a few trees within a residential area which makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and informal recreation. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 83 (0.08 Hectares)		e of Site mpsons Me	adow	Parish Guilden	Morden	
Is the site within the development of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which change this			
		Details: S a residenti	mall amenity area of gras al area.	ss and a fe	w trees within	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of			Details: If the site is a r area, allotment or playir		area / amenity	
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Small amenity area of gr sidential area.	ass and a	few trees	
	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		within a re	Small amenity area of gr sidential area makes son and amenity of the village	ne contribu		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	. of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: village.	Grassland in a residentia	al area on t	the edge of the	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	a supports	the village's	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

	Name of Site				
,				No	
 Protected Village Amer 	•	signation in the adopted L	DF!	INO	
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Plannir Permission for an alternative use?		Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of land	GIECII	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reasons	s: Play area within a reside	ntial area.		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	Green	Green Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submittee describee of the ch tests for The cour as LGS. The site Proposed were rec A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee for the L The repre	ed by Parish Council. Area d by Parish Council as play urch and wider Conservati	in the Issue the council of informal y area. Pareon Area. Mon 13: Including the site as The site as The site walle of associated of the site walle of the site walle of the site walle of associated of the site walle of the site walle of the site walle of associated of the site walle of the site of the site walle of the site o	de in local plan e in the presentations a result of the as therefore resentations 1. Council	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	Play area adja	cent to the Church	Hardwick
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Small amenity residential area makes so character and amenity of site provides a soft edge of the village. It adds to the surrounding residential properties of the village of the village of the village. It adds to the surrounding residential properties of the village. It adds to the surrounding residential properties of the village of the views north through the village of the views north through the village of the village. It adds to the village of the vill	ome contribution to the the village. The grassy at the south west corner ne setting of the roperties and the grade f St Mary and offers long rillage. However the site y attractive. It is grassy inclosed by mature trees it lacks any distinctive tures and does not have
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Amber	Reasons: Site is within control provides a setting for the Church of St Mary althous somewhat by trees. Howe the land has a 'particular its heritage.	grade II listed Parish gh this is obscured ever, it is not considered
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Red	Reasons: It is a small are support only a limited inforecreational function besi	ormal amenity and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: It is an informative western periphery of the vand trees and therefore premoteness and quiet conthe extent that would war of protection provided	village beside a church provides some feeling of intemplation, but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a diversity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a small amenity area of graresidential area which macontribution to the charactillage and informal recreconservation area and for the grade II listed church, high level of protection protection protection by the critical suitable suitable.	s LGS. Site comprises a ass adjacent to a akes a limited ater and amenity of the ation. It is within the arms part of the setting of a lt does not warrant the rovided by LGS, given
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace? No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)	Play	area adjac	ent to the Church,	Hardwick	k
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: P	lay area within a resident	ial area.	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village No No					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 84 (0.23 Hectares)		ne of Site area adjacent to the Cl	nurch	Parish Hardwick	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red		There is no suitable protect the site.	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 85 (3.39 Hectares)		lame of Site ecreation ground in Egremont load			k	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	I Amenity Are					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?			If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v	was assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence p was identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS82) with t	aper for L in the Issu	.GS) March les and	
		Submitted by Parish Council. The recreation ground is part of a wider PVAA within Hardwick. This area provides valuable playing fields and green space for the village. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local plan as LGS.				
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.				
		A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. The representation was from Hardwick Parish Council (no.64913) and was in support of the LGS designation, stating: currently used as Public Open Space.				

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 85 (3.39 Hectares)	Recreation gr Road	ound in Egremont	Hardwic	k
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	^r Amber	Reasons: Recreational g mature trees makes some character and amenity of moderate level of visual a whole. However, it is not significance'.	e contribut the village attractivene	ion to the due to only ess as a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular I community.	_	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: Centrally locat ground provides some fee quiet contemplation but n would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	eling of rer ot to the e	noteness and xtent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signi demonstrably special to t warranting the high level LGS.	s LGS. Th age recrea ficance' ar he commu	e recreation tion area and id nity,
Should the site be recomme	ended for design		pace?	Yes

Site reference	Name of			Parish Harston	
NH/12 – 86 (1.38 Hectares)					
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	o Oik	een	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	GIG	een	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Rea	asons	: Recreational ground		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	eac	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		asons	5: N0		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gre	een	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gre	een	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ani 201 Opi (in Sui site villa pro bot The the Gre incl The Pro wei	nex A 14. It v tions 2 2013) bmitte e is win age fra vide a th well e cour Gree een Be luded e site v posec re reco	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS83) with 3 and 2 Part 3 (site LGS83) with 4 and 4 and 5 and	ern and not white land and recre for the vill nity. 13: Parts LGS. Thosed as LGS. reen Spac 013, no recont this site	ies and It's assessment Ithern part of I outside Pation ground I age. They are I of site outside I parts within and not I on the presentations
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		nber	Reasons: Recreational of mature trees makes a mosetting and views of local not make a particular corrections.	ground suri odest conti I features a	ribution to the and so does

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 86 (1.38 Hectares)	Recreation G	round	Harston	
		and amenity of the settler due to only moderate leve as a whole including a lac of 'particular local signific	el of visual ck of enclo	attractiveness
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular I community.	_	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the recreation feeling of remoteness and not to the extent that woulevel of protection provide	ground pr d quiet cor lld warrant	ovides some ntemplation but the enhanced
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signi demonstrably special to twarranting the high level LGS. [Note - this site was March 2014) to exclude for the suitable	s LGS. The age recreation ficance are the commus of protection previously	e recreation tion area and nd inity, on provided by
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

	Name of Site Welhouse Meadow Haslingfield			ield	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	Amenity Are			
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	Green		Site does not have planning permission		
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii		Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Reaso	ns	: Meadowland with orchar	d	
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each p	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reaso	ns	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green		Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green		Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. Option assess This is Haslin Counce trees. orchar The si alread The co it meet as LGS The sit Propos were re 3 repre the La therefor asses	t A It was 2	Appendix 5 (Evidence power identified as an option of Part 2 (site PVAA05) with eart (in 2013): In area of open space withing the splanted an orchard withing as planted an orchard within a PVAA and meets to cil's recommendation in 20 he test for LGS it can be in a sincluded as a Local Great Submission Local Plan 2 event on this site. Intations were received on owner Consultation in 20 addressed in appendix Consultations for the Local Great for PPF meeting 10/0 atations for the Local Great for the Loc	aper for L in the Issu in the counc in the centr a PVAA. The h local variage establish munity. The he test for 013: Existi included in reen Spac 013, no reg this site at 14. The sit is - Schedu 03/2015 –	re of The Parish ieties of fruit red close to the e site is LGS. ng PVAA. As the local plan e in the presentations s a result of e was alle of summary of

Site reference

NH/12 – 87a (1.119	Welhouse Meadow		Haslingfield		
Hectares)	designed sch	eme for sustainable h	ousing development		
	The council re This site was meeting the te have been rai shown that cir	esponded in 2015: previously assessed a ests for LGS and there sed that affect the ass cumstances have cha e opinion that the site	by the Council as efore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council		
	pages 49-50: SC4C.xxix. Should the box Meadow, Has previously know relates to a prodesignated her a conservation AND SC4C.xxx. Should the box Meadow, Has previously know to a private prodesignate production to a private production heritage asse	camination Statement and any of the LGS defingfield be amended own as The Manor Orivate property which a critage asset protection area? (NH/12-087) and any of the LGS defingfield be amended own as Granary Meacoperty which already to protection in a conservation	chard which now already benefits from in including its location in esignation at Wellhouse		
	Local Plan of Haslingfield videvelopment green spaces which is value	which together created by the local commu	a within the heart of protected from s a patchwork of different e a very special character unity.		
		-	two questions about this me eastern part of the		
	candidate as a space within t PVAA. The Co for LGS desig	a LGS. Wellhouse Me he centre of Haslingfi ouncil considers that i	the site meets the tests of the existing PVAA		
	objected to its the meadow a	area that should be de	part of the LGS have considering that it is only esignated as LGS which oth the Manor Orchard		

Name of Site

Parish

	Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Welhouse Meadow Haslingfield	NH/12 – 87a (1.119	Welhouse Meadow	Haslingfield
Hectares)	lectares)		

and the Granary Meadow are privately owned and are within the conservation area and protected as being part of the setting of adjacent listed buildings. The objectors consider that the Council by designating this land as LGS are duplicating protection. It has not been the intension of the Council to duplicate protection of green space within the Local Plan and has not designated any sites that are currently protected as Sites of Biodiversity or Geological Importance which includes County Wildlife sites: Local Nature Reserves: Sites of Special Scientific Interest: Scheduled Monuments and Historic Parks and Gardens. This concurs with the on-line guidance in the Planning Practice Guidance. However sites have been designated in the Local Plan for LGS within conservation areas and on land that is within the setting of a listed building. The Council considers that a green space may have a particular historic significance to the local community which merits its designation as a LGS and indeed this is a consideration included in paragraph 77 of the NPPF.

Both the Manor Orchard and the Granary Meadow although not forming part of the meadowland associated with Wellhouse Meadow they both add to the character of this part of the village. The naming of this LGS does not mean that the area it covers should be restricted to the meadowland but to include all the green space that together creates the character of this part of Haslingfield. Part of the character of this LGS is that it is a patchwork of different green spaces that together create a special area in this part of Haslingfield valued by the local community. The extent of the LGS is that of the existing PVAA and was submitted as a whole by the Parish Council.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of these sites should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

And also in **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement** (November 2016) on page 51:

SC4C.xxxi.

Should the boundary of the LGS designation at Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield be amended to exclude the area of residential land behind a 2m wall as the land is distinct in character from the orchard and meadow land, and in terms of its particular local significance? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area as the site is within the village settlement boundary? (NH/12-087).

The Council in responding to this guestion has provided

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)	Welhouse Me	adow	Haslingfield			
	a. Amen b. Design principle Amend thas lingforcandidate space with PVAA. The for LGS was carricreates at the ending it forms prest of the charagreen sponsor of Haslin Designal principle In assess to ensure the village designate developing of the site than the same shown the second in July 2.	answers to the two issues included within it: a. Amend the boundary of the LGS b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles? Amend the boundary of the LGS? Haslingfield Parish Council submitted this site as a suitable candidate as a LGS. Wellhouse Meadow is an area of open space within the centre of Haslingfield within an existing PVAA. The Council considers that the site meets the tests for LGS designation. The boundary of the existing PVAA was carried forward for the LGS as this whole green area creates a distinctive character to this part of the village. The Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who own that part of the site which is to the south of Broad Lane do not consider that it forms part of the LGS being different in character to the rest of the site. However the Councils believes that part of the character of this LGS is that it is a patchwork of different green spaces that together create a special area in this part of Haslingfield valued by the local community. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles? In assessing the site the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that the village ends up with no future space for growth. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area. Development of this part of the site would impact on the character of the whole LGS. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 087 into two parts (87a Welhouse Meadow, 87b The Manor House). Green Reasons: Meadowland, orchard and wooded				
Does the site have a particular		, T	orchard and wooded			
local significance due to its: Beauty		area all contribute signific and amenity of the village the village's war memoria	e. Village green contains			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: Site is within the provides the setting of Hard Grade II* Listed building. the village's war memorial considered the land has a significance' due to its here.	Village green contains al. However, it is not a 'particular local			

Site reference NH/12 – 87a (1.119 Hectares)	Name of Site Welhouse Me	eadow	Parish Haslingfi	eld
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a function within the village be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	. However particular role which	, it could not local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Green	Reasons: Centrally locat meadowland, wooded are provide a feeling of remo- contemplation to the exte enhanced level of protect	eas and vil teness and int that wou	lage green I quiet uld warrant the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: Site's natural rarea contain a diversity of warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	f wildlife, b	ut it does not
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a orchard contribute significand amenity of the village conservation area and a lt has an important amen village and being centrally provide a feeling of removerable contemplation to the extendanced level of protect. The site contains a diversignation of the community level of protection provides.	s LGS. Me cantly to the cantly to the cantly to the cantly to the cantly located, the cantly located, that would be cantly of wildle ce' and der warranting.	adowland and e character of the listed Building. within the chese areas I quiet ald warrant the ed by LGS. ife. It is 'of monstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 87b (0.144 Hectares)	Name of Site Wood		Parish Haslingf	ield		
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity Area;	l Amenity Are				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	Reason	s: Wood.				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reason	s: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Site 087 87c Villa for site o	017, South Cambs District into four parts (87a Welhouge Green and 87d Manor Heonsiderations through planefer to those for site 87a (ager site.	use Meado House). Fo making fo	ow, 87b Wood, or information or site 87b,		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	^r Green	Reasons: Wooded area character and amenity of				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	7411561	Reasons: Site is within the part of the setting of the control		ation area and		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	^r Amber	Reasons: It does have a function within the village be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	. However particular role which	, it could not local would warrant		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Green	Reasons: Centrally locat provides a feeling of remo- contemplation to the exter enhanced level of protect	oteness arent that wo	nd quiet uld warrant the		
Does the site have a particula	^r Amber	Reasons: Site's natural v	wooded ar	ea contain a		

Site reference NH/12 – 87b	Name of Site Wood		Parish Haslingf	ield
(0.144 Hectares) local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		diversity of wildlife, but it enhanced level of protect		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, the setting of the conservation area and a Grade II* Listed Building It has an important amenity function within the village and being centrally located, these areas provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. The site contains a diversity of wildlife. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		ooded area acter and if the Listed Building. In within the these areas Id quiet uld warrant the ed by LGS. life. It is 'of monstrably ig the high
Should the site be recommo	ended for desiç	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 87c (0.09 Hectares)	Name of S Village Gro			Parish Haslingf	ield
Is the site already protected to Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Area;	Am			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	0,00	n	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Oice	en	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Reas	ons	: Village Green.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reas	ons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gree	n	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gree	n	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Site (87c \ for sit pleas	087 /illaq te co se re	017, South Cambs District into four parts (87a Welhouge Green and 87d Manor Fonsiderations through planuser to those for site 87a (all r site.	use Meado House). Fo making fo	ow, 87b Wood, r information r site 87c,
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Gree	en	Reasons: Village green of character and amenity of the village's war memoria	the village	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amb	er	Reasons: Site is within the provides the setting of Has Grade II* Listed building. the village's war memorial considered the land has a significance' due to its he	aslingfield Village gre II. Howeve a 'particula	Manor, a een contains r, it is not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amb	er	Reasons: It does have a function within the village be described as having a significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	. However particular role which	, it could not local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Gree	en	Reasons: Centrally locat provide a feeling of remove contemplation to the external contemplation contemplation to the external contemplation con	teness and	d quiet

Site reference NH/12 – 87c (0.09 Hectares)	Name o			Parish Haslingfi	ield
			enhanced level of protect	ion provide	ed by LGS.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		ed	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS? Green		reen	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village Green contributes significantly to the character and amenity of the village, the setting of the conservation area and a Grade II* Listed Building. It has an important amenity function within the village and being centrally located, these areas provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		lage Green acter and the Listed Building. In within the these areas diquiet uld warrant the ed by LGS. It and unity,
Should the site be recommo	ended fo	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 87da (2.911 Hectares)		e of Site Manor Ho	use	Parish Haslingf	ield	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	Amenity A				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ning	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Residential gardens and	churchya	d.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Site 087 87c Villag for site co	017, South Cambs District into four parts (87a Welhouge Green and 87d Manor Fonsiderations through planufer to those for site 87a (altraite)	use Meado louse). Fo making fo	ow, 87b Wood, or information or site 87d,	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	Reasons: Residential gardens and churchya make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		acter and	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: Within the conservation area and provides the setting of Haslingfield Manor, a Grade II* Listed building. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		Manor, a it is not	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing a private garder has a limited amenity fundamenter of the limited amenity fundamenter, it could not be a particular local significant which would warrant the aprotection provided by LG	n area. Th ction withindescribed be beyond enhanced	e graveyard n the village. as having a that role	

Site reference	Name of Site	Name of Site Parish		
NH/12 – 87da	The Manor House Haslingf		Haslingfi	eld
(2.911 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: The churchyar of remoteness and quiet of the extent that would war of protection provided by	contemplate rant the en	tion but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site's natural meadowland would normally contain an unspecified diversity of wildlife, but it does not warrant the enhanced le of protection provided by LGS		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises residential gardens and a churchyard which make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of conservation area and Listed building. It provide a limited recreation function and has a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 87d (1.12 Hectares)		ne of Site Manor Hou	Ise	Parish Haslingf	ield
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plannin permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: M	leadowland with orchard.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Amber	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the		
		Reasons:	village. Residential gardens and	churchyar	rd
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	(l- !-	Amber	Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Residential gardens and churchyard make some contribution to the character and amenity of the village			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o f	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Residential gardens and churchyard y			rd
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquility.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 88 (0.66 Hectares)	Willo	ow Way Recreation Ground Hauxton					
Is the site already protected b	by an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No		
 Protected Village Ame 	•	•					
 Important Countryside 		age.					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Recreational ground				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par	ap to be produced to indic t. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	s to be refe	erenced with		
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS84) with the council's assessme (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Informal play area adjacent housing so has value to the local community for recreation Outside village framework so not appropriate for it to be designated as PVAA. Grassland surrounded by mature trees and on the edge of the village – beyond the trees is wide open farmland. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013: Include in local past LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			Jes and l's assessment rea adjacent to for recreation. for it to be by mature the trees is de in local plan re in the presentations		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Recreational g mature trees makes a pa the character and amenit through its beauty as it is to the setting of surround	rticular con by of the se visually a	ntribution to ettlement ttractive, adds		

Site reference NH/12 – 88 (0.66 Hectares)		e of Site w Way R	ecreation Ground	Parish Hauxton	
			long views through the se features.	ttlement a	nd of local
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Green	Reasons: It does have an important recreation function.		t recreation
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: Located on the southern periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		r provides a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area an is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference	Name	ne of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)	East c	of New Road Impington			
Is the site already protected b	y an ex	kisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Are	ea;			
Important Countryside		ige.			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	I	Reasons	: Wooded area.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	6	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
In the city is also a manufactor		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	ı	Red	Site not submitted by Par	ish Counc	il
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Guided B Appraisa LGS) Ma Issues ar assessma Submitte guided be Station a PVAA. The coun Meets the Proposed were rece A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee	called "Area by Histon and susway" was assessed in the last Annex A Appendix 5 (Erch 2014. It was identified and Options 2 Part 2 (site Libert (in 2013): If by Parish Council. Wood as a year of the local area consulted upon in 20 area consulted upon in 20 area included as a Local Grant Submission Local Plan 20 area on this site. In the consultation in 2014. It is appendix C - Scheduleting 10/03/2015 — summar Green Space consultation are Parish Council and was in Parish Council and was in on:	the Sustain Evidence pas an opti GS204) with ded area becommunity 13 consultains the local reen Space 13, no repairs site as The site was alle of assert 2014.	pability paper for on in the th the council's eside the v. Within ation Existing plan. e in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for esentations for

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)	East of New F	Road	Impingto	on	
	carrying water su	Support subject to designation will not stop Parish Council carrying out any work on improvements e.g. electricity / water supply. Part of land is in the ownership of Histon Football Club holdings.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber Amber	Reasons: Wooded area to the character and ame However, it is not of 'parti	nity of the	village.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a large wo not be described as havir significance beyond that the enhanced level of pro	ng a partice role which	ular local would warrant	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a large woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it is located between several main roads.		iet	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a wooded area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreational function, provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, well as having some value for wildlife. However does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 89 (1.58 Hectares)		e of Site of New Ro	ad	Parish Impingto	n	
Is the site within the development of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pl permission for new development which wo change this			
		Details: W	ooded area			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Wooded area			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	-4	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Wooded area is located beside a main road.			nain road.	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 90 (0.08 Hectares)		e of Site ge Green	(opposite the church)	Parish Ickleton			
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity A	rea;	·				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	s: Village green				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each part original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
lo the site in class provimity	10	Reasons					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	το	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Amber	Site submitted by The Ickleton Society				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G38) with the council's assessmen (in 2013): This is a triangle of grassland with some trees and the village war memorial on it. The parish church overlooks to green from the north of the site. It provides a tranquil location for the memorial and has historical value for the local community. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. In the 2013 consultation, there were 2 supports. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.					
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ır	Green	Reasons: Village green of character and amenity of the village's war memorial	the village			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ır	Green	Reasons: The village Gr		ne		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 90 (0.08 Hectares)	Village Green	(opposite the church)	Ickleton	
Historical significance		conservation area and is provides the setting for the Church of St Mary Magdalisted dwellings: No 27, (March Street, No 37, (Warch Street, No 18, (March Street), No 18, (March Street)	e Grade I alene and a Mill House Yellington F owbrays) I contains rial. The m sts of a sq on a three to on the	listed Parish 3 Grade II Cottage) on House) on On Church the Grade II Hemorial was uat (2.4m E-stepped
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	(CHER) website. Reasons: It does have a the village. However, it contains a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LG	ould not be significance the enhan	e described as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located away the land may provide som and quiet contemplation be would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	ne feeling out not to t	of remoteness he extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green and contains the village's war memorial. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function as well as providing a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		e site is a ge's war nservation ral listed tant nenity of the creation ng of n. It is 'of monstrably g the high	
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	Drive	ver's Meadow Ick				
Is the site already protected by	by an	existing de	signation in the adopted	LDF?	Important	
Protected Village Ame	•				Countryside Frontage	
Important Countryside		tage.			. romago	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plar	ining permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the villag site is not made up of a uses/character areas.			
		Reasons site.	s: Grassland with hedger	ows, shrubs	and trees on-	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
lo the cite in along provimity		Reasons				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Paris	h Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submittee frontages and part to the ce towards a local com is surrou trees. The a tranqui The cour Include in Proposed	was assessed in the Sus Appendix 5 (Evidence was identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS87) with a protect the views across of the western boundary on the church and outwards amunity. Field is within the inded by well established it is likely to have high value in local plan as LGS. Was included as a Local d Submission Local Plan erived on this site.	paper for L n in the Issue n the counci ortant count s the site fro Pasturelan ng good viet to the river ne Conserva hedgerows alue for wild Meets the te 2013 was: Green Space	des and l's assessment l's assessment l's assessment l's assessment l'yside long the north de located close le sinwards valued by the tion Area and mature l'ife. It provides lests for LGS.	
		No representations were received on this site as a re the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			as a result of	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)	Driver's Mead	ow	Ickleton	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs trees on-site contribute to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.		cter and site does not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in warea and does provide a listed dwelling lying 50m the site (No 37, (Frogge Halthough this is rather obson Frogge Street. T	setting for distance to Hall), Frog	a Grade II the south of ge Strret)
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a the village. However, it con having a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LO	ould not be significance the enhan	described as beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the village, the land may prove remoteness and quiet couthe extent that would war of protection provided by	vide some ntemplation rant the er	feeling of n but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a grassland with hedgerow which makes some contrand amenity of the village function and provides a fequiet contemplation. How 'particular local significanthe high level of protection given the high bar set by	s LGS. Sit s, shrubs a ibution to t e, has a re- eeling of re- rever, it is r ce' and do n provided	e comprising and trees, he character creation emoteness and not of es not warrant by LGS,
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)		e of Site er's Meado	w	Parish lckleton			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	Red	Site is outside of development framework.					
Is the site undeveloped?							
		Details: G	Details: Grassland with hedgerows, shrubs and trees or site				
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:				
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of							
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	(Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?							

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 91 (1.56 Hectares)		e of Site er's Meadow		Parish Ickleton	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Views toward Ickleton's own developm	but detach developme strong cou ds the south	Details: Land between two nearby but detached parts of the development framework and has strong countryside character. s the south and west between	
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: An ICF could go along the northern and western edges of the site to protect its rural character and penetrating views of open countryside between Ickleton's own development framework.		
		Reasons: The frontage allows land with a strong countryside character to penetrate into the built up area providing a significant connection between the street scene and the surrounding rural area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	e	Green	Reasons: The site's northern and western edges can be protected by the designation of an ICF.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 92a (0.1762 Hectares)		Parish Ilage Green Kingston			1
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Village green		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS88) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large area of grassland located on either side of the road called The Green with trees set back from the road. Has seating for tranquil enjoyment of the green space. Is within the Conservation Area. Large enough to be used for informal recreation. It has historic interest being part of a once large village green. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			LGS) March les and l's assessment Large area of alled The seating for in the for informal f a once larger e in the presentations as a result of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.			

Site reference NH/12 – 92a (0.1762 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Kingstor	1		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: Within the Conservation Area and provides a setting for the grade II listed 'House on the Green'. This building is a two storeyed, framed and plastered house, with tiled and thatched roofs, made up of two units dating to the 15th and 16th centuries, located on the edge of the former green. The building is referred to on the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) website.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		e described as e beyond that		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Re		Reasons: It is a central a road and does not provide of remoteness and quiet of	e a demon	strable feeling		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes						

Site reference NH/12 – 92b (0.05 Hectares)		rame of Site Parish Kingston			1
Is the site already protected by	-	_	signation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village
Protected Village AmeImportant Countryside	•				Amenity Area
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: The Green.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It w Options 2 (in 2013). Submitte grassland Green wi tranquil e Conserva recreation village gr The coun Include in The site w Proposed were rece No repres	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS88) with the control of the provided as a control of the green spansion of the green spa	aper for L in the Issue the council ang PVAA. the road car road. Has ace. Is with to be used the part of GS. D13 was: reen Space D13, no re	LGS) March les and l's assessment Large area of alled The seating for in the for informal f a once larger e in the presentations
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber Reasons: Green area provides some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, the site does not have 'a particular local transfer.			

	Name of Site The Green		Parish Kingstor	1
		significance' in terms of the	ne LGS de	signation.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	^r Amber	Reasons: Within the Conservation Area and whilst it provides a setting for the Grade II List Buildings, it is only a grass verge. It is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		rade II Listed is not
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function with the village. However, it could not be described a having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		described as beyond that
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a central area of land beside a road and does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		strable feeling
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	cal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprisin grassland, which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area, has a recreation function. However, it is not of 'particular local significance' and does not warrant the high leve of protection provided by LGS, given the high be set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	nded for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 92b (0.05 Hectares)		e of Site Green		Parish Kingstor	1	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: T	he Green			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: Site considered	d close to d	community	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green				
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, allotment or playing fields			
		Reasons: Part of the village green				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	4la : a	Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Village green which contributes to the character and amenity of the village				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	nquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Village green which contributes to the character and amenity of the village				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ıge	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 93 (0.13 Hectares)	Field	Road Gr	een	Kingstor	Kingston	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village	
Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	•				Amenity Area	
 Important Countryside The site does not have Plann 			0:1	·	-1	
Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Village green			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	4	Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS89) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large area of grassland with scattered trees providing a setting for near properties one of which is a grade ii listed building. The green is much used by the local community and valued as meeting place in the centre of the village. Meets the tests LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			des and its assessment Large area of ting for nearby Iding. The ind valued as a sets the tests for the presentations	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Village green on the corner contributes amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Green	Reasons: The site is with	nin Conser	vation Area	

Site reference	Name of S	ite	Parish	
NH/12 – 93 (0.13 Hectares)	Field Road	d Green	Kingstor	1
Historical significance		and provides a setting fo dwelling (No.1 Field Row Paynes Farmhouse on F former hand pump (still in smart tiled wooden cano	r) and the g ïeld Road. n situ) is un	grade II listed The village's nder a very
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amb	er Reasons: It does have a the village. However, it c having a particular local role which would warrant protection provided by Lo	ould not be significance the enhan	e described as e beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a central a road and does not provid of remoteness and quiet	le a demon	strable feeling
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		suitable for designation a village green. It is located area and part of the setti buildings. The site make contribution to the charavillage and provides an infunction. It is 'of particular demonstrably special to	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and part of the setting of several listed buildings. The site makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village and provides an informal recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by	
Should the site be recommo	ended for d	esignation as Local Green S	Space?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 94a				Parish Kingston		
(0.19 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected bProtected Village Ame	nity Area;	g de	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village Amenity Area	
Important Countryside					7	
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Greei	n	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	OI CCI	n	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reas	ons	: Village orchard			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reas	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Greei	n	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Greei	n	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anne 2014. Option (in 20 Subm with fi provid value used The c Includ The s Propo were 2 repr the La theref asses repre 2014	It was a lit was	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS90) with a community events. Meets in a tranquil area and is likely as a local by the community events. Meets incil's recommendation in 2 in local plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 2 in local plan as LGS. Entations were received on Owner Consultation in 20 in addressed in appendix Contations for the Local Great in appendix D - Scheduleting 10/03/2015.	in the Issue the council the PVAA. Tablished he local complete the tests for the test for the tests	es and I's assessment Grassy area edge around it high wildlife munity and or LGS. e in the presentations s a result of e was le of summary of consultation	

Site reference NH/12 – 94a (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Orchard	Parish Kingston
	Kingston Parish Council, in rep 6 proposed amending the boundar Boundary amendment of site NH exclude a private house and gar error in the Parish Council's orig	ry. They wrote: H/12-094 requested to den which was included in
	There was an individual respondand proposed amending the boucomments are summarised as: Error made by Parish Council with 'Village Orchard' was defined an which lies immediately to north of part of 'Village Orchard'. Fully suffreen Space provided boundary actual boundary of 'Village Orch	then Northern boundary of d respondents' property of the site has never been upport proposal for Local vis redrawn according to
	The council's response in 2015 of Kingston Parish Council submitte LGS during the Issues and Option The map provided included an experience of the 'Village Orchard' was defined house and garden which lies imported or the house to its inclusion in the LGS. This part of the 'Village Orchard' and submitted a representation requigarden be excluded from the detective boundary is appropriate to contain the LGS to exclude the private house the "Village Orchard". See Map is boundary.	ed this site for inclusion as a ons 2 consultation in 2013. From The northern boundary ned to include a private mediately to north of the e and garden has objected property has never been the Parish Council has esting that this house and signation. An amendment to prect this error. Village Orchard, Kingston e and garden adjacent to
	The site was specifically address SC4 paper Examination Stater page 51-2. SC4C.xxxii. Should the boundary of the LGS Orchard, Kingston be amended and garden which was included original submission? (NH/12-094)	nent (November 2016) on designation at Village to exclude the private house in the Parish Council's
	The Council has since the Local March 2014 had the opportunity this LGS. Kingston Parish Council for inclusion as a LGS during the consultation in 2013. The map part to include a private house and gimmediately to north of the orchand garden has objected to its in	to reappraise the extent of cil (PC) submitted this site e Issues and Options 2 rovided included an error. Illage Orchard' was defined arden which lies ard. The owner of the house

Site reference	Name	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 94a		e Orchai	·d	Kingston	
(0.19 Hectares)					
	t !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !!	the Paris requestir garden b the boun The PC I designate the PC re	has never been part of the h Council has submitted a g that this house and e excluded from the designary is appropriate to correct submitted further supped within the village. (See paffirmed their support for submitted.	representation nation. An amendment to ect this error. ort for all the LGSs Appendix 4) In particular the Village Orchard	
		In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Po Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the Village Orchard, Kingston LGS to exclude the private hand garden adjacent to the "Village Orchard" (Note to temporary on the Targeted Consultation with Landowne Local Green Space). The Council has met the concerns of the objectors by excluding the private house and gardens from the Village.			
	I	Orchard LGS. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 094 into two parts (094a Village Orchard and 094b Rectory Lane).			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	Reasons: Village orchard character and amenity of located between three Grand therefore contributes	the village. Site is rade II Listed buildings	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar ,	Amber	Reasons: Site is within Coprovides the setting for 3. The United Reformed Chathe Thatches cottage on Carey Hill dwelling on Chanot considered the land hasignificance' due to its here.	Grade II listed buildings: burch on Church Lane, Rectory Lane and the burch Lane. However, it is has a 'particular local	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar (Green	Reasons: It does have a provides a space for comparticular local significant be protected as LGS.	munity events. It is 'of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar ,	Amber	Reasons: Located between may provide some feeling quiet contemplation but no would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	g of remoteness and not to the extent that	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar F	Red	Reasons: Site does not li wildlife.	have a diversity of	

Site reference NH/12 – 94a (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Orchard Parish Kingsto		Parish Kingstor	า	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	cal suitable for designation as contributes to the character village. Site is located in the		d. Village orchard d amenity of the enservation area, buildings and etting as well. It a and provides a is 'of particular rably special to the	
Should the site be recomme	Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 94b (0.2455 Hectares)				Parish Kingston	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar	Villagi Area;			Protected Village Amenity Area
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Residential house in larg	e grounds	i .
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each part	yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of ach part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with iginal site reference number then A, B or C etc.		
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Site 094 i Lane). For making for	017, South Cambs District into two parts (094a Village or information for site consion site 094b, please refer to when it was part of the larger	e Orchard, iderations o that for s	094b Rectory through plan
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Residential ho it makes some contribution amenity of the village. It is significance'.	n to the cl	haracter and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: Site is within Conservation Area and provides the setting for 3 Grade II listed building. The United Reformed Church on Church Lane the Thatches cottage on Rectory Lane and the Carey Hill dwelling on Church Lane. However, not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red	Reasons: It does not have	e public a	ccess.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Located between may provide some feeling quiet contemplation but no would warrant the enhance	g of remote ot to the e	eness and xtent that

Site reference NH/12 - 94b (0.2455 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Lane		Parish Kingstor	1	
		provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Residential house within large garden it makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. The land may provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015)]		esidential some nenity of the rvation area provide some ntemplation. gh level of he high bar se - this site	
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 94b (Hectares)		ory Lane		Kingstor	1
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: R	esidential house in large	grounds	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	y been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Amber	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
		Reasons:	Residential garden		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Residential house within large garden it contributes to the character and amenity of the village.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o f	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Located between two roame feeling of remoteness tion.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquility. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA.]			
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 95 (0.29 Hectares)	Play	ayground Kingston			1
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;			
 Important Countryside 	Front	age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	-	Reasons	: Small recreational groun	d	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	each par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	renced with
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS91) with the council's assessme (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Play area on southern edge village – only one in village. Well used for recreational use by local community and for enjoying tranquil moments in countryside. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			es and is assessment withern edge of reational uses moments in e in the presentations
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Red	Reasons: Small recreation limited contribution to the of the village.	-	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 95 (0.29 Hectares)	Playground		Kingstor	1	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to t community.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Green	n Reasons: Located on the southern periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference		me of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 96 (1.37 Hectares)		creation Ground Linton			
Is the site already protected b	•	Ū	signation in the adopted L	.DF?	No
Protected Village Ame Important Countries	•	•			
 Important Countryside The site does not have Plann 					
Permission for an alternative use?	iing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is made up of a varie uses/character areas.		
			: Bowls green & club pavi te park and variety of play		• • •
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			erenced with
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) Submitte valuable Currently because section in communit The cour The whol PVAA me The site v Proposed were rece No representations	d by Parish Council. The rasset for the local communthe the eastern part of this are it is within the village frame ow being proposed is a va	in the Issue the council the c	Jes and l's assessment ground is a mal recreation. ified as PVAA e western the current the current se in the presentations
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of			

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 96 (1.37 Hectares)	Recreation Ground Linton			
		Linton and provides a softhe village and its north single River Granta. The site programmer of the site. The site is most attractive. and enclosed of trees and bushes. Howev local significance'.	ide runs in ovides a brown setting an of the villa derately vier 2 of its 3	parallel to the reak from the od offers long ge to the east sually 3 sides by
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: There is 1 grade of the site for which the reprovides a setting (Warde Village College, Cambridge)	ecreation g ens house	round
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular le community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the village, the land may prove remoteness and quiet corthe extent that would war of protection provided by	vide some ntemplation rant the er	feeling of n but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided b LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 97 (0.73 Hectares)	Name of Sit	ne of Site Parish age Green (Camping Close) Linton			
Is the site already protected b	y an existing	designation in the ad	opted LDF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	nity Area;				
Important Countryside	Frontage.				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	Groom	Site does not hav	e planning permiss	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Oleci		village and not ex p of a variety of di eas.		
	Reaso	ons: Recreation grour	d with trees along	the river.	
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each p	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reaso	ons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to nearby v	Close to nearby vicarage		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. Optior (in 20' Submitrees a church views the rule for the and rive the vill for LG. The control of the single proper were resident.	itted by Parish Counce along the edge that is the and north of the rive of the church and the ral setting of Linton. A the local community as a ver. Boundary to be all alage green up to the r	dence paper for La option in the Issu option in the Issu option in the Issu option in the Issu option in the Council of the Grand option of the Grand option of the Issued option option of the Issued	es and 's assessment and with mature ade I listed e allows for ad enhances in it has value ar the church the whole of eets the tests amended.	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 97 (0.73 Hectares)	Village Green	(Camping Close)	Linton	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Large green site beside the river Granta contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance Green		and provides a setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin on Church Lane which lies 50m west of the site. It also provides a setting for the Grade II listed No 28, (Mill Brook), Mill Lane and the Grade II listed Linton Mil on Mill Lane to the east of the site. Linton Parish Council records that "The Parish Council believe that its application must relate to one of the oldest greens (in the country). Camping Close gets its name from the medieval football game – Camping, which was popular in East Anglia. It was a particularly rough form of football played after church on Sundays which is why many of the fields are next to churchyards. Camping Close has been shown on village maps since the 1600's but there are not many preserved and still used for recreation over 400 years later".		28, d sh te to eval or in of ch is rds. maps
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: It is crossed by several rights of way does have a recreation function, providing a largarea of accessible rural, in nature land. It is 'of particular local significance' and therefore should be protected as LGS.		large of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	•		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not be wildlife.	nave a diversity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Large green site beside the river Granta, within the conservation area and provides an important part of the setting for the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin and the character and amenity of the village. It has an important recreation function and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace? Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)		ame of Site Parish Linton			
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity Area;	Amenity Area			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		en	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	OIE	en	Site related to the village site is made up of a varie uses/character areas.		
	Rea	sons	s: Grassland with trees alo	ng the rive	r.
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		sons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gre	en	Close to nearby vicarage		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gre	en	Site submitted by Parish	Council	
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ann 2014 Opti (in 2 Sub used tran form The Inclu The Prop were No r the I asse PPF asse the s No r Coul inco	nex A 4. It v ions 2 2013) mitte d by i quil a ns pa cour ude in site v posed e rece ess repre Land esse ess site tl repre uncil r prect	and by Parish Council. This at the local community for information for quiet enjoyment of area for quiet enjoyment of art of a much larger PVAA. Incil's recommendation in 20 in local plan as LGS. I was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 2 elived on this site. I sentations were received to a community of the commun	aper for L in the Issue the council area is by a cormal recre the river. Meets the 013 was: reen Spac 013, no re on this site 14. The site 14 The site 14 The site 14 The site 15 The site 16 The site 17 The site 18 The site 19 The site 10 The sit	des and l's assessment the river and leation. It is a This land tests for LGS. e in the presentations as a result of le was not le was not le was not le was not le chedule of le recorded for letton but lentified Council, who

Site reference	Name of Site	•	Parish	
NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)	Glebe Land		Linton	
NH/12 - 98 (0.19 Hectares)	The consider in 2013 local consider in alongsi forming provider site. The entirety lies adj	The council responded in 2015: Although no representation was made by the landowner, the Council was made aware during the consultation that this site in Linton had been identified incorrectly on the Polices Map. Linton Parish Council originally submitted the site for consideration during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013, described as an area by the river and used by the local community for informal recreation. However, the boundary provided by the Parish Council and shown on the submitted Policies Map comprises a private house and garden, and not the adjacent area of special character alongside the river, which is shown on the Policies Map as forming part of a wider PVAA. The Parish Council has provided the Council with the boundary it intended for the site. This involves deleting the current LGS boundary in its entirety and instead designating the area to the west that lies adjacent to the river as LGS. The current PVAA designation would then be removed from the new LGS. Recommendation: Delete the existing boundary of the		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Recom Glebe I adjace the LG	Imendation: Delete the exist Land, Linton LGS. Replace of the to the river and remove the S. See Map 4 showing corre	sting boundary of the with the correct LGS area are PVAA designation from ect area. I area of grass with a few area makes some	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	village. However, the site particular local significandesignation.	che conservation Area r the Conservation Area r the Grade I listed r the Virgin on Church of the site and also the and Church Cottage st corner of the site. ered the land has a	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	7	the village. However, it contains a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LC Reasons: Located on the village, the land may pro-	significance beyond that the enhanced level of GS. e southern side of the vide some feeling of	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced lev of protection provided by LGS. Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		

Site reference NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Glebe Land		Parish Linton				
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site in flood zone 2 adjacent to the River Granta and therefore not suitable for sustainable development. Site comprises a small amenity area of grass and trees within a residential area which makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and informal recreation. It is within the conservation area and forms part of the setting of listed buildings. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA.]					
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 98 (0.19 Hectares)		of Site		Parish Linton	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	ment	Green	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plannin permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: G	rassland with trees along	the river	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previously been designated as a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a rea, allotment or playing		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Informal open space		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:	Grassland with trees alor	ng the rive	r
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is tranquil with minimal activity		
Does the undeveloped nature of		Reasons:	Located beside a river		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	-	Green	Reasons: Located beside a river, the site is in a tranquil location providing an informal space for people. The site contributes to the character an amenity of the village. [Note - this site was previously removed from LGS (in March 2015) and reverts back to PVAA		
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 99 (0.09 Hectares)	Villag	age Green Litlington			
Is the site already protected b	y an e	xisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Ar	rea;			
Important Countryside	Fronta	age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ning permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Village green		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		each part	ap to be produced to indic t. Assessment of the part ite reference number ther	s to be refe	erenced with
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G39) with the council's assessment (in 2013): This is a large triangle of green space with trees and seati with views out over open countryside to the south. This vie is protected by an important countryside frontage. It is a place for informal recreation and having a quiet place to stand look at the countryside. The site is within the village framework. Middle Street separates this site from an existing large PVAA within the village. Site does meet test for both PVAA and LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 - summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. It was a representation (no.64857) in support of the LGS			LGS) March ues and assessment ees and seating outh. This view tage. It is a iet place to sit the village from an oes meet test ee in the presentations a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14.

Site reference	Name o	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 99 (0.09 Hectares)	Village	Green		Litlingto	n
	th T	hat the s he site v	signation from Litlington Parish Council who considered at the site is an important open space in the village. e site was not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of sessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar G	Green	Reasons: Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar A	Amber	Reasons: Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage		nd has a
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function wit the village. However, it could not be described having a particular local significance beyond the role which would warrant the enhanced level or protection provided by LGS.		described as beyond that
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: Located on the western edge of the village centre, the site provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced leve of protection provided by LGS.		ne feeling of In but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar R	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservat area and makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides an informal recreation function and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provide LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended fo	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 100	Name of Site St. Peters Hill					
(0.03 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected b	y an existing de	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		T				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		s: Village green				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of ch part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with ginal site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It v Options (in 2013) This is a some tre which wa interest to PVAA an The coul Include i	te was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal (A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March It was identified as an option in the Issues and is 2 Part 2 (site G40) with the council's assessment I(3): If an area of green within the centre of the village with trees. It has a telephone box and a listed building was formerly the village lockup so has historical at to the local community. Site does meet test for both and LGS. Douncil's recommendation in 2013 was: The was included as a Local Green Space in the				
	Propose were rec	d Submission Local Plan 20 seived on this site.	013, no re _l	presentations		
	Land Ow addresse PPF me for the L It was a	A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014. It was a representation (no.64856) in support of the LGS designation from Litlington Parish Council who considered				

0:12	N	(0''		D! . !	
Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 100	St. P	eters Hill		Litlingto	n
(0.03 Hectares)					
		The site v	site is an important open space in the village. was not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of nent for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green Reasons: Village green contribute character and amenity of the village			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Green Reasons: The site is in the Conservation Area and contains a Grade II listed Telephone Kios The Grade II listed round-topped village lock-called St. Peter's hole, last used in 1840, still stands on the northern village green. Also kno as The Cage, it was built in the 18th century. It steps at the side of the structure used to hous the village pump, now removed. Litlington's criminals would have been held in the Cage was last used in the 1840s during a period of social unit		hone Kiosk. lage lock-up, 1840, still h. Also known h century. The ed to house ington's he Cage whilst e was last	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value			described as beyond that		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	r Reasons: It is a central area of land that is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		emoteness it does not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a village green. It is located within the conservation area and contains a Grade II listed Telephone Kiosk. It makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It provides an informal recreation function and offers a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided be LGS.		e site is a e conservation Telephone oution to the e. It provides offers a entemplation. It ad inity,
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 101			Parish Litlingto	n
(2.98 Hectares)				T
Is the site already protected b		lesignation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame				
 Important Countryside The site does not have Plann 				
Permission for an alternative use?	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		s: Recreational ground		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.		
	Reasor	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. It Options (in 2013 Located Street. norther over the to the lo	e was assessed in the Susta A Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G41) with the B): If outside of the village frame of the side of the site protecting are recreation area. As a recreation area of the side of the side of the side protecting are recreation area. As a recreation area of the side of the side protecting are recreation area. As a recreation area of the side of the side protection area of the side of the side protection area. As a recreation area of the side of the	eaper for L in the Issue council's ework sout ryside fron the views I eation area test for on	LGS) March ues and assessment th of South stage along the looking south a this has value
	Propos	The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representati were received on this site.		
	Land O address PPF mo for the It was a	sentation was received on the wner Consultation in 2014. Seed in appendix C - Scheduseting 10/03/2015 - summate Local Green Space consultation (no.64858) attion from Littlington Parish Consultation from Littlington Parish Consultation (no.64858)	The site wante of associated asso	as therefore essment for resentations 14. of the LGS

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 101	Recreation Gr	ound	Litlington	
(2.98 Hectares)				
	The site	site is an important open sp was not assessed in app nent for PPF meeting 10/0	endix D - Schedule of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character and provides a soft edge to the south-east side of the village and is a feature that lies close to Royston Road which is the southern entrance route into the village. The site adds to the setting of the residential properties that lie to the west of the site on South Street and that lie south of the site on Royston Road and offers long views through the centre of the village to the west of the site. It i moderately visually attractive. It is mainly open land, fully enclosed on all 4 sides. However, the site does not have 'a particular local significance' in terms of the LGS designation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the and provides the setting of dwellings to the west of the including Thimble Cottage west (1 & 2 Heathview), Cottage on Royston Road dwelling called Louisville, Cottage and Acorn Cottage However, it is not consider particular local significant	for several Grade II listed the recreation ground the and cottage to south Giles Cottage and Rose that a Grade II listed Thatch Croft, Oak the land has a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	,		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace? Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 102		ame of Site cout Camp Site, Church Lane		Parish Little Ab	ington
(2.29 Hectares)					
Is the site already protected by	by an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside		age.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Wooded grassland.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par	ap to be produced to indicate. Assessment of the parts ite reference number then	to be refe	erenced with
			s: Yes - Area with planning vs to be removed	permissio	on for
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) This site hut and e stretching private be the villag provides local com the villag as a PVA site for he consultat Site mee During th LGS des Site mee that in Iss	is to the south of Little Abitextensive open land – a ming southwards to the River out used by local scouts so e. The wooded character of a tranquil beauty spot with munity. The vast majority e framework and therefore A. The site had been put fousing during the 'Issues a	aper for L in the Issue council's angton. The x of grassl Granta. Th has an am of the site I a wildlife va of the site could not forward as and Option was one s dessment in dary to be station to re	ere is a scout and and trees and and trees and and trees are site is nenity value for by the river alue for the is outside of be considered a potential s 1' support for the n 2013 was: a revised from the part

Site reference NH/12 – 102 (2.29 Hectares)	Name of Site Scout Camp Site, Church Lane	Parish Little Abington
	The council's recommendation Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Proposed Submission Local Pland from Little Abington PC and other of site. A representation was received Land Owner Consultation in 20 addressed in appendix C - Scapper meeting 10/03/2015 – surfor the Local Green Space of appendix D - Schedule of ass 10/03/2015. In their rep (no. 64902) Abington International School. The site is Abington International School. The site is permission (S/0893/11) which 3 August 2012. The document permission make it clear that of within a conservation area. Given planning permission it seems as Space only to cover that part of conservation area.	cal Green Space in the an 2013. There was supported an 2013. There was supported an 2013. There was supported and the analysis of the assessment for assessment for assessment for an Woods CIC were objectively on Woods CIC were objectively on Woods CIC were objectively on which was an existing planning its valid for 3 years from a relating to the planning only 2/3rds of the site lies were the existence of the sensible for the Local Green
	The council's response in 2018 Conservation area and LGS be follow each other. The sites wa Council as meeting the tests for new issues have been raised to it has been shown that circums Council remains of the opinion should remain in the plan.	oundaries do not have to as previously assessed by the or LGS and therefore unless that affect the assessment o stances have changed the

should remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter** SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on

page 52-3. SC4C.xxxiii.

Should the area of the LGS designation at the Scout Camp Site, Church Lane, Little Abington be constrained to the part of the site which is within the conservation area? Should any weight be given to the lapsed planning permission (S/0893/11) which relates to part of the LGS designation in this regard? (NH/12-102)

This site was submitted by Little Abington Parish Council during the Issues and Options consultation in 2012 and the proposed extent of the LGS was larger than that now included in the Submission Local Plan. It was this larger site

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 102	Scout Camp S	Scout Camp Site, Church Lane Little Abington		
(2.29 Hectares)	-		· ·	
	Following exclude bungalow boundary the proportion whole site that has	consulted upon during the g this consultation the Countries that part of the area with plays and a new scout headquy of the conservation Area osed LGS follow the same the is within the conservation and has therefore already of a lapsed planning permissictors concerns.	ncil revised the site to lanning permission for uarters. The southern and the northern edge of line and therefore the n Area.	
	evidence well use outdoor nature le voluntee the site o member offers gr	ington Parish Council has see to support the designation of by the local community for activities. It is a valued wild essons by young children as a working groups. The PC secontributes to the health and so of the local community are eat potential as a source of community as a whole.	n of this LGS. The area is or camping and other difference visited for and maintained by local supports the view that and well-being of younger and their families and	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Wooded area which contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the Area. There are 3 Grade positioned 50m distance provides a setting for the Cottage), and No. 46, (The High Street and No. 33, (Church Lane. The site has Camp for 85 years. Howe the land has a 'particular its heritage.	Il listed buildings from the site and it se: No. 38, (Damson ne White House) on the The Old Vicarage), as been used as a Scout ever, it is not considered	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a the village. However, it con having a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LC	ould not be described as significance beyond that the enhanced level of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Green	Reasons: Located on the village, the site provides and quiet contemplation countryside.	a feeling of remoteness	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: Limited diversi	ity of wildlife.	
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a	•	

Site reference NH/12 – 102	Name of Site Scout Camp Site, Church Lane	Parish Little Ab	ington
(2.29 Hectares) community and of particular lessignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	contributes the characte	r and amen ed buildings ction, provi contempla ildlife. It is ' emonstrably	nity of the s, has an des a feeling tion, as well as of particular y special to the
Should the site be recommo	ended for designation as Local Green	Space?	Yes

	Name of Site Bowling Gree	ame of Site owling Green, High Street Little Ab			
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmerImportant Countryside	nity Area;				
The site does not have Plannir Permission for an alternative use?	Groom	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of land	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Reasons	s: Bowling green.			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2013) The bow framewo It has a r meets te During th council's Include in The site Proposed were reco	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G43) with the 3 strain and therefore cannot be secreational value for the lost for only LGS. The 2013 consultation, there recommendations was: In local plan as LGS. In local plan as Local Good Submission Local Plan 2 leived on this site. In local plan as LGS.	aper for L in the Issue council's de of the virte considered was 1 supereen Space 013, no re	LGS) March ues and assessment illage ed as a PVAA. unity. Site oport. The ee in the presentations	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Red	Reasons: Bowling green makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particular	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the	he Conser	vation Area	

Site reference NH/12 – 103	Name of Site	een, High Street	Parish Little Ab	ington
(0.06 Hectares)	_	_		_
local significance due to its: Historical significance		and surrounded by sever buildings, all within 50m of which the site provides a Street, No 7, (The Old Ho Memorial, High Street, No High Street and Nos 28, However, it is not consider 'particular local significan	distance to setting: No buse) and to 46, (The 30 and 32, ered the la	the site for 5 5, High the Lagden White House), High Street. nd has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: Located on the village's main arterial road.		main arterial
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided b LGS.		e recreation tion area and nd Inity,
Should the site be recommo	ended for des	signation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 104 (3.91 Hectares)		e of Site Iows, Bar	ncroft Farm	Parish Little Ab	ington	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	Area; Village			Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Open pastureland.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each part	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) The site v SHLAA (village ar the former To the noresidential conclude Developr effect on Abington and would village. If Church L identificat undevelop be retaine The cour	Appendix 5 (Evidence powers identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G43) with the site of sites 28 and 29). The site of comprises of a field and a first and south the site of	aper for L in the Issue council's council coun	es and assessment es for the ne heart of the stern side are Bancroft Farm. Sed by it was notential. Cant adverse g of Little eal character within the e setting of kdrop. The this local character feria needed to LGS.	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 104	Meadows, Bancroft Farm	Little Abington
(3.91 Hectares)	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1	
	The site was included as a Local Proposed Submission Local Plate During the 2013 consultation, the both Great and Little Abington Flate Abington Housing. Wrong designand LGS should only apply to make a consultation of the farmyard previously not designate the consultation would enable state.	al Green Space in the in 2013. Here were objections from PCs and Committee for ination of brownfield land headow. Old derelict ated for protection.
	conservation area. Council assessment - The site Abington is included within the for future housing to meet the n lies within a larger LGS, the res the Parish Council. The local coconsulted on this issue and the be developed for housing. See H1 in Chapter 7:Housing. The Coproposing a major modification to delete the site of Bancroft Fall Major modification - Delete Ballittle Abington from a larger Locattached to the schedule of major	Parish Councils' proposals eeds of the village. The farm to of which is supported by mmunity has been majority wish the farm site to proposed changes to Policy Council is therefore to amend the Policies Map rm from the larger LGS site. Incroft Farm Church Lane cal Green Space (see maps
	One representation was received the Land Owner Consultation in therefore addressed in append assessment for PPF meeting representations for the Local 2014 and in appendix D - Sch PPF meeting 10/03/2015.	2014. The site was ix C - Schedule of 10/03/2015 – summary of Green Space consultation
	The representation (no. 64895) designation, from an individual summarised as: Reason for objection: 1. Not been demonstrated that all criteria set out in NPPF for a 2. Note that nowhere does nationally failure to meet policy requirement against other considerations where the common of this area as LGS where the part of site coming forware residential development opports settlement boundary for village.	whose comments are coroposed designation meets ssessing LGS designations. conal policy suggest that a nts should be balanced nen designating LGS. could almost certainly ard as a sustainable
	The council's response in 2015 This site was previously assess meeting the tests for LGS and the have been raised that affect the shown that circumstances have	ed by the Council as herefore unless new issues assessment or it has been

Site reference NH/12 – 104	ne of Site adows, Bancroft Farm	Parish Little Abington
(3.91 Hectares)		
	remains of the opinion that the site remain in the plan.	designation should
	The site was specifically addressed	in the Council's Matter

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on pages 53-4.

SC4C.xxxiv.

Would the land at Meadows, Bancroft Farm, Little Abington meet the designation criteria for LGS as it represents a significant area of open land/paddock in private ownership with little historical significance or recreational value and is surrounded by residential development with access limited to a public right of way which crosses the site? (NH/12-104)

This site is valued as an area that makes an important contribution to the character of this part of the village and it has long been recognised as it is currently protected as a Protected Village Amenity Area. The Council considers that the site should remain as LGS.

The site was submitted as a potential housing site during the Call for Sites for the SHLAA (Site 28 and 29). The site is within the heart of the village and comprises of a field and on its western side are the former farm buildings which were part of Bancroft Farm. To the north, east and south the site is enclosed by residential dwellings. When assessed as a housing site it was concluded that the site had no development potential. The Council considered that the development of this site would have a significant adverse effect on the townscape and landscape setting of Little Abington because the site has a distinctly rural character and would result in the loss of an open space within the village.

The existing designation of the site as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of Little Abington. It is the intension of the Council when it next reviews its Local Plan to assess all PVAAs as to whether they meet the tests to become LGS. Where existing PVAA were challenged during the preparation of the emerging Local Plan the Council has considered their potential as LGS. As it was concluded that the site of Meadows, Bancroft Farm was not suitable for housing the opportunity was taken to review whether the site would meet the tests for as being a suitable candidate for LGS. The Council assessed the site as meeting the LGS tests and included in the Proposed Submission Local Plan as a LGS.

The Council has worked with both Great Abington and Little Abington Parish Councils during the plan making process to consider key issues within these villages. It has been

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish		
NH/12 – 104	Meadows, Bancroft Farm	Little Abington		
(3.91 Hectares)				

recognised that there is a need for local housing and the PCs have considered that the old derelict farmyard on the western side of the site should not be included in the LGS as it is brownfield land that could be sensitively developed for housing. They formally objected during the summer 2013 to the boundary of the LGS site requesting that the farmyard area be excluded from the LGS. They did however strongly support the wider meadows area as being suitable as a LGS. A modification has been proposed by the Council to delete the site of Bancroft Farm from the larger LGS site.

267. Little Abington Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS (Appendix 4). Local residents have enjoyed and benefited from unlimited access to the meadow for many years. The meadow is valued for its biodiversity particularly its wildflowers and in recent years the PC has planted trees on the site. The PC would not support any development on the meadow area of this LGS but do support the amendment of the boundary to exclude the brownfield part of the site which is the old farmyard area proposed to meet local housing needs

The landowner of the proposed LGS has objected to its designation as LGS. The LGS is valued by the local community as an area of undeveloped pasture land creating a special character to this part of the village being surrounded by housing. LGS does not have to be accessible to the public for recreational uses although a public right of way does cross this meadowland. The on-line Planning Practice Guidance about LGS highlights that a LGS can remain in private ownership but that the landowner should be contacted for their views when a site is proposed - the Council has carried out a targeted consultation with landowners which the landowner of this site responded to.

The Council has responded to issues raised by Great and Little Abinaton Parish Councils which resulted in an amendment to the LGS boundary. No other issues have been raised that affect the assessment carried out by the Council and therefore the Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan with an amended boundary.

Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017

LGS Site NH/12-104-Meadows, Bancroft Lane, Little **Abington**

This is a fairly extensive area of land at Bancroft Farm used as animal pasture and is surrounded by residential development. This site is also referred to in a modification to the Plan as Policy H/1:k- 'Land at Bancroft Farm' in the

Site reference NH/12 – 104 (3.91 Hectares)	Name o Meadov		ncroft Farm	Parish Little Ab	ington
	wo sta no	ould be age of to othing d	f an allocation for housing inappropriate to make any he examination in respect emonstrably special about esignation as a LGS.	comment of the latte	at this er regard, I find
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	/	mber	nber Reasons: Pastureland that makes so contribution to the character and ame village. However, it is not of 'particular significance'. This refelects the Inspectional findings.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber Reasons: The south west corner of the Conservation Area. The site prosetting for a grade II listed building south of the site: No 36 (Churchvie Lane. However, it is not considered 'particular local significance' due to		he site pro d building t Churchviev onsidered	vides the hat lies 50m v), Church the land has a
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		mber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function with the village. However, it could not be described a having a particular local significance beyond the role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		described as beyond that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Ar	mber	Reasons: It is a central area of pastureland that is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Re	ed	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	ed	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland which forms part of the setting of listed buildings and character and amenity of the village. It provides informal recreation, and provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude land within the Parish Council-led housing allocation.]		
Should the site be recomme	ended fo	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site	Parish			
NH/12 – 104 (3.9 Hectares)	Mea	dows, Band	Little Ab	ington		
framework of the village?	Is the site within the development framework of the village?			Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?	Is the site undeveloped?		Site is undeveloped, and does not have plar permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: O	pen pastureland			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Open pastureland.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Pastureland contributions to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	al activity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Large area of pasturelan	d.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Undeveloped tranquil pastureland important to the village's character.				
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 105 (1.46 Hectares)	Name of Site Camping Close, Camping Field Parish Little Shelfo			elford		
Is the site already protected b • Protected Village Ame • Important Countryside	nity Area	Amenity Are				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing Gr	reen	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		reen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a v uses/character areas.			
	Re	easons	: Open pastureland.			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	ea	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Re	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gr	reen	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		reen	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	An 20 Op (in Suche the the the is) tes The Income The week or the	nnex A 114. It w ptions 2 1 2012): ubmitted edgerow e Conso at overl e village part of sts for L ne coun clude in ne site w ere rece e Land erefore essessm	d by Parish Council. Site is vs surrounding it including ervation Area providing se look the site. It brings cour e enhancing its setting cre a larger PVAA that extend	aper for L in the Issue the council is pasturela mature tre tting to list atryside int ating a rur is southwa 013 was: reen Spac 013, no rep in this site a 14. The sit is Schedu 03/2015 —	es and I's assessment and with ees. It is within eed properties to the centre of eal character. It erds. Meets the e in the presentations as a result of e was ele of summary of	

Site reference	Name (of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 105	Campi	ng Clos	e, Camping Field	Little Shelford	
(1.46 Hectares)					
	T wss T p uss g v	2014 but not assessed in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The representation (no. 64892) was from an individual who was in support of the LGS designation. The comments are summarised as: The landowner supports this decision to include her property in the proposed 'Local Green Space' on the understanding that her house will remain as it is and that she retains full control over what she can plant in her garden. A recent valuation of her property quoted on the value for the property as it is and substantially higher value as a building site. This will however affect the value to her heirs.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar A	Amber	Reasons: Pastureland that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar A	Amber	Reasons: The site provides a setting for several grade II listed dwellings: No 1, (The Old House), Whittlesford Road, No 4, (Kirby Lodge School), Church Street, No 6 Church Street, No 7, (Rope Cottage) on Church Street and no.11 High Street and a roll of honour at the former Congregational Church, High Street, commemorates the First World War. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar A	Amber	Reasons: It does have a the village. However, it co having a particular local strole which would warrant protection provided by LO	ould not be described as significance beyond that the enhanced level of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar A	Amber	Reasons: It is a central area of pastureland is large enough to provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. Howe does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar R	Red	Reasons: Site does not be wildlife.	nave a diversity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a which forms part of the se and character and ameni provides informal recreatifeeling of remoteness and However, it does not war protection provided by LO	s LGS.Pastureland etting of listed buildings ty of the village. It fon, and provides a d quiet contemplation. rant the high level of	

Site reference	Name of Site			Parish		
NH/12 – 105	Camping Close, Camping Field			Little Shelford		
(1.46 Hectares)						
	set by the criteria in the NPPF.					
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No						

Site reference NH/12 – 106 (0.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation G	ame of Site Parish ecreation Ground Little Wil				
Is the site already protected b	y an existing o	lesignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	nity Area;					
 Important Countryside 	Frontage.					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	OI CCII	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reasor	s: Small play area.				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasor	s: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. It Options assessr Submitte Green E the loca of site a Belt. Th Part of s site with not inclu The site Propose were re One rep the Lan therefor assess	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS103) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Majority of site within the Green Belt. The site is valued for its recreational uses by the local community. Allotment area appears to be on part of site adjacent to the housing which is not in the Green Belt. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Part of site outside Green Belt meets tests for LGS. Part of site within Green Belt should not be designated as LGS and not included in local plan. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation				

Site reference	Name of Sit	e	Parish	
NH/12 – 106	Recreation	Ground	Little Wilbraham	
(0.13 Hectares)				
	Botton as: Part o Parish projec	designation. It was from Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom Parish Council whose comments are summarised as: Part of site was converted to allotments 4 years ago by Parish Council and they are all let to local residents. The project has been a great success. Site should remain as allotments for use by the local residents.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Play area makes some contribution the character and amenity of the village. The comprises a small area of allotments (convertion from recreation ground by Parish Council in 2 on the west side of the village recreation ground lit enhance the rural character of the village a provides a soft edge to the north side of the village. The allotment gardens are surrounded the recreation ground to the north and east side the site has residential properties on Orchard Close to the south and agricultural fields to the west. The site adds to the setting of the residential properties and offers long views through the centre of the village to the south the site. The site is moderately visually attracted sub-divided into a series of allotments and we maintained. It is semi-enclosed by trees on 2 sides with a single tree to the north side.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	Reasons: Located on the the village, the land may remoteness and quiet countered the extent that would was of protection provided by	provide some feeling of ntemplation but not to rrant the enhanced level	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	suitable for designation as LGS. Suffi qualities making the site suitable for cance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the			
Should the site be recomme	ended for de	signation as Local Green S	Space? Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 107a				Parish Lolworth		
(0.6 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity A	rea;				
 Important Countryside 		tage.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons grass.	: High quality play area wi	ith and info	ormal open	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS106) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Northern part of the site is within PVAA. Remainder of site is outside village framework so would not have met test for PVAA. The northern section within the village framework is a mowed grass area lined by trees. The site has historic interest being part of an area called Town Acre. It has a number of recreational uses including a play area and allotments. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site as a result of				

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 107a	Recreation Gr	round	Lolworth	1
(0.6 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Play area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of Lolworth and provides a break from the urban form at the centre of the village and a soft edge to east side of the village. The site does provide a soft edge to east side of the village, the site adds to the setting of the residential properties and offers long views through the centre of the village (to the north) and beyond. The site is only moderately visually attractive. It is mainly open grassy land being used for a range of recreational uses including a children's play area. The site is semi-enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows. However, it is looking careworn and lacks any distinctive aesthetically pleasing features. Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site. Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a central play area with trees and open grass that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		ing of n. However it eling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 –1 07b (0.1 Hectares)		e of Site ments		Parish Lolworth	1		
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	Amen					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Allotments.				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	' to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 107 into three parts (107a Recreation ground, 107b allotments, 107c Private garden).					
	l I	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 107b, please refer to that for site 107a (above) when it was part of the larger site.					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area homes makes some cont and amenity of the village rural character of Lolwort from the urban form at the and a soft edge to east si site does provide a soft e village, the site adds to the residential properties and through the centre of the beyond. The site is only reattractive. It is mainly open used for a range of recreachildren's play area. The mature trees and hedger looking careworn and lact aesthetically pleasing feat	ribution to e. The site h and prove centre of de of the vide to east t	the character enhances the vides a break if the village village. The st side of the of the g views the north) and visually and being es including a ni-enclosed by ever, it is		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 –1 07b	Allotments		Lolworth	1
(0.1 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is 50m distance away from Grade II listed building (Nos 3 and 4, The Gradut does not provide a significant setting for the building. It is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warra the enhanced level of protection provided by LG		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the northern periphery of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		ovide a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desi	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 107b (0.10 Hectares)		e of Site tments		Parish Lolworth	1
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork .
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plann permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: A	llotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: village.	Allotment area on the ea	stern perip	ohery of the
	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		village adja	Allotment area on the ea acent to a residential area in to the character and an	a makes so	ome
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	. of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	-
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Allotment area on the eastern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area beside a main road.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 –1 07c (0.11 Hectares)		ame of Site and at Cuckoo Lane			1	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Are	Amenity A				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing G	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	R	Reasons	: Grassland.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	R	Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	S	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 107 into three parts (107a Recreation ground, 107b allotments, 107c Private garden).				
	fo	For information for site considerations through plan making for site 107c, please refer to that for site 107a (above) whe it was part of the larger site.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar R	Red	Reasons: Grassland mal contribution to the characteristics.	-		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar A	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar 🛕	Amber	Reasons: Located on the northern periphery of the village, it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its	ar R	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	

Site reference			Parish		
NH/12 –1 07c	Lanc	l at Cucko	oo Lane	Lolworth	1
(0.11 Hectares)					
Richness of wildlife					
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a comprises grassland and contribution to the characteristic village. It does not warrar protection provided by LG set by the criteria in the N	s LGS. Th makes a l eter and an nt the high GS, given t	e site limited nenity of the level of
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 107c (0.11 Hectares)		e of Site d at Cuckoo	o Lane	Parish Lolworth	1
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Green Site is undeveloped, and does not he permission for new development when change this		
		Details: G	irassland		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Amber	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?	of	Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Amber	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland within a residential area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Grassland co tranquility.	ontributes t	to the village's
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 107c (0.11 Hectares)		ne of Site d at Cuckoo Lane		Parish Lolworth
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Red		
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red		
Criteria tests for ICF				
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular		Reasons:	Details:	
part of the village?		reasons.		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		Reasons: The site is within the development framework.	
Should the site be recomm Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No

Site reference NH/12 – 108 (1.17 Hectares)		Name of Site Allotments, The Moor Melbourn			n	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons village.	: Allotment area on the no	rthern per	iphery of the	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS107) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area used for allotments so has recreational value for the local community. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			Jes and cil's llotments so y. Meets the e in the presentations	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area periphery of the village at area makes some contribution and amenity of the village to the north side of the village setting of the residential process.	djacent to bution to the lage and a	a residential e character es a soft edge adds to the	

Site reference NH/12 – 108	Name of Site Allotments, T	he Moor	Parish Melbour	n	
(1.17 Hectares)					
		west of the site on The M does not offer long views the village (to the south). moderately visually attractallotment gardens that is footpaths, well maintained trees and hedgerows. Ho distinctive aesthetically pl	through the The site is a stive. It is a neatly arrad and enclewever it la	ne centre of sonly a large site of anged with osed with cks any	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	11.00	Reasons: No historical si this site.	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS		er, it could not local would warrant	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the eastern side of the village, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		e a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 108 (1.17 Hectares)		ne of Site Parish Melbourn				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frai	mework.	
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: A village	llotment area on the north	nern peripl	nery of the	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Allotments				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site important to village character			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Allotments contribute to the character and amenity of the village				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Located on the eastern side of the village allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling or remoteness and quiet contemplation.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's	
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 108 (1.17 Hectares)		ne of Site tments, The Moor		Parish Melbourn	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 109a (3.36 Hectares)		Name of Site New Recreation Ground, The Moor Melbourn			n	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	ırea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	' to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) No 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS108) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Recreational area with children's play space, playing fields, bowling green millennium copse. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a rethe Land Owner Consultation in 2014.		es and cil's ea with green and the e in the presentations		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Recreational ground on the north west does enhance the rural cand provides a soft edge village. The site adds to the solution of the north west does enhance the rural cand provides a soft edge village. The site adds to the solution of the soluti	eter and and and a large in side of the haracter of the norther to the norther the side.	nenity of the recreation e village. It the village the side of the	

Site reference	Name of S	Site	Parish		
NH/12 – 109a	New Recr	eation Ground, The Moor	Melbour	n	
(3.36 Hectares)		,			
		residential properties and Barn on the Moor and the south of the site. The site attractive. It is sub-divided copse to the north of the ground to the south. The open, grassy land that is trees and hedgerows. The particular contribution to amenity of the settlement to limited views and only attractiveness as a whole	e Village C e is modera ed into the site and re recreation enclosed to the site does the charact t through it moderate	ollege to the ately visually Millennium ecreation ground is by mature a not make a ter and s beauty due	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Ar Amk	(Nos 29 and 29A, (Tithe lies 50m distance south site provides a setting. From the considered the land has significance' due to its he	Barn) The of the site flowever, it is a 'particula	Moor which or which the is not	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Gree	Reasons: As the primar ground it is 'of particular community.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amk	and does provide some quiet contemplation. How	Reasons: It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness an quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommo	ended for o	lesignation as Local Green S	Space?	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 109b (1.08 Hectares)		Name of Site Millennium Copse, The Moor Mell			n		
Is the site already protected by	by an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•						
Important Countryside							
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Copse.				
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 109 into two parts (109a New Recreation ground and 109b Millennium Copse).					
		For information for site considerations through plan makin for site 109b, please refer to that for site 109a (above) who it was part of the larger site.					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Provides a rural western perimeter. The single rural character of the villated edge to the north side of to the setting of the resided grade II listed Tithe Barn Village College to the sour moderately visually attraction the Millennium copses and recreation ground to recreation ground is open enclosed by mature trees site does not make a particular character and amenity of its beauty due to limited where the site of visual attractiveness.	ite does enge and protection the Wordship on the Mouth of the settive. It is a to the northe south. In, grassy last and hedgicular continuity and the settler views and	nhance the ovides a soft. The site adds erties and the or and the site. The site is sub-divided of the site. The and that is erows. The tribution to the ment through only moderate		

Site reference NH/12 – 109b (1.08 Hectares)	Name of Site Millennium Copse, The Moor Melbourn			
		it is not of 'particular local	significan	ce'.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Amber	Reasons: There is one G (Nos 29 and 29A, (Tithe I lies 50m distance south of site provides a setting. Ho considered the land has a significance' due to its he	Barn) The of the site foowever, it is a 'particula	Moor which or which the is not
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	^r Green	Reasons: It does have an informal recreation function 'of particular local significance' to the local community and therefore should be protected by LGS designation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: Located on the western periphery of the village, the copse provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	CLUSION: Is the site onstrably special to the local nunity and of particular local icance, and therefore ole for designation as LGS? Green Reasons: Sufficion suitable for designation copse, which provillage's western feeling of remote does have an infinity of particular local special to the core		asons: Sufficient qualities making the site table for designation as LGS. Site comprises a cose, which provides a rural setting to the age's western perimeter and provides some sling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It es have an informal recreation function and is particular local significance' and demonstrably ecial to the community, warranting the high el of protection provided by LGS.	
Should the site be recomme	nded for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 110 (0.16 Hectares)		Name of Site Parish Old Recreation Ground, The Moor Melbourn			n
,	ov on o	victing do	nignation in the adopted L	DE2	No
 Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame 	-	_	signation in the adopted L	DF !	INO
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Plann		Green	Site does not have plann	ina nermis	sion
Permission for an alternative		O rcon	Cito doos not navo pianin	ing ponnio	0.011
use? Is the site local in character	and	Green	Site related to the village	and not o	vtonsivo. The
not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Former recreational grou	ınd.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability App Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues a Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS109) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland e trees that is used as informal playspace. Valued be community. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represented on this site. No representations were received on this site as a the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			e in the presentations as a result of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Former recreations some contribution to the of the village. The site compared ground in the north of the the rural character of the a soft edge to the north stands to the setting of the	character a orises a sn village, w village. Th ide of the	and amenity of nall recreation hich enhances ne site provides village and

Site reference NH/12 – 110 (0.16 Hectares)	Name of Site Old Recreation	on Ground, The Moor	Parish Melbour			
		that lie to the east of the site is reasonably attraction that is enclosed by mature	ve, open, g	grassy land		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: British history online records how a recreation ground was allotted on the Moor in 1842 and that "In the 19th century Melbourn Feast was regularly held for three days at the beginning of July, on the Green near the church. In 1881 the vicar objected to the disorder that it created; an order of 1882 limited it to one day, and it was moved to the recreation ground on the Moor". However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	ground and does provide remoteness and quiet cold does not provide a demo-	Reasons: It is a former village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However i does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.				
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided b LGS.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes						

Site reference NH/12 – 111 (0.19 Hectares)	Recr	ame of Site ecreational Green, Armingford resent Parish Melbou			n	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Village green.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appr. Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues at Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS110) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland in middle of a circle of houses with scattered mature across it. Important area of informal open space progreen space within a built up area. Meets the tests The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site as a			nd in the ature trees for LGS. e in the presentations	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.			

Site reference NH/12 – 111 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational (Cresent	Green, Armingford	Parish Melbouri	n
Historical significance Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It is a small area of land that can support only a limited informal amenity and recreational function within a housing estate.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Red Reasons: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Site comprises a village green which makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	nded for design	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 - 111 (0.19 Hectares)	Recr	Name of Site Recreational Green, Armingford Cresent Parish Melbourn				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: V	illage green			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Village green.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?			Village green makes sor and amenity of the village		ution to the	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	anquil locat	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity area of grass within a residential area beside a road.			a residential	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Village green makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 112 (0.10 Hectares)		Name of Site Recreational Green, Russet Way Parish Melbourn			n	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			: Two compact amenity ar nin a residential area	eas with a	number of	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS111) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Two areas of grassland we a housing area. Mown grass with scattered mature trees upon them. Important area of informal open space provia a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. Representations were received on this site as a result of Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefor addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representation for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary			e in the presentations	
					essment for resentations 14 and in	

NH/12 - 112			
(0.10 Hectares) 10/03/2015. 3 representations were in support of the LGS designation. One representation (no. 64875) was from an individual who objected to the designation. Their objection is summarised as: Object to any developments being built on site but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space. The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 54-5: SC4C.xxxv. Would the designation of the Recreational Ground, Russet Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude car parking for the owners of the land thereby prejudicing highway safety? (NH/12-112 The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses. The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating ara parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this greer area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) if the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowne			
10/03/2015. 3 representations were in support of the LGS designation. One representation (no. 64875) was from an individual who objected to the designation. Their objection is summarised as: Object to any developments being built on site but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space. The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 54-5: SC4C.xxxx. Would the designation of the Recreational Ground, Russet Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude car parking for the owners of the land thereby prejudicing highway safety? (NH/12-112 The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses. The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this green area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents in would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation th	NH/12 – 112	Recreational Green, Russet Way	Melbourn
3 representations were in support of the LGS designation. One representation (no. 64875) was from an individual who objected to the designation. Their objection is summarised as: Object to any developments being built on site but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space. The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 54-5: SC4C.xxxv. Would the designation of the Recreational Ground, Russet Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude car parking for the owners of the land thereby prejudicing highway safety? (NH/12-112 The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses. The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this greer area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that	(0.10 Hectares)		
This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 54-5: SC4C xxxv. Would the designation of the Recreational Ground, Russet Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude car parking for the owners of the land thereby prejudicing highway safety? (NH/12-112 The Council considers that this site should remain as a LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses. The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this greer area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that		3 representations were in support One representation (no. 64875) was objected to the designation. Their as: Object to any developments being support designated parking lots for	as from an individual who objection is summarised built on site but would
SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on pages 54-5:		This site was previously assessed meeting the tests for LGS and the have been raised that affect the asshown that circumstances have chremains of the opinion that the site	refore unless new issues ssessment or it has been nanged the Council
LGS. The site was submitted by Melbourn Parish Council and was assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. It consists of two areas of grassland with mature trees which is within a built up area in Melbourn. The LGS provides an important area of informal open space providing green space for the surrounding houses. The owner of part of this LGS whilst objecting to any development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this green area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that		SC4 paper Examination Statements pages 54-5: SC4C.xxxv. Would the designation of the Recr Way, Melbourn as LGS preclude of	ent (November 2016) on eational Ground, Russet ear parking for the owners
development being permitted on the site would support designating car parking sites for the local residents who jointly own the green space. The PC has submitted additional evidence supporting the designation of this green area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If the site were to be used for car parking for the local residents it would have an adverse impact on the character of this part of the village and the Council does not consider that such a use would be appropriate. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that		LGS. The site was submitted by Mand was assessed by the Council LGS. It consists of two areas of graphich is within a built up area in Manda provides an important area of info	lelbourn Parish Council as meeting the tests for assland with mature trees lelbourn. The LGS rmal open space providing
this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that		development being permitted on the designating car parking sites for the jointly own the green space. The Fadditional evidence supporting the area as LGS. (See Appendix 4) If for car parking for the local resider adverse impact on the character of and the Council does not consider	the site would support the local residents who PC has submitted the designation of this green the site were to be used that it would have an of this part of the village
been shown that circumstances have changed.		this site should remain in the plan been raised through the landowne affect the assessment carried out	as no new issues have r consultation that by the Council nor has it

Site reference NH/12 – 112 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Russet Way Parish Melbourn					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Two compact number of trees within a some contribution to the the village. However, it is significance'.	residential character a	area make and amenity of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical s this site.	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: They are small amenity areas that could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: They are small not provide any feeling of contemplation.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Two compact grassland areas with a number of trees within a residential area which makes some contribution the character and amenity of the village and have a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Indication by landowners (local residents to provide some on-site car parking could be prevented by LGS designation.				
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 112		e of Site	reen Russet Way	Parish Melbour	n	
(0.10 Hectares)	IXCO	Recreational Green, Russet Way Melbourn				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plannin permission for new development which would change this			
			Details: Two compact amenity areas with a number of trees within a residential area.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			aroa, anotimoni or playii	19 110100		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Two compact grassland areas with a number of trees within a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Sites do not have an important function			
Is the undeveloped nature of		in the village or in this particular part of the village.				
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	\ of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 113 (0.4 Hectares)	Recreatio	ame of Site ecreational Green and wood, orcester Way			n
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Area;	ng de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	Gioc	en	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	OI CO	en	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	Reas	sons	: Grassland with hedgerov	vs, shrubs	and trees
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		sons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gree	en	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gree	en	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anno 2014 Optic asse Subn with Infor Mee The Inclu The Prop were	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marcl 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS112) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Landscaped edge to village with maturing trees and grassland including picnic area. Informal recreation area of value to the local community. Meets the tests for LGS. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			ies and cil's ge to village cicnic area. community.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amb	oer	Reasons: Grassland with trees on-site contributes to amenity of the village. Ho 'particular local significan	to the char wever, it is	racter and
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	Red		Reasons: No historical s	ignificance	e attached to

Site reference NH/12 – 113 (0.4 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way Parish Melbourn			n					
Historical significance			this site						
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function however, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.						
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: It is an informal amenity area in a housing estate and does not demonstrate a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.						
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of				
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland area with hedgerows, shrubs and trees which makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village and have a limited informal recreation function. It does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Indication by landowners (local residents) to provide some on site car parking could be prevemted by LGS designation.						
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 113 (0.40 Hectares)	Reci	e of Site reational G cester Way	n			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: G	rassland with hedgerows	, shrubs a	nd trees.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 113 (0.40 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green and wood, Worcester Way			Parish Melbourn		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	the village or for this particular		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference NH/12 - 114 (0.02 Hectares)		me of Site Paris e Cross, High Street Melk			n		
Is the site already protected by		ng de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame							
 Important Countryside The site does not have Plann 			0:: 1 (1 1				
Permission for an alternative use?	0.0	en	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		en	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		sons	: Village green				
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
	Rea	Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		en	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		en	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ann 2014 Opti asse Sub gras villa is lo setti quie The Inclu The Prop were	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) M 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS113) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. This is a triangular are grass upon which is located a stone cross which is to village war memorial. The village sign is also on this is located south of the grade II* listed church and prosetting for this building. There are seats on the grass quiet enjoyment of the village. Meets the tests for LCT The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site.			Jes and cil's lar area of cil's lar area of cil's land. It can provides a e grass for s for LGS.		
Does the cite have a particular	the	Land	Owner Consultation in 20	14.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar Gre	en	Reasons: Village green of character and amenity of the village's war memorial	the village	e. Site contains		

Site reference NH/12 – 114		e of Site Cross, Hi	gh Street	Parish Melbouri	n
(0.02 Hectares)					
			setting of an adjacent Lis	ted church	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Green	Reasons: Site is of historic value to the community. Site is in the Conservation Area and is the location of village's war memorial. The site also provides a setting for the grade II listed Parish Church of All Saints on Station Road and 2 other grade II listed buildings (63 and 69 High Street).		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: It is a small, condoes not provide any feel quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife				nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as Lo	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the communit Site is in the conservation area and is the loca of village's war memorial and provides a settin for the grade II listed Parish Church of All Sain on Station Road and other listed buildings. It a performs an informal recreation function. It is 'particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		lage green enity of the community. is the location les a setting of All Saints ildings. It also ction. It is 'of monstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	ended	d for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares)		ne of Site ckbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane Melbourn			n
Is the site already protected b					No
Protected Village Ame	•	•	olghadon in the adopted E	DI :	140
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Planni		Green	Site does not have plann	ina permis	sion
Permission for an alternative		0.00	one accommend	9	
use? Is the site local in character	and	Green	Site related to the village	and not a	vtonojvo. The
not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Open meadowland.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par original s	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.		
		Reasons	s: No		_
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Amber	Located on western periphery of village		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submittee Cambs E open spa The Coul Include in The site v Proposed were reco One repr the Land therefore assessm represer 2014 and PPF mee The repre objected the bound	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided in 2013): In the substantial of the Sustantial	aper for L in the Issue the counce area is one aity Strateg eets the tes 2013 was: reen Spac 013, no re n this site in 14. The site - Schedu 03/2015 — een Space le of asse s from an ind d propose summarise summarise	e that South by as being an sts for LGS. The in the presentations as a result of the was the of the summary of the consultation the essment for the did amending the did as:

		Parish	
NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares) Stockbridge I	leadows, Dolphin Lane	Melbourn	

and demonstrates that triangular parcel of land to rear of property is not part of Stockbridge Meadows.

The council responded in 2015:

This site was submitted for consideration as a LGS by Melbourn Parish Council in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. An objection has been received from the owner of a triangle of land included on the southern edge of the meadow. The purpose of this LGS is to identify and protect the Riverside Park. The site that received planning permission as a public open space in 2005 to form the Riverside Park is slightly different from the LGS shown on the Policies Map. It excluded the objector's triangle of land and included an additional small parcel of land on the north-eastern edge of the meadow. The Parish Council has confirmed that it supports a revised boundary to reflect the planning permission boundary.

Recommendation: Amend the Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn LGS boundary to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north. See Map 5 showing revised boundary.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 55.

SC4C.xxxvi.

Does the triangular parcel of land indicated in the LGS designation for Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn form part of Stockbridge Meadows? If not, should it be deleted from the LGS designation? (NH/12-115)

The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. This site was submitted for consideration as a LGS by Melbourn Parish Council in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. An objection has been received from the owner of a triangle of land included on the southern edge of the meadow. The purpose of this LGS is to identify and protect the Riverside Park. The site that received planning permission as a public open space in 2005 to form the Riverside Park is slightly different from the LGS shown on the Policies Map. It excluded the objector's triangle of land and included an additional small parcel of land on the north-eastern edge of the meadow. The Parish Council has confirmed that it supports a revised boundary to reflect the planning permission boundary.

In the report to the South Cambridgeshire Planning Portfolio Holder on 10 March 2015 it was agreed to amend the Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn LGS boundary to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north (see Appendix E Map 5). Melbourn PC supports this amended boundary. (See

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 115 (5.3 Hectares)	Stoc	Stockbridge Meadows, Dolphin Lane Melbourn			n
		Appendix 4) The Council has therefore proposed to exclude the area of land and has met the objector's concerns			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	Reasons: Meadowlands contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red		Reasons: Site makes a minimal contribution to the setting of several listed buildings.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function on the edge of the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		could not be al significance nt the
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: It is a large area of meadowland and does provide a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Green	Reasons: Features in SCDC's Biodiversity Strategy 2009. It has a diverse range of wildlife habitats and is of local significance.		ge of wildlife
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green			e comprises ne character ides a feeling ition. It also ategy as it has It is 'of monstrably g the high . [Note - this arch 2015) to uthern
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 116 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Clear Crescent Melbourn			n		
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Amenity area within a re	sidential a	rea	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability App Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS115) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Informal area for reseats available for quiet enjoyment of the area. With scattered trees. Boundary to be amended to whole of the grassy area. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Boundary to be amended. Whole site meets the LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represented on this site. No representations were received on this site as the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.		des and cil's or recreation. In a. Grassland of to include the or the tests for the presentations		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Red	Reasons: Grassland with makes limited contribution amenity of the village. The particular contribution to the amenity of the settlement	n to the ch e site doe: the charac	aracter and s not make a ter and	

Site reference NH/12 – 116 (0.10 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational	Green, Clear Crescent	Parish Melbour	n
		to limited contribution to a level of visual attractiveners small site does provide a and adds to the setting of properties that surround to Crescent. A narrow strip of grassy ground with a han open, grassy land that is rather featureless.	ess as a w soft edge the reside he site at of the recreation	hole. The to the village ential Clear onal open, ture trees is
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a small amenity area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warran the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS		local would warrant
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Reasons: It is a small, condoes not provide any feel quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a grassland within a resider amenity and recreational some contribution to the othe village. However, it do level of protection provide high bar set by the criteria	s LGS. The ntial area. function a character a ces not was ed by LGS	e site is It has a limited nd makes and amenity of rrant the high , given the
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 116 (0.10 Hectares)		e of Site reational G	reen, Clear Crescent	Parish Melbour	n
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plannin permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: A	menity area within a resid	dential area	a.
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland within a reside	ential area	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: Site does not have an important function in the village or in this particular part of the village.		
			Grassland within a residentribution to the character		•
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity areas of grass within a residential area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	a supports	the village's
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 117	Name of Site Play Park, Cle	ame of Site Parish ay Park, Clear Crescent Melbourn					
(0.22 Hectares)							
Is the site already protected by		esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No			
Protected Village Ame	-						
Important Countryside The acits also a match and Blanch		T					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion			
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
	Reasons	s: Play area within a reside	ential area.				
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
	Reasons	Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties					
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council					
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options: assessm Submittee grassy a providing tests for Council I Include in The site Propose were rec	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appr Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues at Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS116) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Formal play space we grassy area. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meet tests for LGS. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site as a					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Play area with makes some contribution amenity of the village. Ho particular local significan	in a reside to the cha	aracter and			
Does the site have a particula	r Red	Reasons: No historical s	ignificance	e attached to			

Site reference NH/12 – 117 (0.22 Hectares)	Name of Site Play Park, Clear Crescent Parish Melboure		n		
local significance due to its: Historical significance		this site			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It is a small play area that could not be described as having a particular local significant beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		al significance nt the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a small play area that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locing significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a pla area within a residential area. It has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village. However, it does not warrant the hig level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Formal playing pitches are protected from development Playing Pitch Strategy 2016 supports their protection.		e site is a play a limited and makes and amenity of arrant the high personal development;	
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 117		e of Site	r Cracaant	Parish Melbouri	n
(0.22 Hectares)	Play	Park, Clea	r Crescent	Meibouri	11
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Green Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this		
		Details: P	lay area within a resident	ial area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ		n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green			
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Play area within a reside	ntial area.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to the village.	•	
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?			Play area within a reside n to the character and an		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Red	Details: Site not in a tra		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Play area within a reside	ntial area	beside a road.
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Play area provides a space for recreational amenity for this part of the village.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 118 (0.2 Hectares)			Green, Elm Way	Name of Site Parish Recreational Green, Elm Way Melbourn		
Is the site already protected be Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons residentia	: Amenity area with a numal area	ber of tree	es within a	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Reasons Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisa Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Mar 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS117) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Area of grassland with a of bushes and small trees. Important area of informal space providing a green space within a built up area. It the tests for LGS. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.			GS) March les and cil's nd with a mix informal open	
	The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.					
	One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. Rep no.64947 was from an individual who was in support of the LGS designation.					

Site reference	Name of Site	Name of Site Parish		
NH/12 – 118 (0.2 Hectares)	Recreationa	l Green, Elm Way	Melbour	n
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		within a residential area r to the character and ame	Reasons: Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area makes some contribut to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	be described as having a significance beyond that	Reasons: It is a small amenity area that could r be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warra the enhanced level of protection provided by LG	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Reasons: It is a small, condoes not provide any feel quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with trees within a residential area. I has a limited amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is ntial area. It nal function c character r, it does not provided by
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	signation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 118	Reci	reational G	Melbour	n	
(0.2 Hectares)					
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: A residential	menity area with a numb	er of trees	within a
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green		Details: If the site is a recreation area / ame	
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, allotment or playing fields		
		Reasons: Grassland with a number of trees within a residential area.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the		
Is the undeveloped nature of		site in the village or to this particular part of t village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		residential	Grassland with a numbe area makes some contri		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			asons: Grassland with a number of trees within a idential area.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 119		Green, Beechwood	Parish Melbourn			
(0.19 Hectares)	Avenue					
Is the site already protected b	y an existing d	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Area;					
 Important Countryside 						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		s: Amenity area with a numial area	nber of tree	es within a		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reason	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	A Apper identifier (site LG Submitte with son open sp Meets th Council Include	e was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Anne ndix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was at as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 is 118) with the council's assessment (in 2013): red by Parish Council. Area of fenced in grassland me trees around the edge. Important area of information pace providing a green space within a built up area. The tests for LGS. Recommendation 2013 was: in local plan as LGS.				
	Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representati were received on this site.			presentations		
		No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Grassland with within a residential area reto the character and ame However, it is not of 'part	makes son enity of the	ne contribution village.		
Does the site have a particular	r Red	Reasons: No historical s	ignificance	e attached to		

Site reference NH/12 – 119 (0.19 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue Parish Melbour			n
local significance due to its: Historical significance		this site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It is a small amenity area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrar the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS		local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a small, central area of land that does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a grassland with trees within has a limited amenity and and makes some contribution and amenity of the village warrant the high level of pLGS, given the high bar sNPPF.	s LGS. Then a resident a recreation to the end of the e	e site is ntial area. It nal function c character r, it does not provided by
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 119 (0.19 Hectares)	Recr	Name of Site Recreational Green, Beechwood Avenue Parish Melbourn				
Is the site within the developm framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: A residential	menity area with a numb	er of trees	within a	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			anoun on praym			
		Reasons: Informal open space				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of the plant important to the plant and important		Green	Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		residential	Grassland with a numbe area makes some contri			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	4	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	anquil locat	tion.	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			ns: Grassland with a number of trees within a nitial area beside a road.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.				
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	endec	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference		e of Site	Dunan Guarana Bian	Parish			
NH/12 – 120 (0.07 Hectares)	Recr	eational (Green, Greengage Rise	Melbour	n		
Is the site already protected by	ov an c	vietina de	signation in the adented L	DE2	No		
Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF!	INO		
Important Countryside	•						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Amenity area located in	a residenti	al area		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?				LGS) March les and cil's grassland in lubs. It is used lue for the local			
		were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Red	Reasons: Grassland with makes only a limited cont and amenity of the village make a particular contribut and amenity of the settler due to limited contribution	ribution to e. The site ution to the nent throu	the character does not character gh its beauty			

of visual attractiveness as a whole. e does provide a soft edge to the dds to the setting of the residential		
at surround the site at Greengage er the site does not offer long views of the village and the site is not ractive. A small square of open, grassy ground that is enclosed es and hedgerows is rather		
historical significance attached to		
s a small grassland area that could bed as having a particular local beyond that role which would warrant I level of protection provided by LGS.		
s a small grassland area of land that vide any feeling of remoteness and plation.		
e does not have a diversity of		
Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limite amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 120		e of Site eational G	reen, Greengage Rise	Parish Melbouri	n	
(0.07 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planni permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: A	menity area located in a	residential	area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No		Details: It has not previously been a PVAA.		
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r area, allotment or playir		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland located in a re	esidential a	area.	
	Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: Site does not he function in the village or the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		residential	Very small amenity area area makes only a limite and amenity of the village	d contribut		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland within a reside	ential area		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?						

Site reference		me of Site Parish					
NH/12 – 121 (1.2 Hectares)			Green, Chalkhill Barrow	Melbour			
Is the site already protected by	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•	-					
Important Countryside		tage.					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons residentia	: Amenity area and hardst al area	tanding are	ea located in a		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte which ha It provide the local Council F Include in The site v Proposed were rece No representations	ne site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal nnex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 1014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and ptions 2 Part 2 (site LGS120) with the council's assessment (in 2013): """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued be local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued be local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued by local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued by local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued by local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """>ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued by local community. Meet the tests for LGS. """">ubmitted by Parish Council. Area adjacent to new how hich has been landscaped with grass and maturing tree provides an important green space in this area valued by local community. """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Red	Reasons: Grassland and hardstanding area within a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of th village. The site does not make a particular contribution to the character and amenity of th settlement through its beauty due to limited		y a limited nenity of the articular nenity of the		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 121 (1.2 Hectares)	Recreational	Green, Chalkhill Barrow	Melbouri	n	
		contribution to views and only modest level of visual attractiveness as a whole. The small site does provide a soft edge to the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties that surround 2 sides of the site at Chalkhill Barrow. However the site does not offer long views to the centre of the village and the site is not especially attractive. A small rectangle of recreational open, grassy ground that is enclosed by mature trees and hedgerows is rather barren and featureless.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical si this site	gnificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland and hard landscaped area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a small gra landscaped area of land t feeling of remoteness and	hat does r	not provide any	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation as		that the site is	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 121		e of Site reational G	reen, Chalkhill Barrow	Parish Melbouri	n	
(1.2 Hectares)						
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework			
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, an permission for new development of the change this			
		Details: A residential	menity area and hardstar area.	nding area	located in a	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland and hardstanding area located in a residential area.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		site in the village or to this particular part of the village.				
the village or for this particula part of the village?		residential	Grassland and hardstand area makes only a limite and amenity of the village	d contribut		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland and hardstanding area within a residential area beside a road.			vithin a	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	Land	me of Site nd between Worcester Way and mingford Crescent Parish Melbourn			n
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	l l	Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Grassland lined with tree	beside re	sidential area
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues ar Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS128) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Wooded edge to villa behind houses in Armingford Crescent. Links to inf recreation area by Worcester Way. Creates a treed the village. Meets the tests for LGS. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a			LGS) March les and cil's o village to informal treed edge to le in the presentations	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Grassland lined with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	oes the site have a particular cal significance due to its: Red Reasons: No historical significance attached this site.				e attached to

Site reference NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	Land	e of Site I between ingford Ci	Worcester Way and rescent	Parish Melbouri	n
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area lined with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area lined with trees that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
		Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limit amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village and a feeling of remoteness and contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Formal playing pitches are protected from development; Playing Pitch Strategy 2016 supports their protection.		e site is It has a limited and makes and amenity of ess and t warrant the LGS, given e NPPF. d from
Should the site be recomme	ended	l for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)		d between \ ingford Cre	Melbouri	n	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: G	rassland lined with tree b	eside resi	dential area.
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function					
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partici- part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 122 (0.3 Hectares)	Land	ne of Site d between Worcester W ingford Crescent	ay and	Parish Melbourn	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recommodule Countryside Frontage?	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 123 (1.68 Hectares)					Parish Meldreth	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	Amenity Are				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisance Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) M 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS135) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Part of slightly larger P Valuable recreational resource for the village. Well us local community for formal play. Meets the tests for I Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represent were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a retained of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			ies and cil's arger PVAA. Well used by sts for LGS. e in the presentations	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Recreational g contribution to the characteristic village. The site comprises recreation ground at the companies the rural characteristic provides a break from the position in the village. The	eter and	nenity of the m sized ne village. It village and m at a central	

NH/12 – 123	Name of Site Recreation Gr	ound	Parish Meldreth	
(1.68 Hectares)		of the residential propertic and offers long views to t to the south of the site. It but only enclosed by low trees.	he centre o is modera	of the village tely attractive
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: The site is 30m distance from 3 Grade II listed building to the east of the site on the High Street (No 70, Keys Cottage, No 51,Dormers and No 47,Post Office), and the recreation ground does provide a setting for these buildings. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Gree		Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a large vill that provides some feelin quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	cal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	nded for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 124	Name of Site Flambards Gr	ame of Site Parish ambards Green Meldret				
(0.32 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected b		esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame						
 Important Countryside The site does not have Planni 						
Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Reasons	s: Amenity area with trees	within resi	dential area		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options: assessm Submitte scattered space for for LGS. Council I Include i The site Propose were rec	Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representati were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a resu				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	d Owner Consultation in 2014. Reasons: Grassland area with trees makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.				
Does the site have a particula	r Red	Reasons: No historical s	ignificance	attached to		

Site reference NH/12 – 124	Name of Site Parish Flambards Green Meldreth			1
(0.32 Hectares) local significance due to its: Historical significance		this site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area lined with trees that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland within a residential area. It has a limit amenity and recreational function and makes some contribution to the character and amenity the village. However, it does not warrant the hig level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for design	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 124	Flan	lambards Green Meldr			1	
(0.32 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developm framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: A	menity area with trees w	ithin reside	ntial area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not prev			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amen		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, allotment or playing fields			
		Reasons:	Informal open space wit	h trees.		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this		Green	Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to t village.	•		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?			Grassland area with tree		-	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland area with tree	98.		
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for desig	nation as Protected Vill	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 125 (0.96 Hectares)		me of Site Parish orwell			
Is the site already protected by))	vietina de	signation in the adopted I	DE2	No
Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted L	Di:	140
Important Countryside	•				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Orchard/trees in a reside	ential area.	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprais Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Ma 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS142) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed area on the roa frontage with bench. Orchard / treed area extending be adjacent buildings. Part of the setting of the grade II lie buildings on Town Green Road and helps maintain ru character of the village. Council Recommendation 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representative received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a res the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			es and cil's nother road ending behind rade II listed intain rural e in the presentations
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Orchard / trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Green Reasons: The site is within 50m distance of 4			

Site reference	Name	of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 125	Chape	Chapel Orchard Orwell			
(0.96 Hectares)					
Historical significance			Grade II listed dwellings of the east of the site (Orcha Chequers Public House, which Chapel Orchard do has been orchard and ga three hundred years. In 1 side of the land which wa	ard Cottag numbers 4 es provide rden grour 686 it lay 0	e, the 4,6 and 8) for e a setting. It had for at least on the west
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Red	Reasons: The land has no recreational value.		onal value.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: The orchard / trees provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
		Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. This historic orchard has been part of the village for at least 300 years, contributing to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of several listed buildings. It also provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]		is historic for at least cter and g of several celing of n. It is 'of monstrably g the high . [Note - this
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 126	Name of Allotm		Fishers Lane	Parish Orwell	
(0.08 Hectares)					<u> </u>
Is the site already protected by	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside The site does not have Plann					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing G	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons illage	: Allotment area on the no	rthern per	iphery of the
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	R	Reasons	: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	A 2 C a S a re C Ir	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS143) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Long established allotment according to the Parish Council. They provides a valuable recreation use for the village. Meets the test for LGS. Council Recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. No representations were received on this site as a result			
	P W				
		the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar A	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area periphery of the village at area makes some contrib and amenity of the village the rural character of the edge to the north west of	djacent to a oution to the c. The site village, pro	a residential e character does enhance ovides a soft

Site reference NH/12 – 126	Name of Site Allotments at	Fishers Lane	Parish Orwell				
(0.08 Hectares)							
		setting of the residential proviews to the centre of the the site. The site is mode allotment gardens are necessary distinctively attractives	village to rately attra atly organi and hedg	the south of active. The sed and well			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1.00	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site other than the allotments have been lone established.					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could no be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the allotments demonstrable feeling of recontemplation.	do not pro	ovide a			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of			
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site make some contribution to the character and amenit the village, provides informal recreation and a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high barset by the criteria in the NPPF. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]					
Should the site be recommo	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 126 (0.08 Hectares)		e of Site ments at F	Parish Orwell		
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which change this			
		Details: A village.	llotment area on the north	nern periph	nery of the
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of	this	Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village.			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- (Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Allotment area on the northern periphery of the village adjacent to a residential area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character. [Note - this site boundary was previously amended (in March 2014) to exclude farmland.]			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)		e of Site pel Orcha	rd Allotments	Parish Orwell	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	ırea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons village	: Allotment area on the we	estern peri	phery of the
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte extension village. M Council F Include in The site v Proposed were rece	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS144) with ent (in 2013): If a by Parish Council. Allother in They provides a valuable fleets the test for LGS. Recommendation 2013 was in local plan as LGS. If a submission Local Plan 2 is evided on this site.	aper for L in the Issu the counc nents inclu e recreations: reen Spac 013, no re	Jes and cil's adding a new on use for the lee in the presentations
		No representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Allotment area periphery of the village as and a residential area macontribution to the characteristics. It provide a soft e adds to the setting of the	djacent to lkes only a lter and and dge to the	an orchard a limited some nenity of the village and

Site reference NH/12 – 127	Name of Site	ard Allotments	Parish Orwell	
(0.43 Hectares)	Chapei Orcha	ira Anotinents	Orweii	
		that lie north of the site. In not offer long views to the and the site is only mode allotment gardens are need enclosed by hedgerows attractive features.	e centre of rately attra atly organi	the village active. The sed and well
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site other than the allotments have been lonestablished. Site makes a minimal contribution to the setting of several listed buildings.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an allotment area. However, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located on the the village, the allotments demonstrable feeling of recontemplation.	do not pr	ovide a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only limited contribution to the character and amenit of the village, has a recreation function and its location on the periphery of the village provide feeling of remoteness and contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for design	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)		e of Site pel Orchard	Parish Orwell		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	mework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: A village.	llotment area on the west	tern periph	ery of the
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 127 (0.43 Hectares)		e of Site pel Orchard Allotments		Parish Orwell		
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.			
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.				
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference NH/12 – 128		Name of Site Parish Slebe Field, behind St Andrews Orwell				
(1.83 Hectares)	Church	urch				
Is the site already protected by	y an existing	de	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
 Protected Village Ame 	enity Area;					
Important Countryside		-				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	o order	1	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Oleci	1	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			s: Steep hill affording views ing area	of Roysto	on and	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each p	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reaso	ons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	1	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	ì	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. Optior assess Subm. setting on the footpa Counc Includ The si Propo were r One re the La therefe asses repres 2014 a	It was 2 sm itte of oil in the ir ite oil is early ore eproper serious eproper	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS148) with ent (in 2013): If the grade I listed church, if the grade I listed church, igh Street and the Conserver crosses the site. Meets the Recommendation in 2013 van local plan as LGS. I was included as a Local Grade Submission Local Plan 20 eived on this site. I esentation was received on Owner Consultation in 2013 and addressed in appendix Contations for the Local Grade in appendix D - Scheducting 10/03/2015.	aper for L in the Issu the counc ing field tha grade II lis ration Area e tests for I vas: reen Space 11. The sit - Schedu 13/2015 – een Space	des and cil's at is part of the sted buildings a. A public LGS. The in the presentations as a result of the was alle of summary of the consultation	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 128	Glebe Field, behind St Andrews	Orwell
(1.83 Hectares)	Church	

The representation (no.64931) was from Ely Diocesan Board of Finance who objected to the LGS designation and their comments are summarised as:

Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is an area of open rough grassland of no particular character, crossed by a public footpath.

It has not been demonstrated that the site is special and of particular local significance to the community. The site therefore fails the second of three tests. The site fails the third test as it is an extensive tract of land.

The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF.

The council's response in 2015 was:

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on pages 56-7.

SC4C.xxxvii.

Would the site at Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church, Orwell meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of being demonstrably special and of particular local significance, and which already benefits from designated heritage asset protection including its location in a conservation area? Would the designation be consistent with sustainable development principles in the area? (NH/12-128).

The Council in responding to this question has provided answers to the two issues included within it:

- a. Meeting the test for LGS?
- b. Designation consistent with sustainable development principles?

Meeting the test for LGS?

This site was submitted by Orwell Parish Council for consideration as a LGS. The site is a steep hillside field valued for centuries by the local community as a historical backdrop which provides views to the church and its tower from many locations in the village. The Council assessed the site and considers that it meets the tests for LGS designation.

Site reference NH/12 – 128		of Site	ehind St Andrews	Parish Orwell		
(1.83 Hectares)	Churc		eiiiid ot Aiidiews	Orwen		
	t. 4 v t. e r r r	that this s 4) The Po village wi the public and towa recreation managen oit where As a resu	nas submitted further evidence should be designated as considers that this site is the amemorial bench at the can enjoy the spectacular rds Royston. The field has nal use by parishioners for ment is closely linked to the rare breed sheep are usefult of this management it is ers which attract butterflies	as a LGS. (See Appendix is a focal centre of the etop of the field so that ar views over the church is been used for many decades. Its eat of the adjoining Clunched to graze the pasture.		
	s C F L k	specific g Council d protection Developr but a LGS and has t	ignation provides protection ignation provides protection igneen area valued by the lot loes not consider that this in is afforded to land within ment could be permitted on S designation provides a house of being recognism a specific local significant	scal community. The same level of the conservation area. It within such an area sigher level of protection sed by the Parish Council		
	E T S t t t	Designation consistent with sustainable development principles? The Ely Diocesan Board of Finance, the landowners of the site have objected to its designation as a LGS. In assessing the site the Council took into account the need to ensure that the LGS designations are not used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth. Within Orwell there are five LGS areas designated which does provide for other opportunities within the village for development at a future date. The designation is therefore consistent with sustainable development principles in the area.				
	t k a	this site s been rais affect the	Council remains of the opinion that the designation of site should remain in the plan as no new issues have n raised through the landowner consultation that ct the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it n shown that circumstances have changed			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar C	Green	Reasons: Steep hill afform and surrounding area. Maccontribution to the characteristics.	akes a significant		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar "	Amber	Reasons: The site provious grade 1 listed Church of 50m distance south of the The site also provides a signate II listed buildings of south of the site (nos 5, 7 20 High Street) 80m distance.	St Andrew, which lies e site on the High Street. setting to several other on the High Street to the		

Site reference NH/12 – 128 (1.83 Hectares)		e of Site e Field, b ch	Parish Orwell		
			not considered the land h significance' due to its he	•	cular local
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Amber Reasons: Field is crossed by a pub- way, providing access to the coutry village. It is a large field that could n described as having a 'particular loo significance' beyond that role which the enhanced level of protection pro-		side from the not be cal would warrant
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: Located on the northern periphery of the village, the area does provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		demonstrable
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Green	Reasons: Biodiversity of the site actively managed / maintained to support the adjacent SSSI site 'Chalk pit' and is of local significance.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Red	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a objection to the proposed noted however given the of Royston and surroundi significant contribution to village and the setting of Its location on the periphe a feeling of remoteness a and it has an important by of particular local signific warrants the high level of LGS, given the high bar sin NPPF.	s LGS. Land I LGS designed the character of the valued to diversity ance' and protection.	ndowner's gnation is affords views makes a cter of the ted buildings. village provide ontemplation function. It is therefore
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 128 (1.83 Hectares)			hind St Andrews	Parish Orwell	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frai	mework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: S	teep hill affording views c ng area.	of Royston	and
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: Site considered	d close to d	community
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 128 (1.83 Hectares)		ne of Site be Field, behind St Andrews urch Parish Orwell			
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character	Is the undeveloped nature of this		Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?				No	

Site reference NH/12 – 129 (1.46 Hectares)	Recr	Name of Site Recreation Ground, Town Green Road Parish Orwell				
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte pitches, p Proposed of the vill extension Council F Only exis Proposed Include in The site v Proposed were rece No repres	Recommendation in 2013 value of the string recreation ground med dextension does not meet a local plan as LGS. Was included as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 20 deived on this site. Sentations were received to Owner Consultation in 20 description.	aper for L in the Issu the counce the counce eation groudren's play arable fiele exclude pro vas: ets the tes the tests f reen Spac 013, no re on this site	LGS) March ues and cil's und with sports vequipment. Id on the edge oposed ets for LGS. for LGS. ee in the presentations as a result of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Recreational g contribution to the characteristics. The site enhance	ter and ar	menity of the	

Site reference NH/12 – 129 (1.46 Hectares)	Name of S Recreation Road	ite n Ground, Town Green	Parish Orwell	
		the village, provides a so of the village. It adds to t residential properties. It i but only semi enclosed b trees.	he setting on the setting of the set	of the ely attractive
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	significance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Gree		Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amb	er Reasons: It is a large vil and does provide some f quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		suitable for designation a ground is the primary vill is 'of particular local sign demonstrably special to	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided to LGS.	
Should the site be recomme	ended for d	esignation as Local Green S	Space?	Yes

Site reference		e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 130	Stati	ation Road/Turn Lane Over					
(0.88 Hectares)					T		
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•	Area;					
Important Countryside		tage.					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is however made up uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Pastureland and wooded	d area.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) The site i identified that it be towards t	is within the village framewas a PVAA. The Parish Careinstated as a PVAA. The he listed church would be signated as a PVAA or LGS	paper for L in the Issue council's vork and w ouncil are e views ac protected	LGS) March les and assessment as previously requesting ross the site if the site were		
	There was public ac criteria for Specific I Objection amenity a of no valu option for Appeal ir of the set	Consultation in 2013 led to 7 objections. There was objection to land being considered as PVAA. No public access to site and no views of church. Does not meet criteria for PVAA or LGS. Agreed by Inspector of Site Specific DPD in Sept 2009 (Rep 50810). Objection from landowners. This site does not contribute to amenity and character of this part of village. As it stands it is of no value to village – overgrown. Development of site best option for village to provide for affordable housing. Planning Appeal inspector (2013) considered that this site forms part of the setting of the Grade I church and Conservation Area therefore reaffirms that it meets the tests for LGS.					

Site reference NH/12 – 130	Name of Site Station Road/Turn Lane	Parish Over
(0.88 Hectares)		
	Council Recommendation	
	Include in local plan as LG	3.
	Proposed Submission Loc There was an objection to Must be demonstrably spe summited by Parish Counc – little changed. PC not jus assessment. Long term pr expense of potential future development that could re Council assessment - All have been submitted were Council as meeting the tes new issues have been rais it has been shown that circ	LGS from individual: ecial. Afforded more weight as cil. Rejected by inspector in 2006 stified why site special. Site fails otection important but not at
	the Land Owner Consultate therefore addressed in appassessment for PPF meet representations for the Land in appendix Depth meeting 10/03/2015. There was an individual resultation objected to the designation can be summarised as: 1. Site privately owned. Do significance to local common 2. No public access to site 3. Previous PVAA designations pector who stated land and character of village. Stime. 4. Parish Council's propose Space' flawed - site does not in NPPF. 5. Not in Conservation Are 6. Sensitively planned devi	pendix C - Schedule of eting 10/03/2015 – summary of cocal Green Space consultation Schedule of assessment for spondent (Rep 64870) who has LGS. Reason for objection was not hold any particular funity. The has ever existed. Intion was removed in 2009 by an does not contribute to amenity ite has not changed since that all to make this 'Local Green not meet any of the criteria laid ea. The lopment would enhance this particular for the standard of the criteria laid ea.
		spondent (Rep 64871) who n. Reason for objection can be

richness of wildlife.

1. Site does not meet any of criteria laid out in the NPPF. Site does not and has never served the community. No

2. Over Parish Council never agreed or debated local plan submission and has since approved planning application on

Site reference NH/12 – 130 (0.88 Hectares)	Name of Site Station Road/Turn Lane	Parish Over
	site. 3. More suitable 'Green Sp Village Green and Commuskate Park. 4. High demand for affordate There was an individual resoluted to the designation 1. Area not special to comparish Council in their subjected in their subjected in their subject of the site of the designation 1. Area not special to comparish Council in their subject of the site of the site of the contribute of the does not contribute to ame 3. Site is not an 'Area of Lowest any of the criteria for the council responded in 2 The sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the contribute to the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously a meeting the tests for LGS and the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the sites was previously at the council responded in 2 the	paces' exist within village, (e.g. mity Centre playing fields and able housing in village. Spondent (Rep 64872) who have the control of the
	shown that circumstances remains of the opinion that remain in the plan. The site was specifically as SC4 paper Examination Spages 57-8: SC4C.xxxviii. Would the land at Station It designation criteria for a L0 significance and its contribucharacter of the village give	the site designation should the site designation should ddressed in the Council's Matter Statement (November 2016) on Road/Turn Lane, Over meet the GS in terms of its particular ution to the amenity and en that it has lost its PVAA also benefit from an extant
	The Council in responding answers to the two issues a. Meeting the test for LGS b. Extant Planning permiss	5?
	LGS as it is valued by the important role in providing	t the site should be designated as local community and plays an an open green area at the heart the amenity and character of the
	the Site Specific Policies D had considered that the sit	en removed by the Inspector of OPD in his report in 2009 as he e was covered in thick scrub and

did not contribute to the amenity and character of this part of

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 130	Station Road/Turn Lane	Over
(0.88 Hectares)		

the village. Over Parish Council submitted this site for reinstatement as a PVAA during the Issues and Options consultation in the summer 2012. As the Council does not intend to designate any additional PVAA through this planmaking process and indeed will be reviewing all existing PVAAs during the next review of the Local Plan this site was tested to see if it met the criteria for LGS. The site was considered to meet the tests for LGS. The views across the site towards the listed church would be protected if the site is designated as a LGS.

The value of this site to the village was reaffirmed when a Planning Appeal Inspector in 2015 considered that the site forms part of the setting of the Grade 1 church and conservation area. 'The land provides a buffer between the Conservation Area, which comprises a collection of older buildings built at different periods of time and in different styles, and the more modern built-up part of the village characterised by estate style development which has a greater uniformity and density. As such the open space provides a distinction between the two areas allowing the historic development of the village to be appreciated.

In addition, the open character of the land emphasises the significance and visual impact of the Church as a focal point in an open rural landscape at the heart of a small village. As such the land forms part of the assets context. The built development around the church is of limited depth and, as such, gaps between buildings enable the open space to be appreciated from the church and Conservation Area. Furthermore the open space allows the heritage assets to be seen and thereby experienced from the public footpath to the south. Although the footpath is bordered by a mature hedgerow, there are gaps in that hedgerow and the church and its immediate environs are readily visible.'

The Inspector considered that any development on the site would result in the consolidation of built form around this part of the village which is its historic core and there would be a reduction in the openness between the historic part of the village, the conservation area and the more built up, modern part of the settlement. The designation of the site as a LGS will protect these features for the village.

Over Parish Council has submitted further evidence to the Council to assist with the writing of this statement and has informed the Council that at its recent meeting the PC voted by a very small minority to not petition for the re-inclusion of the land included in this LGS. (Appendix 4).

The Council considers that the value of keeping this LGS within the plan has been well recognised in planning

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 130	Station Road/	Turn Lane	Over			
(0.88 Hectares)						
	free from submitte Council a being inc small mil re-inclus does not would be Extant P	e which has supported keep and evelopment. It was the Find the site for consideration assessed the site and consciluded in the plan as a property that the PC recently ion of protection of the land to expend to be necessary for the plan to be a consider on balance that the necessary for the plan to be all the plan to be no extant permission?	PC who originally as a PVAA and the sidered it met the test for posed LGS. It was by a voted to not support the d in the plan. The Council the removal of this LGS be found sound.			
Does the site have a particula	Sites NHOver These two by a narrow The commercial residential (PROW) sites are the PRO observation could no respect. designation	Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 Sites NH/12-130 and 131-Land to the Rear of The Lane, Over These two plots of land are contiguous and are separated by a narrow watercourse. The combined sites are surrounded on all sides by residential development, albeit there is a public right of way (PROW) along the south-east boundary of the sites. Both sites are overgrown and unkempt and are screened from the PROW by vegetation and fencing. From my observations, the sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect. They would not therefore warrant a LGS designation.				
local significance due to its: Beauty	Ar Amber	Reasons: Pastureland an limited contribution to the of the village. However, it significance'. The Inspect Interim Findings that sites special and could not be landscape in any respect	character and amenity t is not of 'particular local tors concluded in their s are not demonstrably considered as a valued			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: Limited contribute the nearby listed building church, and conservation	s, including the Grade 1			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Red	Reasons: Public right of boundary. No formal right The land has no recreation	ts of way across the site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	1104	Reasons: Apart from the the land is not publicly ac				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	have a diversity of			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locommunity.		Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a wooded area make some	s LGS. Pastureland and			

Site reference NH/12 - 130 (0.88 Hectares)	Name of Site Station Road/Turn Lane		Parish Over	
significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	character and amenity of of the setting of the Grade conservation area. Howe the high level of protection given the high bar set by		e 1 church ver, it does n provided	and s not warrant I by LGS,
Should the site be recomme	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 130 (0.88 Hectares)		e of Site ion Road/T	urn Lane	Parish Over		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wou change this			
		Details: P	astureland and wooded a	ırea		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: If the site is a rear area, allotment or playing	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity		
		area, anotherit of p		Jiaying neius		
		Reasons:	Pastureland and wooded	l area		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		Green	Details: Nature of site recharacter or to the character of the village.	•		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Pastureland and wooded	l area		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	nquil locat	ion.	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Pastureland and wooded area adjacent to road.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 130 (0.88 Hectares)		ne of Site ion Road/Turn Lane	Parish Over	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Red		
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red		
Criteria tests for ICF				
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Reasons:	Details:	
the village or for this particula part of the village?	li	Reasons:		
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:		
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red		The site is within the ent framework
Should the site be recomm Countryside Frontage?	ende	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 131	Land	d to rear of The Lane Over					
(0.89 Hectares)							
Is the site already protected be a Protected Village Ame	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Area; Protected Village					
Important Countryside	Front	age.			Amenity Area		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is however made up uses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Open pastureland.				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm The site is south by Over. The undevelo of this pa to be reta The coun Existing I	was assessed in the Sustanta Appendix 5 (Evidence power identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site PVAA07) with ent (in 2012): is a green space surround residential. It provides an evidentification of this area apped land and preserves that of Over. It continues to a sined as a PVAA. It meets incil's recommendation in 2 PVAA. As it meets the test in the local plan as LGS.	aper for L in the Issu the counc ed to north amenity for as a PVA. he special I meet the council the test for 013 was:	ies and cil's and rest and res		
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the 2013 consultation, there was objection to LGS by individual as does not meet criteria for designation. Site bounded by 2m high fence. Limited views / overgrown private land. No public access. No more tranquil than other nearby areas in village. No uncommon wildlife. Council assessment - All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the			etion to LGS by ation. Site regrown pull than other exceptions.		

Site reference	Name o	of Site	Parish		
NH/12 – 131	Land to	Land to rear of The Lane Over			
(0.89 Hectares)					
	ne it C	ouncil as meeting the tests for LG ew issues have been raised that a has been shown that circumstand ouncil remains of the opinion that nould remain in the plan.	affect the assessment or ces have changed the		
	La ac P fo a	representation was received on tand Owner Consultation in 2014. Iddressed in appendix C - Schedup PF meeting 10/03/2015 – summor the Local Green Space consuppendix D - Schedule of assess 0/03/2015.	The site was therefore ule of assessment for ary of representations litation 2014 and in		
	ol 1. co ev 2. pa ac si	here was an individual responden ojected to the designation as LGS. Concern that representation substitution in 2013 - Rep 57527 widence paper submitted to govern. Site does not demonstrably mee ara 77 - limited views of land for paccess; no visual impact on listed by gnificance; no recreational value; ther sites in village; no significant. Site should have existing PVAA	i. Reason for objection: mitted in earlier vas not included in nment in March 2014. It criteria under NPPF ublic and no public buildings; no historic no more tranquil than wildlife.		
	Ti m ha si re	he council responded in 2015: the sites was previously assessed neeting the tests for LGS and there are been raised that affect the asthown that circumstances have chamains of the opinion that the site emain in the plan.	efore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council		
	Signal participation of the control	the site was specifically addressed C4 paper Examination Statement ages 58-9: C4C.xxxix. Yould Land to the rear of The Land esignation criteria for LGS in term emonstrably special and of particular hould its PVAA designation also be not its role as an amenity area for contribution to the character of the such a designation? (NH/12-131)	e, Over meet the s of it being ular local significance? be removed on the basis the village and its		
		he Council considers that the site nd that this designation should rep			
	Is C	n objection to the PVAA designations and Options consultation in touncil will be reassessing all existery are suitable candidates for LG	the summer 2012. The ting PVAAs as to whether		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish				
NH/12 – 131	Land to rear	of The Lane	Over				
(0.89 Hectares)							
	this site Specific consider was ther characte value of that the space su uses wh identifica undevelo of this pa meet the	pical Plan. It therefore took is meets the tests for LGS. The Policies DPD in his examinated that this site, which was a larger PVAA, did contriber of this part of Over. The contriber of this part of Over. The contriber of this part of Over. The contriber of this site agreed with this as site meets the test for LGS currounded to north, east and ich provides an amenity for ation of this area as a LGS coped land and preserves the provides of Over. This site in Over tests for LGS.	the Inspector of the Site nation report in 2009 is the eastern part of what bute to the amenity and Council in reviewing the assessment considering if the site is a green and south by residential or this part of Over. The protects this ne special local character for was considered to				
	this site been rai the asse	The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.					
	Sites NHOver These to by a nar The commercidenti (PROW) sites are the PRC observational could no respect. designate	Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 Sites NH/12-130 and 131-Land to the Rear of The Lane, Over These two plots of land are contiguous and are separated by a narrow watercourse. The combined sites are surrounded on all sides by residential development, albeit there is a public right of way (PROW) along the south-east boundary of the sites. Both sites are overgrown and unkempt and are screened from the PROW by vegetation and fencing. From my observations, the sites are not demonstrably special and could not be considered as a valued landscape in any respect. They would not therefore warrant a LGS designation.					
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Contributes to amenity of the village. It i significance'. The Inspec Interim Findings that site special and could not be landscape in any respect	s not of 'particular local tors concluded in their s are not demonstrably considered as a valued				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Red	Reasons: Public right of boundary. No formal right The land has no recreation	ts of way across the site.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	Red	Reasons: Apart from the the land is not publicly ac					

Site reference NH/12 – 131	Name of Site Land to rear of The Lane		Parish Over		
(0.89 Hectares)					
Tranquillity					
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?			Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 131	Land	d to rear of	Over			
(0.89 Hectares)						
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: O	pen pastureland			
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Open land with trees			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Openland			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	o of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	Openland and trees.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: No compelling is suitable for designation	•		
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 131	Name of Site Land to rear of The Lane			Parish Over	
(0.89 Hectares)					
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Red			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of					
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		Red	Reasons: The site is within the development framework.		
Should the site be recommo Countryside Frontage?	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 132 (0.32 Hectares)	Name of Site Wood behind Pendragon Hill Parish Papwortl			h Everard		
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Ar					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Copse within residential	area		
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It w Options 2 (in 2012). Well esta which wo framewor character either PV The coun Include in The site w Proposed During th supports parishion relatively A represe Land Ow addresse	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence providence providence) as identified as an option of Part 2 (site G47) with the expectation of the Woodland area sure outdown to this part of Papworth. See the local plan as LGS. In the lo	aper for L in the Issu council's rrounded k s within the sed site. It Site does r 013 was: reen Spac 013. Everard F o village. \ by housing his site as The site wa ule of asse	e in the C strongly /alued by g separated by a result of the as therefore essment for	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 132	Wood behind Pendragon Hill	Papworth Everard
(0.32 Hectares)		
(0.32 Hectares)	for the Local Green Space consappendix D - Schedule of asses 10/03/2015. The Papworth Trust made a representation objection: Land within Framework, which has evidence of either local support or which the NPPF advice requires. A studies established, the tests for L The council's response in 2015: This site was previously assessed meeting the tests for LGS and the have been raised that affect the asshown that circumstances have chremains of the opinion that the site remain in the plan. The site was specifically addresses SC4 paper Examination Statementages 59: SC4C.xl.	sultation 2014 and in sement for PPF meeting sentation (Rep 64954) in ation as LGS. Reason for serion wild over time. No richness of wildlife value As the Council's own LGS status are not met. If by the Council as serefore unless new issues assessment or it has been thanged the Council serefore unless new issues assessment or it has been thanged the Council serefore unless new issues assessment or it has been thanged the Council serefore unless new issues assessment or it has been thanged the Council serefore unless new issues assessment or it has been thanged the Council serefore unless new issues as a designation should set in the Council's Matter sent (November 2016) on
	Would the Wood behind Pendrage meet the designation criteria for L local significance and richness of Papworth Everard Parish Council consideration as LGS and strongly stating that it was valued by parish the village is characterised by hou relatively large greens. This site is woodland with wildlife value which this part of the village.	GS in terms of particular wildlife? (NH/12-132). submitted this site for y supported its designation hioners. In their opinion using separated by swell established
	The Papworth Trust as owners of its designation as it does not mee requirements and is land that has	t the NPPF123
	The Parish Council has submitted support the designation of all LGS including this triangular area that a development of housing in this are According to the PC at least two area were planted when Pendrage the 1930s. However they acknowled the site has been blocked by redead LGS does not have to have such a local community.	S within their parish was left following the ea. (Appendix 4) well-grown oak trees in the con Hill was constructed in ledge that public access to evelopment in this area.
	The Council remains of the opinio	n that the designation of

Site reference	Name	of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 132	Wood behind Pendragon Hill Papworth Everard				h Everard	
(0.32 Hectares)						
beer the a			his site should remain in the plan as no new issues have een raised through the landowner consultation that affect he assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been hown that circumstances have changed.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Grassland area with trees makes a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Red	Reasons: The land has raccess is not available.	no recreation	onal value, as	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: It is a small grant provide any feeling of contemplation.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with trees which contributes to the character and amenity of the village, but does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF. Landowner objection to the proposed LGS designation.			
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig		pace?	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 132 (0.32 Hectares)		Name of Site Wood behind Pendragon Hill Parish Papworth Ev				
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?			Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: C	opse within residential ar	ea.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ		n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Grassland area with tree	s within re	sidential area.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Nature of site not important to the			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		character or to the character of this particula part of the village.				
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Copse within residential area.				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: tranquil are	Copse surrounded by hoea.	ouses does	s make this a	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as PVAA.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 132 (0.32 Hectares)		ne of Site od behind Pendragon Hi	ill	Parish Papworth Everard	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	ie	Red			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular		Reasons:	Details:		
part of the village? Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	Red		The site is within the ent framework.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 133 (0.35 Hectares)	Name of Site Jubilee Green Parish Papworth Everard			h Everard		
Is the site already protected by	y an exi	existing designation in the adopted LDF? No				
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		ge.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Breen	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	R	Reasons	: Amenity area with trees	within resi	dential area	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	e	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	R	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	2 (i 7 s a n T Ir	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprais Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Ma 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G48) with the council's assessm (in 2012): This is a grassy area within the centre of Papworth wi some trees along the edge. It has value to local commas being a green space in the centre of the village. Simeets test for PVAA and LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation.			LGS) March les and les assessment worth with cal community llage. Site	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Green	received on this site. n Reasons: Provides a new village green near the village centre. Contributes to the character and amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar R	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar 🛕	Amber Reasons: It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particula local significance beyond that role which would				

Site reference NH/12 – 133	Name of Site Jubilee Green		Parish Papwort	h Everard
(0.35 Hectares)				
		warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	el of prote	ction provided
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a small grassland area beside the village's main arterial road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It performs a recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 134 (0.96 Hectares)		Name of Site Baron's Way Wood Pa			h Everard	
Is the site already protected by	y an exi	isting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Wooded area within resi	dential are	ea	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	R	Reasons	: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	2 C (i A E c fi	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G49) with the council's assessment (in 2012): A long strip of woodland following behind properties in Baron's Way. It has wildlife value. It adds to the rural character of the village. The entire site is within the village framework. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.				
	The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. During the consultations, Papworth Everard PC strongly support policy and its application to village. Valued by parish Village characterised by housing separated by relationary green. A representation was received on this site as a resurband Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was the addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representation the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and				g the supports parishioners.	
					as therefore essment for resentations	

0:4	Name of Otto	Double to
Site reference NH/12 – 134	Name of Site	Parish
	Baron's Way Wood	Papworth Everard
(0.96 Hectares)	annondiy D. Sahadula of	accomment for DDE mosting
	10/03/2015.	assessment for PPF meeting
	which they objected to the objection: Land within Framework, wheevidence of either local supposed which the NPPF advice requires.	representation (Rep 64953) in designation as LGS. Reason for ich has 'run wild' over time. No port or 'richness' of wildlife value uires. As the Council's own ts for LGS status are not met.
	<u> </u>	sessed by the Council as nd therefore unless new issues the assessment or it has been have changed the Council
		in terms of particular local
	consideration as LGS and s stating that it was valued by the village is characterised i relatively large greens. This	site is a long strip of woodland in Baron's Way. It has wildlife
	The Papworth Trust as own its designation as it does no requirements and is land the	
	part of the village. (Appendidates the housing developm	all LGS within their parish and which provides a much ews across playing fields in this ex 4) Part of the woodland prenent providing a haven for ex is an informal path through the ernative safe route for local
		opinion that the designation of e plan as no new issues have downer consultation that

Site reference NH/12 – 134 (0.96 Hectares)		e of Site n's Way \	Wood	Parish Papwort	h Everard
			e assessment carried out b own that circumstances hav		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ır	Amber	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It is a small woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: It is a small woodland area providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a woo		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness quiet contemplation. However, it does not warre the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPF		
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 134 (0.97 Hectares)		Name of Site Baron's Way Wood Papw			h Everard
Is the site within the developed framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: W	ooded area within reside	ential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	Э	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity		
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Wooded area within resi	dential are	a.
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	4la:a	Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to character and amenity of the village.			ution to the
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o f	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	Wooded area provides a	tranquil a	rea.
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 135 (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary) Parish Papwor				h Everard	
Is the site already protected b Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	nity A	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Wooded area within resi	dential are	ea	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
Council? How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) An area of village fra Chequers woodland value and south. The location of only LGS The court local plan The site v Proposed consultate policy and village charge green	of woodland part within and amework on the eastern ed a Lane and south of Old Plat adjoins the Baron's Way do is part of a larger expansivere is public access and plan the edge of residential as a Local Go as LGS. Was included as a Local Go as Local Go as LGS. Was included as a Local Go as Local Go as LGS. Was included as a Local Go as Local Go as LGS. Was included as a Local Go as L	aper for L in the Issu council's d part outs dge of the inewood V Wood and e of wood provides a areas. Site 013 was: I reen Spac 013. Durin C strongly Valued by parated by	LGS) March ues and assessment side of the village west of Vay. The I has wildlife land to the tranquil meets test for nclude in the se in the supports parishioners. y relatively	

Site reference		Name of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 135 (1.56 Hectares)		ectory Woods (inside Village Papworth Everard oundary)				
		addresse PPF mee for the L	ner Consultation in 2014. The consultation in 2014. The consultation in 2014. The consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The consultation is a second consultation is a second consultation in 2014. The cons	ule of assessment for ary of representations iltation 2014 and in		
		amending The resp do not ov Would lo abundan	dual responded (Rep 6488 g the boundary. The rep cae ondents' property is adjace on any part of it as mention we green space to stay as ce of wildlife, including deep and all sorts of birds and be a secondary.	an be summarised as: ent to NH/12-135. They ned in Council's letter. it is. Supports an er, fox, hedgehogs,		
		The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. The site was not specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016).				
		Site 135 boundary	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 135 into two parts (135a Rectory Woods – inside villa boundary and 135b Rectory Woods – outside village boundary).			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Wooded area to the character and ame However, it is not of 'parti			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance attached to		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland described as having a pabeyond that role which we enhanced level of protects.	rticular local significance ould warrant the		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland some feeling of remotened contemplation, but not to warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	ess and quiet the extent that would		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife other than what m	•		

Site reference NH/12 – 135 (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Woo Boundary)	e ods (inside Village	Parish Papwort	h Everard
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as Lo	Red Reasons: No compelling ev suitable for designation as L makes some contribution to amenity of the village, has a			ooded area aracter and nal recreation emoteness and es not warrant I by LGS,
Should the site be recommo	pace?	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 135a (1.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Woods (inside Village Boundary) Parisi Papw				h Everard	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pl permission for new development which wo change this			
		Details: W	ooded area within reside	ntial area.		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green				
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, allotment or playing fields			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Wooded area within residual	dential are	a.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	thia	Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Wooded area makes some character and amenity of the village.			ution to the	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity	
this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this particupart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:	ons: Wooded area provides a tranquil area.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.				
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 135b (0.60 Hectares)	Recto	Name of Site Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary)			h Everard
Is the site already protected b	y an e	xisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Wooded area within resi	dential are	ea
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	s: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 135 into two parts (135a Rectory Woods – inside village boundary and 135b Rectory Woods – outside village boundary).			
		making fo	mation about site considera or site 135b, please refer to ove) when it was part of th	that infor	mation for site
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Wooded area to the character and ame However, it is not of 'parti	nity of the	village.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance		Red	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	e attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a woodlan some feeling of remotene contemplation, but not to warrant the enhanced levels.	ess and qu the extent	iet that would

Site reference NH/12 – 135b (0.60 Hectares)	Name of Site Rectory Woods (outside Village Boundary) Par			h Everard
		by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		•
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 135b (0.61 Hectares)	Rect	e of Site tory Woods ndary)	Parish Papwort	h Everard	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment fran	mework.
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: W	ooded area within reside	ential area.	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	- (Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 135b (0.61 Hectares)				Parish Papworth Everard	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	ie	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ar	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	Э	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 136 (0.82 Hectares)	Name of Site Meadow at we Lane	estern end of Church	Parish Papwort	h Everard		
Is the site already protected b		esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside The site does not have Bloom		1				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	Ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		s: Grassland area in front o Century) Grade II* Listed b		's Church		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pai	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options (in 2012) This is g Peter's 0 screened meets te The could local pla	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G51) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is grassland outside of the village framework. St Peter's Church is to the south overlooking this area but screened by trees. This has value to local community. Site meets test for only LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in the local plan as LGS.				
	Propose were rec	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	Reasons: Grassland are contribution to the characteristic village, and particularly the church. However, it is not significance'.	cter and ar ne area ard	nenity of the ound the		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	^r Green	Green Reasons: The site is within Conservation Area and provides a setting for both the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane and				

Site reference NH/12 – 136 (0.82 Hectares)	Name of Site Meadow at western end of Church Lane Parish Papworth Everard				
			the grade II listed Lych G St Peter on Church Lane contribution to this part of setting of the conservatio	. Makes a the village	positive
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ır	Amber	Reasons: It does have a function however, it could particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LG	I not be de ce beyond enhanced	scribed as a that role
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ır	Amber	Reasons: It is a small gravillage's western peripher of remoteness and quiet of the extent that would war of protection provided by	ry providing contempla rant the er	g some feeling tion, but not to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a comprises a grassland ar important contribution to conservation area and Grand Grade II Listed Lych wider character and amerian informal recreation role feeling of remoteness and is 'of particular local signification demonstrably special to the warranting the high level LGS.	s LGS. The rea which rea which rade II* Lis Gate as whity of the e and proved quiet conficance are the community.	e site makes an of the ted church ell as to the village. It has rides some atemplation. It ad nity,
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary) Parish Papwortl			h Everard		
Is the site already protected by	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
			s: Grassland area with play /balancing pond adjacent t		ial area	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PC21) with the council's assessment (in 2012): Open space sloping up from bypass on the western side of village adjacent to the new housing development of Summer's Hill. This is an extensive area of open space outside of the village framework. The guidance in the NPPF does not support the identification of extensive areas of open space as LGS. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS.			estern side of the space of the in the NPPF the areas of		
	During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support. Papworth Everard Parish Council Planning Committee has stated that this area is an integral part of development of 365 dwellings, makes it more sustainable, well related to village and new development, valuable recreation area for village and new development. It is not appropriate to identify the whole area as LGS but within the housing development there are pockets of green space and recreation areas that would be appropriate to include. Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens.					

Site reference NH/12 – 137a	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside	Parish Papworth Everard
(1.26 Hectares)	Village Boundary)	

The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include pockets of green space and recreation areas: Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens in local plan as LGS.

A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

The representation (no. 64957) was from the Varrier Jones Foundation who objected to the designation as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:

Whilst this is land the subject of a Section 106 Obligation (in relation to the development of the residential estate to its east), the Council's own studies confirm that its extent is such as not to qualify for LGS status drawing on the NPPF guidance.

The Council's response in 2015 was:

This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4** paper Examination Statement (November 2016). *SC4C.xlii*.

Would Summer's Hill Open Space, Papworth Everard meet the designation criteria for LGS in terms of its size and extent? Would the fact that the land is also the subject of a section 106 obligation have any bearing on the designation? (NH/12-137).

Papworth Everard Parish Council submitted a large area of open space associated with the new development at Summer Hill for consideration as LGS. The Council in assessing the site considered this to be too extensive an area which would not comply with the guidance for designation in the NPPF125. The Council therefore only designated pockets of green space and recreations areas within the new development. These areas were strongly supported by the Parish Council stating that it was valued by parishioners. In their opinion the village is characterised by housing separated by relatively large greens for which this LGS forms a new addition to the

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Sum	e of Site mer's Hill ge Bound	Open Space (inside	Parish Papworth Everard		
(1.20 nectares)	1	village.	<i>y</i> ,			
		The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this formal area of open space in the new development within the village. (Appendix 4). This LGS acts as a buffer between any future development to the south and the older part of the village to the north. It is in a quiet part of the village and will give some protection to maintain the setting of the parish church of St Peter's, a Grade II* Listed Building. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 137 into two parts - 137a Summer's Hill Open Space (inside village boundary) and 137b Summer's Hill Open				
Dogg the cite house a newticular		• `	ace (outside village boundary).			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Grassland area with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: The site provides a setting for the grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter on Church Lane which lies 40m distance to the no west of the site. However, it is not considered t land has a 'particular local significance' due to heritage.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a grassland area with play are and a drainage/balancing pond on the village western periphery providing some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not the extent that would warrant the enhanced lead of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	have a diversity of		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the le	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a			

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary)		Parish Papwort	h Everard
community and of particular lesignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	village Boundary) ocal with play area and draina makes some contribution		to the chad particulance setting of ted building and provide contemplation of the ted building and provide and pro	aracter and arly the area of the gs. It has an es some feeling tion. However, protection
Should the site be recomm	No			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 137a (1.26 Hectares)	Name of Site Summer's Hill Open Space (inside Village Boundary) Parish Papworth Everard					
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
			rassland area with play a palancing pond adjacent t		al area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Informal open space with pond.	n play area	and drainage	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of the state of th		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particular part of the village?		balancing	Informal open space, pla pond makes some contri ity of the village.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	۰,	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Informal open space with play area on western periphery of the village provides a tranquil location.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a tranquil location.				
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)				Parish Papwort	h Everard	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ng permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons residentia	s: Grassland area with play al area	area adja	cent to	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers spl Site 137 into two parts - 137a Summer's Hill Open Spa (inside village boundary) and 137b Summer's Hill Open Space (outside village boundary).			Open Space	
		making fo	mation about site considera or site 137b, please refer to ove) when it was part of th	that infor	mation for site	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber Reasons: Grassland area with play area a drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'.			ome nenity of the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber Reasons: The site provides a setting for grade II* listed Parish Church of St Peter Church Lane which lies 40m distance to twest of the site. However, it is not considerand has a 'particular local significance' definition in the site of the site.			Peter on ce to the north considered the	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Amber Reasons: It does hat function however, it				an informal recreation ld not be described as a nce beyond that role		

Site reference NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	Sum	e of Site mer's Hill ge Bound	Open Space (outside ary)	Parish Papwort	h Everard
			which would warrant the protection provided by LC		level of
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Amber	Reasons: It is a grassland area with p and a drainage/balancing pond on the western periphery providing some feel remoteness and quiet contemplation, the extent that would warrant the enhal of protection provided by LGS.		the village's eeling of n, but not to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland area with play area and drainage / balancing pond makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and particularly the area around the church, and the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeli of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		assland area cing pond aracter and rly the area of the gs. It has an es some feeling tion. However, protection
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	Sum	ne of Site nmer's Hill (nge Bounda	h Everard		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: G residential	rassland area with play a area.	irea adjace	ent to
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	e		Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function					
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o o f		Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	opment
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ende	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 137b (1.81 Hectares)	Sum	e of Site mer's Hill Open Space ge Boundary)	(outside	Parish Papworth Everard	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9	Red	Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.		
Should the site be recomm Countryside Frontage?	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 138a (9.28 Hectares)					Parish Papworth Everard	
,	enity A	Area; Villag			Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Large parkland surround	ling Papwo	orth Hall.	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisa Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Mare 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA08) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This area is already within a PVAA. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS.			.ĠŚ) March les and cil's s <i>already</i>	
		During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support: Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and application to village. Valued by parishioners. Villag characterised by housing separated by relatively lar green.			y and its Village	
		2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.				

Site reference NH/12 – 138a (9.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Papworth Hall	Parish Papworth Everard
	objected to the LGS boundary summarised as: No objection to Local Green S	
	(Rep 64955) who objected an LGS boundary. Their comment Amend boundary. This design ownerships. So far as those p	nts are summarised as: nation takes in (at least) two parts owned by the objector are iteria for 'particular significance' nuncil's own studies. Thus the
	•	ssed by the Council as d therefore unless new issues he assessment or it has been ve changed the Council
	SC4 paper Examination State page 61: SC4C.xliii. Should the boundary of the P	amended so as to exclude the n Papworth Business Park to ntial accommodation to the
	The Council remains of the open should remain in the plan as	oinion that the site designation one LGS.
	Council and the boundary that consideration as a LGS was to Council considered that it me Parish Council strongly support	that of the existing PVAA. The
	During the targeted consultate proposed as LGS the owners north of the Business Park su (Rep no 64903) that pointed of private land in residential use	of the narrow finger of land ubmitted a representation

Site reference	Name of Sit	e	Parish	
NH/12 – 138a	Papworth H	all	Papworth Everard	
(9.28 Hectares)				
	to that site is is not of charmond of char	of it as LGS but requested that their land be a separate LGS to that of Papworth Hall. Although it is recognised that this site is in two different ownerships the designation of a LGS is not determined by its ownership. A LGS can contain a min of characters as is the case with example. The Council does not consider it necessary to create two separate LGSs. The Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of all LGS within their parish including this LGS. The narrow finger of land between Papworth Business Park and the existing residential areas is an important physical barrier and therefore its long-term retention is strongly supported by residents. This wooded strip marked the southern boundary of the parkland attached to Papworth Hall and was contiguous to the grassland of South Park before the interjection of new housing. The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed. In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 138 into two parts - 138a Papworth Hall and 138b		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Well-maintain significantly contributes t village.	•	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Green	grade II* listed Parish Ch	nurch of St Peter on 40m distance to the north r, it is not considered the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar Red	Reasons: No formal righ The land has no recreation	nts of way across the site. onal value.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	location providing some	feeling of remoteness but not to the extent that	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not wildlife.	have a diversity of	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 138a	Name of Site Papworth Hall		Parish Papwort	h Everard
(9.28 Hectares) CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a which significantly contrib the village and the park, g is a significant historic im 'of particular local signific special to the community level of protection provide	s LGS. Pa outes to the gardens ar portant to ance' and , warrantin	rkland area e character of nd moated site the village. It is demonstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	Yes			

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 138a (9.29 Hectares)		e of Site worth Hall		Parish Papwort	h Everard	
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and permission for new development of the change this			
		Details: La	arge parkland surrounding	g Papwort	h Hall	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е		Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA	Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Sufficient qua suitable for designation		ing the site	
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	No	

Site reference NH/12 – 138b		nme of Site pworth Hall (small finger of land) Parish Papwe			h Everard	
(1.93 Hectares)						
1	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village				
Protected Village Ame	-				Amenity Area	
Important Countryside The acit and a second base Place The acit and					•	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Wooded area.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 138 into two parts - 138a Papworth Hall and 138b Papworth Hall (small finger of land).				
		For information about site considerations through plan making for site 138b, please refer to that information for sit 138a (above) when it was part of the combined site.			mation for site	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village. Howe is not of 'particular local significance'.		e. However, it	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical significance attached this site.		e attached to	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing an accessible wooded area of land However, it could not be described as having particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		ea of land. as having a that role	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: The wooded a a feeling of remoteness a		•	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 138b (1.93 Hectares)	Name o		(small finger of land)	Parish Papwort	h Everard
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		ed	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of
Richness of wildlife CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		ed	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		poded area tracter and nal recreation emoteness and es not warrant I by LGS,
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 138b (1.94 Hectares)		lame of Site Parish Papworth Hall (small finger of land) Papworth E			
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planni permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: W	looded area		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Wooded area		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA	41- :-	Green	Details: Site is important to the village's character.		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Wooded area contributes the village.	s to the ch	aracter and
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	nal activity
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Wooded area provides a tranquil area.			rea.
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Tranquil wooded area important to the village's character.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 139 (5.83 Hectares)					Parish Papworth Everard	
,		viotina do	aignation in the adapted I	DE2	No	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF !	INO	
Important Countryside	•					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	:: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PVAA09) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This area is already within a PVAA and as playing fields ha a recreational value to the local community. The woodland will have wildlife value. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. During consultations in 2013, there was 1 support: Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village			ues and cil's aying fields has The woodland hin a PVAA can be oport:	
		characterised by housing separated by relatively large green. A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for			a result of the as therefore essment for	
			eting 10/03/2015 – summa ocal Green Space consu			

Site reference		ne of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 139	Villa	age Playing Field	Papworth Everard
(5.83 Hectares)			
		appendix D - Schedule of asses 10/03/2015. One representation (no. 64956) we Foundation who objected to the LC comments are summarised as: Majority of site has local recreation true of woodland strip along its no evidence that this is the home for which the NPPF expects. Delete to	as from the Varrier Jones GS boundary. Their nal function. Same not rthern edge. There is no the 'richness of wildlife'
		The council responded in 2015: This site was previously assessed meeting the tests for LGS and the have been raised that affect the as shown that circumstances have cl remains of the opinion that the site remain in the plan.	refore unless new issues ssessment or it has been nanged the Council
		The site was specifically addresse SC4 paper Examination Statement page 62: SC4C.xliv. Would the strip of woodland along LGS designation for the Village Pleverard meet the criteria in terms richness of wildlife? (NH/12-139).	ent (November 2016) on the northern edge of the aying Field, Papworth
		The Council remains of the opinion designation should remain in the p	
		This whole site was submitted by Council for consideration as LGS. was that of the existing PVAA whe the Council to meet the tests for L site is playing field and is considerable to the local community. The supported its designation during the consultation stating that it was valued.	The boundary assessed ere it was considered by GS. The majority of the red to have recreational Parish Council strongly ne Issues and Options 2
		The owners of the land, the Varried objected to the designation of the northern edge considering that this the 'richness of wildlife' which the Council when it assessed the site woodland strip was valued by the Parish Council had proposed the way the playing fields. The existing Playing Fields.	woodland strip along its s site does not include NPPF expects. The considered that the local community as the whole site rather than just

the playing fields. The existing PVAA extends over the whole site recognising the value of this woodland in adding

to the character of this part of the village. The Parish Council has highlighted that the village is characterised by housing separated by green areas and this LGS performs this function. The public do not have to have access to an

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish
NH/12 – 139	Village Playin	g Field	Papworth Everard
(5.83 Hectares)			
	The Part support including northern contains and high sportspet the network part of whether the containing suggested been raid the asset including the site site support of the containing suggested been raid the asset including the site support of the suppo	appreciate its value. ish Council has submitted if the designation of all LGS of this LGS. (Appendix 4) The edge of the playing field is mature trees and is a back ally regarded by local reside ersons. It has high amenity work of informal pathways the what was once a larger wood and group of TPOs. The Pred amendment to this LGS ased as an issue. Incil remains of the opinion ashould remain in the plant ased through the landowner assessment carried out by the	within their parish the narrow wood along the s valued by the PC. It kdrop to the playing fields ents as well as visiting value as evidenced by that run through it. It is not that existed in the area portant woodland the also submitted a that the designation of that the designation of that no new issues have the consultation that affect the council nor has it been
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Recreational of character and amenity of comprises a very large recentre of the village, which character of the village. If the urban form at a north adds to the setting of the that lie on all 4 sides of the offers long views through and is very attractive due activities and spaces, sylof space, well maintained trees and green space, and hedgerows. The site contribution to the character settlement through its be contribution to setting an of visual attractiveness dactivities and spaces, sylof space, well maintained trees and green space, and hedgerows.	ground contributes to the the village. The site ecreation ground at the ch enhances the the rural the provides a break from end of the village and residential properties he site. The large site in the centre of the village in th
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Red	Reasons: No historical sthis site.	significance attached to
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular community.	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference NH/12 – 139 (5.83 Hectares)			Parish Papwort	h Everard	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amber		Reasons: It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		ersity of	
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the local community and of particular local significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LGS?		Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		e recreation tion area and nd nity,	
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 140 (0.69 Hectares)		Name of Site Challis Garden, Mill Lane			ì	
Protected Village Ame	enity Ar					
Important Countryside		age.				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Garden (open to fee pay	ing public)).	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	· ·	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	•	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		2014. It won the conservative of the country of the	A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March It was identified as an option in the Issues and is 2 Part 2 (site G52) with the council's assessment			
		Proposed	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 d 44 supports.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: The garden its people of Sawston, and is volunteers. It has a beaut contribution to the wider climited as it is fenced off.	s being resty in itself,	stored by but its	

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 140	Challis Garde	n, Mill Lane	Sawston	ı
(0.69 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: The site is outside the village Conservation area although the site does provide a setting for the Conservation area which includes several grade II listed buildings to the immediate north and east of the site. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a however it is not publicall during certain times with therefore it could not be constituted a particular local significant which would warrant the protection provided by LG	y accessib an admiss described a ce beyond enhanced	ole other than ion fee as having a that role
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a publically restricted opening hours) feeling of remoteness and but not to the extent that enhanced level of protect	that provid d quiet cor would war	des some ntemplation, rant the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a beauty in itself, but its concharacter of the village, consettling of listed buildings off. It has an informal recommendation of the very high level of protection protection protection by the high bar set by the critical beauty and the very suitable of	s LGS. Gantribution to onservation is limited a reation role ass and quit does no ovided by	orden has a or the wider on area and as it is fenced er and provides iet t warrant the LGS, given
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 140 (0.7 Hectares)	Chal	lis Garden,	Mill Lane	Sawston	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have pla permission for new development which wou change this		
		Details: G	arden (open to fee payin	g public)	
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ	ously beer	n a PVAA.
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Formal garden		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Garden area surrounded	l by high w	alls.
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o of	Green	Details: Site is tranquil	with minim	al activity
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Gardens are secluded.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Formal garden contributes to the village's tranquility.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 141 (1.49 Hectares)	The	lame of Site The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace Parish Sawston		i	
Is the site already protected b	y an e	existing de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside		tage.			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	s: grassed playing field.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G53) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is an area of green space on the southern edge of Sawston outside of the village framework. The site is surrounded by well established hedges and has housing to the north and east; and commercial uses to the south. It provides a pocket of green open space between urban uses. It has value for the local community for informal recreation. Site meets test for only LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew support from 40, 3 objected and there were 7 comments. There was an objection from trustees as landowners, who would like to rent the site to generate income and site has limited access for the public. Objections to designation because it is removed from the village and is only used by dog walkers. Lots of support, including from Sawston Parish Council. This area, once used as a playing field, forms an important green space for			

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 141	The Spike Playing Field, South	Sawston
(1.49 Hectares)	Terrace	
·		

for LGS.

The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013. There was general support for all LGS in village.

2 representations were received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.

Sawston Church Institute made a representation (Rep 64906) who objected to the designate as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:

"Spike Field" is private land bequeathed over 100 years ago to Sawston Church/Institute Trustees of the Towgood Charities are responsible for field, hence it is partially fenced off, apart from a few residents cars near entrance. This is not a recreational area for public. From time to time the respondent also have to fund pruning of trees, hedges etc, from overgrowth. It is not their intention to permit the public access to this private area.

The Towgoods' Charities of St Mary the Virgin Sawston made a representation (no. 64915) and objected to the designation. Their comments are summarised as:

- 1. Designated land is Charity Land in Trust.
- 2. Trustees are bound to ensure this area of land is used as set out in the Indenture dated 1903.
- 3. Trustees have insufficient income to insure themselves for local community access.
- 4. As Trustees cannot accept any public liability they will have to fence it off if necessary.

The council responded in 2015:

The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016)** on page 63:

SC4C.xlv.

Would The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace, Sawston meet the designation criteria for LGS as it is land bequeathed to Sawston Church/Institute, and in terms of its

Site reference	Name of Site Parish			
NH/12 – 141	-	ying Field, South	Sawston	
(1.49 Hectares)	Terrace			
	southern open spart has variand there LGS. Du was much Parish Constitute not suitate public. It private a ownersh—however to the public sawston support a consider historica. 1990s the an earth local your is still us informal Recreation identified play spart.	ke Playing Field is an area of open space on the nedge of Sawston. It provides a pocket of green acce between urban uses in this part of the village. Alue for the local community for informal recreation refore the Council considers that it meets the test for uring the Issues and Options 2 consultation there can support for this LGS including support from the Council. However the Trustees of Sawston Church who are responsible for the field consider that it is able as a LGS and is not a recreational area for the it is not their intention to permit public access to this area. It should be noted that a LGS can be in private and does not have to be accessible to the public wer in this circumstance if access were to be denied sublic its value as a LGS would be diminished. In Parish Council has submitted further evidence to the designation of this LGS. (Appendix 4) The PC are that the area forms an important LGS and ally has been used as a playing field. During the neel and was leased to the PC for the construction of a BMX track in response to a request from a cuth group. Although this use has not lapsed the land sed for informal recreation, such as dog walking and a play by residents within the local area. In the ion and Open Spaces Study 2013, Sawston was do as having a shortfall of both sports provision and fine and this land makes a significant contribution informal play space.		
	this site s been rais the asse	ncil remains of the opinion should remain in the plan a sed through the landowner ssment carried out by the (nat circumstances have cha	ns no new issues have consultation that affect Council nor has it been	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Pastureland of character and amenity of pocket of green space be village. The site comprise the western edge of the village and adds to the set properties. It offers long of the village. • The site is attractive. The site of passis enclosed by trees and seem well maintained.	the village. Provides a stween urban parts of the es former playing fields at village, which enhances village, provides a soft ting of the residential views through the centre is only moderately stureland is featureless. It	
Does the site have a particular	Red	Reasons: No historical s	ignificance attached to	

SITE ASSESSMENTS

Site reference	Name of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 141		The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace Sawston			
(1.49 Hectares)	Terra				
local significance due to its: Historical significance			this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: Used informally as a playing field, informal recreation. However, it could not be described as having a particular local signific beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LG		lld not be al significance nt the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: Located beside residential proper industrial units and open countryside, the situation provides some feeling of remoteness and que contemplation, but not to the extent that wou warrant the enhanced level of protection proby LGS.		e, the site ss and quiet that would
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	have a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland are makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recommo	ended	d for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 141		Spike Playing Field, South Saws				
(1.49 Hectares)	Terra	ace				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: or	assed playing field			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particular part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partice part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA	Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment		
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No	

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 141 (1.49 Hectares)	Name of Site The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace			Parish Sawston	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Green			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 142 (1.88 Hectares)		Name of Site Mill Lane Recreation Ground Sawston		1	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	irea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Recreational ground.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			erenced with
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm The site is such has already a under the but the p within a R	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS152) with ent (in 2012): is the recreational ground in recreational value for the a PVAA. The respondent has control of the parish countrinciple is supported for LGPVAA and meets the test for each in 2013 were assessment i	aper for L in the Issu the counc for the villa local comi as stated to icil and no GS. The sit or LGS.	LGS) March ues and cil's age and as munity. It is that the land is t at any risk,
		The council's assessment in 2013 was: Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area, pavilion and children's play area. Part of the setting of the Conservation Area and provides a recreational use for the village and enhances the setting of the Conservation Area. Option G52 meets the test for LGS and was consulted on in 2013. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.			i's play area. Ind provides a the setting of
			he site was included as a Local Green Space in the roposed Submission Local Plan 2013.		

Site reference NH/12 – 142 (1.88 Hectares)		e of Site Lane Rec	reation Ground	Parish Sawston	
		A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The representation (no. 64877) was from Sawston Parish Council who fully support inclusion of the local green space.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Recreational grontribution to the characteristic village. The site does entrof the village, provides a form at the centre of the visetting of the residential priews through the centre moderately attractive.	eter and and and and and the standard and standard and standard and standard and and standard and and and and and and and and and an	nenity of the rural character the urban adds to the It offers long
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: The site is in the Conservation area and provides a setting for grade II listed building to the immediate north and east of the site and Challis Gardens – No. 11, (The Orchards), Mill Lane. However, it is not considered the land has 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		sted building he site and chards), Mill the land has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular le community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is a large vill and does provide some for quiet contemplation, but it would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	eeling of renot to the	emoteness and extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	ground is the primary village recreation area an is 'of particular local significance' and			e recreation tion area and ad nity,
Should the site be recommo	ended	l for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 143		ne of Site ennium Copse		Parish Sawston		
(0.65 Hectares)	Willie	Tillium Copse			•	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity A	Area; Village			Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Copse.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No - Nursery site removed from designation				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Strip of g number of millenniu biodivers to be end PVAA. To The cour Existing I included The site v proposed Represer Land Ow	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence providence) as identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site PVAA11) with ent (in 2012): Irreen space north of Tanner of young trees growing on the management of the local comparts of the site meets the local comparts of the site meets the test for Lincol's recommendation in 2 PVAA. As it meets the test in the local plan as LGS. If Submission Local Plan 2 Intations were received on the Consultation in 2014.	raper for L in the Issue the the counce ery Road. The ery	ies and cil's There are a colanted for creased e site appears y within a can be e in the a result of the as therefore	
		PPF mee	ed in appendix C - Schedu eting 10/03/2015 – summ ocal Green Space consu x D - Schedule of assess	ary of rep litation 20	resentations 14 but not in	

Site reference	Nan	ne of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 143	Mille	ennium Copse	Sawston
(0.65 Hectares)			
(0.65 Hectares)		10/03/2015. One representation (no. 64878) wa Council who fully support inclusion The other representation was from (no. 64882) who objected and prop Boundary. Their comments can be Part of this site is owned by the chabuilt on it back in 2000, so cannot be space. Other part of the site is own Council as marked on the attached has also attached the Land Registriclarification. The council responded in 2015: A representation was received from western section of this site indicatin been built on their land in 2000 and could not be designated as LGS. Tincorrectly extends across the nurs wooded area of the Copse. It is apply western boundary to this area. Recommendation: Amend the Mill LGS to exclude the nursery site. Servised Boundary. The site was specifically addressed SC4 paper Examination Statement pages 63-4. SC4C.xlvi. Should the parcel of land at Millent which has operated as a nursery faregistered charity since 2000 be extended the copse. It is apply the western section of this site indication in the council has since the Local Plandarch 2014 had the opportunity to this LGS. A representation was recommended to the designated as LGS. Tincorrectly extends across the nurse wooded area of the Copse. It is apply western boundary to this area. In the report to the South Cambridge western boundary to this area.	of the local green space. John Huntingdon Charity osed an amended summarised as: arity, but has a nursery per allocated as a green and by Sawston Parish of plans. The respondent by document for further of the designated area are per site, beyond the peropriate to amend the denium Copse, Sawston are Map 6 showing on the Council's Matter of t
		Holder on 10 March 2015 it was ag Millennium Copse, Sawston LGS to	exclude the nursery site

Landowners of LGS133.)

(Note to Inspector on the Targeted Consultation with

The Council has proposed an amendment to this site and therefore has met the objectors concerns.

Site reference	Name of	f Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 143	Millenni		opse	Sawston	
(0.65 Hectares)		Januari Copes			
(0.00 Ficetares)	Mc	ndificat	ion to the Policies Map:		
			ne boundary of LGS NH/12	2-143.	
		Sawston Parish Council has submitted further evidence support the designation of this LGS and confirm the nur facility should be excluded from the LGS. (Appendix 4) in noted that the PC in its statement has raised matters the had not previously been identified for additional land to included in the LGS. This land is identified in the plan as PVAA.			rm the nursery pendix 4) It is matters that al land to be
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		een	Reasons: Copse contribution and amenity of the village		he character
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		nber	Reasons: The site is in the Conservation area and provides the setting for several Grade II liste buildings including the Large Tannery Building (Drying Shed), the Former Engine House, (The Crust Loft) and Brooklands off the High Street. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar Gro	een	Reasons: It does have an informal recreation function 'of particular local significance' to the local community and therefore should be protected by LGS designation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Am	nber			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Re	ed	Reasons: Site does not be wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	een	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Copse makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It does have an informal recreation function and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protectio provided by LGS. [Note - this site was previously amended (in March 2015) to exclude land within the nursery.]		
Should the site be recommo	ended for	r desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 144 (0.41 Hectares)	Name of Site Parish Butlers Green Sawston			1		
Is the site already protected b	-	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside The site does not have Plane		T				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		s: Amenity area with trees	within resid	dential area		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It is Options assessmant Informal public ad Conserver recreation. The count Include in The site proposed in 2013, A representant Own addressed PPF meters of the Legister of th	was assessed in the Susta Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS151) with a lent (in 2013): grass area surrounded by cress from Mill Lane. Part of ation Area and provides a lent use for the village. Meets and in local plan as LGS. was included as a Local Grand Submission Local Plan 20 there was general support the entation was received on the local plan as LGS. entation was received on the local in appendix C - Schedule of assess x D - Schedule of assess	aper for L in the Issu the counce tall hedger of the settin tranquil are s the tests 013 was: reen Spac 013. During for all LGS his site as The site wa alle of asse ary of repo Itation 20	e in the g consultations in village. a result of the as therefore essment for resentations 14 and in		

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 144	Butlers Green	Sawston
(0.41 Hectares)	designation. Reason for 1. No evidences exist the has been a special site of specifically cherished site 2. There are no evidence 3. Council did not find and land should be designate 4. Site was sold by Countyears ago. 5. Any such a designation sympathetic and much in development of site. The council responded in	at John Falkner School playing field of historic importance or a te. es of shortage of green in this area. In this area. In the second of the
	meeting the tests for LG have been raised that as shown that circumstance remains of the opinion to remain in the plan. The site was specifically SC4 paper Examination pages 64-5. SC4C.xlvii. Would Butlers Green, S	is and therefore unless new issues iffect the assessment or it has been es have changed the Council that the site designation should addressed in the Council's Matter in Statement (November 2016) on awston meet the criteria for LGS its sustainable location for
	as LGS. Butlers Green in by tall hedgerow/trees, we forms part of the setting provides a tranquil area willage which the Counce During the Proposed Su	hat this LGS should remain the Plants an informal grass area surrounded with public access from Mill Lane. It of the conservation area and for informal recreation use for the ill considers meets the test for LGS. Ibmission consultation in the was general support for all the LGSs
	considering that the site the village and would ha development on the site Council took into account designations are not over no future space to grown	bjected to its designation is not a special one cherished by arm the potential for a sympathetic In assessing all the LGS the at the need to ensure that such erused so that a village ends up with The site was formerly the playing

fields of the adjoining former John Falkner Infants School. Planning permission was given in June 2013 (S/1783/12/FL)

to this adjoining site for nine dwellings. The design statement for this application describes the green as a landscaped area that will be retained as a communal area.

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish						
NH/12 – 144	Butlers Green	Sawston						
(0.41 Hectares)								
The election of the enhance on the man and mateins								

The design of the scheme creates, enhances and retains the distinctive character that relates to the local area and surrounding environment.

The Sawston Conservation Area Appraisal 2007 identifies the important contribution made by the green adjacent to The Baulks as open space. The conservation area was extended to include this area – these boundary changes were approved on 12 September 2007. The trees on the site make a major contribution to the character and appearance of the area and provide a setting to the adjoining listed buildings.

Sawston Parish Council has submitted further evidence to support the designation of this LGS. The PC states that the area is within the Conservation Area and forms an important feature in the street scene to the north of Mill Lane Recreation Ground (NH/12-142) contributing to the openness of this part of Mill Lane and providing with the recreation ground to the south, a clear green separation between the eastern and western section of Mill Lane. The Conservation Area was extended to include Butler's Green in 2007 at the time of the closure of the adjacent school in recognition of this area's importance in maintaining the rural aspect of this section of Mill Lane and its historical links to the Victorian buildings of the former school. These buildings are of considerable local historic significance, the external fabric of these buildings has been retained in the new housing development. The openness of this area is essential for retaining the setting of these preserved buildings and their visibility from Mill Lane. The PC also mentioned the contribution made by the well established trees on the site to the green environment of this part of Sawston.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

Inspectors' Interim Findings March 2017 LGS Site NH/12-144-Butlers Green, Sawston

The site is a grassed area which separates a number of the dwellings facing onto Mill Lane from the highway. Whilst it has some merit in open space terms, I would have expected that consideration might have been given for possible designation as a Protected Village Amenity Area (PVAA) in the context of Policy NH/11 of the Plan rather than a LGS.

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 - 144	Butlers Green		Sawston			
(0.41 Hectares)						
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Grassland area with trees contributed to the character and amenity of the village. The trees on the site make a major contribution to character and appearance of the area and provide a setting to the adjoining Listed Build However, it is not of 'particular local significations.		village. The ribution to the ea and sted Buildings.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: Formerly the playing fields of the adjoining former John Falkner Infants School.Within the conservation area and the Sawston Conservation Area Appraisal 2007identifies the important contribution made the green adjacent to The Baulks as open space Provides setting for a number of listed building However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage		ts a and the sal ution made by s open space. ed buildings. nd has a		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area with trees that could not be described as having a particul local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		ng a particular vhich would		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Reasons: It is a small gravillage's main arterial road any feeling of remoteness contemplation.	and does	s not provide		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	ave a dive	ersity of		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.				
Should the site be recommo	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 144 (0.42 Hectares)		e of Site ers Green		Parish Sawston		
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plan permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: A	menity area with trees wi	thin reside	ntial area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a r		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, allotment or playing fields			
		Reasons: Informal open space with trees.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland area surrounded by trees within a residential area.			es within a	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Grassland area surround area is located beside a			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential area.				
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 145		lame of Site			ı	
(2.58 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity A	Amenity				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm This is an Communithe local The resp of the pas supporte	ne site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS153) with the council's seessment (in 2012): This is an area of playing fields adjacent to Sawston Community College and as such has recreational value for the local community. They are already identified as PVAA. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk, but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS.			
		Submitte Grass rec recreation 2012. Me	ncil's assessment in 2013 of the description of the council and an accreational area and bowling and use for the village. Subsects the tests for LGS. Incil's recommendation in 2 GS.	nother. Exi g green. P omitted and	Provides a d assessed in	
		Proposed	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.	•		

Site reference	Name o		Parish			
NH/12 – 145	Spicers	s' Sport	s Field	Sawston		
(2.58 Hectares)						
Landadd PPF for sapp 10/0 The rep			A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The respondent was Sawston Parish Council and in their rep (no. 64879), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space.			
		mber	Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the north end of the village and adds to the setting of the residential properties. It offers long views through the centre of the village along the main streets going south through the centre of the village - Cambridge Road, Hillside and the High Street. The site is reasonably attractive due to its wide range of activities and spaces, symmetry and coordination of space, well maintained quality of the pitches and enclosure provided by a series of trees and hedgerows. However it lacks any distinctive characteristics to offer aesthetic appeal.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Re	ed	Reasons: No historical si this site.	gnificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar G	reen	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular le community.	•		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	AI AI	mber	Reasons: It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Re	ed	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	reen	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. It is 'of particul local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recomme	ended fo	or desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 146 (2.56 Hectares)	Name of Site Lynton Way Recreation Ground			Parish Sawston		
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	nity Area;	Amenity Are				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site doe	s not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character a not an extensive tract of lan	Olocii	site is no	ted to the village ot made up of a v aracter areas.			
	Reason	ns: Recrea	tional ground.			
Is there a smaller element with the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reason	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green		Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. It Options assessi These a such of are alre that the not at a site is a The cor Submit Grass r housing village. LGS. The cor Include The site Propos	A Append was identi 2 Part 2 (s ment (in 20 are playing fer recreati ady identifi land is und ny risk, but lready with uncil's asset ded by Paris ecreational y on three s Submitted uncil recom in local pla	fields within the onal value to the ied as PVAA. The der the control of the principle is sin a PVAA and not essment in 2013 value of the council and a larea with childresides. Provides a land assessed in mendation in 20 an as LGS. ded as a Local Goion Local Plan 2	paper for L in the Issu in the counc village of S local comi e responde the parish supported in neets the to was: nother. Exi en's play a recreation 2012. Med 13 was:	LGS) March les and cil's Sawston and as munity. They ent has stated council and for LGS. The est for LGS. isting PVAA. rea, with hal use for the ets the tests for	

Site reference NH/12 – 146 (2.56 Hectares)		Recreation Ground	Parish Sawston			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty Amber		Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site enhances the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge and adds to the setting of the residential properties. The site is moderately attractive with 2 football pitches and enclosure provided by trees around the perimeter but lacks any particularly distinctive aesthetic merits.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1.00	Reasons: No historical significance attached to this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amber		Reasons: It is a village recreational ground that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		s and quiet that would		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided LGS.				
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes					

Site reference NH/12 – 147a (2.52 Hectares)		rchard Park Sa			
Protected Village Ame	enity Area	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village Amenity Area			
Important Countryside	Frontag	ge.			7
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing G	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
	R	easons	: Parkland.		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	ea	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			renced with
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to G	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	G	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS155 / PVAA10) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This is an extensive area of green space within Sawston and is adjacent to a large allotment area. The whole site is already a PVAA. It provides a variety of uses for the local community- a large informal open space with play equipment. There are some trees scattered over the site which will add to the richness of the wildlife. Given its size it can offer areas of tranquillity. The respondent has stated that the land is under the control of the parish council and not at any risk but the principle is supported for LGS. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. The council's assessment in 2013 was: Submitted by Parish Council and another. Existing PVAA. Grass recreational area with children's play area, with housing on three sides. Provides a recreational use for the village. Submitted and assessed in 2012. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was:			in Sawston whole site is for the local play which will add can offer ed that the land not at any risk, e is already isting PVAA. rea, with al use for the

Site reference	Name of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 147a	Orchard	d Park		Sawston	
(2.52 Hectares)					
	Pr we A La ac Pr fo ap	roposed ere reco represe and Ow ddresse PF mee or the L	was included as a Local Grand Submission Local Plan 20 eived on this site. The entation was received on the entation was received on the entation in 2014. The dring 10/03/2015 — summation ocal Green Space consults D - Schedule of assessing 15.	onis site as The site was Ile of asse ary of repi	a result of the as therefore essment for resentations
	re gr tru	The respondent was John Huntingdon Charity and in the rep (no. 64883), they fully supported inclusion of the loc green space. Decision to support this was taken at a trustees meeting on17/11/14. The results of vote for allocating it as a local green space was 7 for and 1 again			
	Si	In July 2017, South Cambs District Council officers split up Site 147 into two parts - 147a Orchard Park and 147b Orchard Park allotments.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar Gi	reen	Reasons: Parkland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar Ai	mber	Reasons: The site is outside the village Conservation area but does provide a setting for it. It provides a setting for a grade II listed dwelling - Brooklands (163 High Street) and the grade II listed tannery building and former Engine House which all lie 50m distance away.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar Gi	reen	Reasons: Parkland provi recreation function of loca the enhanced level of pro	al significa	nce warranting
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Gi	reen	Reasons: Located beside and allotments, the site p remoteness and quiet cor	rovides so	me feeling of
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Re	ed	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	reen	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended fo	r desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 147b (1.33 Hectares)		of Site ard Park	allotments	Parish Sawston	ı	
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity Ar	Amenity Are				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	,	Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Allotments.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	;	Site 147 i	017, South Cambs District into two parts - 147a Orcha Park allotments.			
	1	For information about site considerations through plan making for site 147b, please refer to that information for sit 147a (above) when it was part of the combined site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar ,	Amber				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical si this site other than the all established.			

Site reference NH/12 – 147b (1.33 Hectares)	Name of Site Orchard Park	allotments	Parish Sawston	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have a providing an allotment are be described as a particular beyond that role which we enhanced level of protects.	ea. Howev lar local si ould warra	er, it could not gnificance nt the
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Ambe		Reasons: Centrally located besdie the village's main arterial road, the allotments do not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site comprises an area of allotments. It makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village and has a recreation function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 147b (1.33 Hectares)		e of Site nard Park a	llotments	Parish Sawston	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork/
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have permission for new development which we change this		
		Details: A	llotments		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playing fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Centrally located allotme	nt area.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Centrally located allotment area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: road.	Reasons: Centrally located allotment area beside a main oad.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential location.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 148 (0.56 Hectares)		e of Site Grove		Parish Sawston	1	
Is the site already protected b	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside The cite does not have Plane						
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	J	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons area	: Amenity area and play a	rea within	residential	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte children's recreation The cour Include in	ne site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal nnex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March D14. It was identified as an option in the Issues and ptions 2 Part 2 (site LGS156) with the council's seessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Grass recreational area with nildren's play area, surrounded by housing. Provides a ecreational use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: clude in local plan as LGS.			
		Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.				
	A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The respondent was Sawston Parish Council and in their					

Site reference NH/12 – 148	Name of Site Deal Grove		Parish Sawston		
(0.56 Hectares)	Deal Grove		Sawston		
Does the site have a particular	green sp	rep (no. 64880), they fully supported inclusion of the local green space. Amber Reasons: Grassland area and play area makes			
local significance due to its: Beauty	7411361	some contribution to the of the village. However, it is significance'.	character a	and amenity of	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical si this site.	gnificance	attached to	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It is a grassland area with play equipment that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		ped as having and that role	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a grassland equipment in a residential some feeling of remotene contemplation, but not to warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	l area that ss and qu the extent	provides iet that would	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not h wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is grassland with a play area. It contributes to the character and amenity of the village. It has a recreational function and provides some feeling remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No					

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 148 (0.57 Hectares)		e of Site Grove		Parish Sawston	1	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green		Site is undeveloped, and does not have planni permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: A	menity area and play are	a within re	sidential area.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a rarea, allotment or playir	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity		
Is the undeveloped nature of this			area, another or playing helds			
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Informal open space with	n play area	l	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		Green	Details: Uncertainty as site in the village or to the village.	•		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Informal open space.			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of.	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Informal open space with play area is located within a residential area.				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Important amenity space within a residential location.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for desig	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 149 (0.89 Hectares)		e of Site som Strip	, Craft Way	Parish Steeple	Morden
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is however made up uses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Wooded area and open	pasturelan	nd.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) This site therefore well-esta This sect Conserva to the site Craft Wa the west. The cons The cour Include ir The site v Proposed were reco	Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site G54) with the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area of trees at the considered as a blished area. There appears as a considered building a site does meet test for LG considered as a Local Good Submission Local Plan 2 considered as a Local G	aper for L in the Issue council's council's llage frame a PVAA. It e western o Steeple M not to be p are residen overlooks SS. pport. 013 was: reen Spac 013, no re	es and assessment ework and is a field with end of the site. Morden oublic access atial houses in a the site to e in the presentations a result of the as therefore

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 – 149	Ransom Strip, Craft Way	Steeple Morden
0.89 Hectares)		
	for the Local Green Spa	5 – summary of representations ice consultation 2014 and in of assessment for PPF meeting
	objected to the LGS desi the boundary. The reaso Do not designate as LGS Reasons for objection: 1. As privately owned lar provide no benefit to pub access to it. 2. Village needs affordab already adjacent to other opportunity exists to exte site. 3. If left undeveloped site fly tippers and other unat 4. Designation of this site	part of site owned by respondent d designating site as LGS will lic as they will have no legal le housing and as this site is local housing in Craft Way an idea and services and amenities to this will be at risk of being used by athorised access. as LGS will not meet objectives or owned thereby barring the local
	meeting the tests for LGS have been raised that aft shown that circumstance	2015: assessed by the Council as and therefore unless new issues ect the assessment or it has been a have changed the Council at the site designation should
	SC4 paper Examination pages 65-6. SC4C.xlviii. Would the Ransom Strip	addressed in the Council's Matter Statement (November 2016) on Craft Way, Steeple Morden meet nation as it is in private ownership IH/12-149).
	consideration as LGS du consultation in the summ well established trees at area is a Grade II listed b	council submitted the site for ring the Issues and Options er of 2012. The site is a field with the western end. Overlooking the uilding and residential housing in ssessed the site as meeting the
	public will not be able to private ownership and in not meet the criteria for L	s objected to its designation as the gain access to the site as it is in the owners opinion the site does GS. The landowner considers tha able housing in the village and this

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 149	Ransom Strip	o, Craft Way	Steeple Morden		
(0.89 Hectares)		·	-		
s T tt t		site provides an opportunity to deliver such development. The Council when assessing sites for LGS took into account the need to ensure that LGS designations are not over used so that a village ends up with no future space for growth. Through the plan making process, sufficient land has been allocated to meet the district's housing requirements. The PC has reassessed the site and consider that it does not meet the criteria for LGS as it is in private ownership.(Appendix 4) It should be noted that LGS can be in private ownership and there does not need to be public access for a site to be valued by the local community. The site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS. The Council does not consider on balance that the removal of this LGS would be necessary for the plan to be found sound.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution amenity of the village. Ho 'particular local significan	to the character and owever, it is not of		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The west half Conservation area and prograde II listed buildings (3 (Two Trees) and 42 and Street). However, it is not a 'particular local signification.	rovides a setting for 3 38 (Victoria House), 40 country shop (Hay t considered the land has		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Red Red	Reasons: The land has reformal rights of way acrossite.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: Located beside private garden area and warrowides some feeling of contemplation, but not to warrant the enhanced level by LGS.	wooded area, the site remoteness and quiet the extent that would		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a diversity of		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area and pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village, has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.			
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace? No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 149	Rans	som Strip,	Craft Way	Steeple I	Morden		
(0.89 Hectares)							
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of develo	pment frar	nework.		
Is the site undeveloped?							
		Details: W	ooded area and open pa	stureland			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:				
Criteria tests for PVAA	Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function							
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:					
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this partic part of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:					
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.					
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	d for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 149 (0.89 Hectares)		ne of Site som Strip, Craft Way		Parish Steeple Morden	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?	•				
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of		Red	Details: Site is at a distance from any parts of the development framework.		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: No discernable views.			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Red	Details: No suitable frontage along the site to protect views or land does not merit protection.		
		Reasons: The land must be capable of having a frontage along which views are available out into the open countryside. This frontage means that a link is retained between the village and its rural origins and surroundings. If the land were to be developed the open rural views from the identified frontage would be lost. The frontage protects the views and retains a particular rural character for the village.			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?)	Red Reasons: There is no suitable frontage to protect the site.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 150 (3.62 Hectares)	Name of Site Recreation Ground, Hay Street Parish Steeple More			Morden		
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	Frontage				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is however made up uses/character areas.			
			: Recreational ground incloarking area).	uding play	area, bowls	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: Yes - Recreation ground minus the formal play area, bowls club & parking area.				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marce 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G55) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This site is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. The site is local recreation ground consisting of grass playing field with trees around the edge. It has recreational value for local community. Two listed properties on the east side Hay Street overlook the site. Site meets test for only LGT The consultation in 2013 drew 1 support. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site.			ies and assessment ework and The site is the aying fields of value for the east side of for only LGS.	
	A representation was received on the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. addressed in appendix C - Schedu			. The site was therefore		

Site reference	Name of Sit	е	Parish		
NH/12 – 150	Recreation	Ground, Hay Street	Steeple I	Morden	
(3.62 Hectares)					
	e Local Green Space consu dix D - Schedule of assess 2015. spondent was Steeple Morde	oondent was Steeple Morden Parish Council and in (no. 64860), they fully supported inclusion of the			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	Ar Ambe	contribution to the characteristic village. The site is modern grassy site that is divided separating a football pitch cricket pitch, bowling greater on the other. Enclose	Reasons: Recreational ground makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. The site is moderately attractive. It is a grassy site that is divided by a line of trees separating a football pitch on one side and a cricket pitch, bowling green and children's play area on the other. Enclosure is provided by mature trees and hedgerows around the perimeter of the site.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Green	and provides a setting for	Reasons: The site is in the Conservation area and provides a setting for 3 grade II listed buildings (38 (Victoria House) and 42 and country shop (Hay Street).		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green		Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Ambe	•			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommo	ended for de	signation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 151 (3.73 Hectares)		Name of Site Parish The Cowslip Meadow Steeple			Morden	
Is the site already protected bProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A					
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is however made up uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Open pastureland and w	ooded are	a.	
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	hin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to recreational facilities			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It w Options 2 (in 2012). This site therefore the west Its name biodivers important The cons The coun Include in The site w Proposed were rece A represe Land Ow addresse PPF mee	was assessed in the Sustan Appendix 5 (Evidence provided as an option 2 Part 2 (site G56) with the incidence of the visual process of the recreation ground with the incidence of the recreation ground with the incidence of the community. Site of the community of	aper for L in the Issu council's llage frame a PVAA. It which is rou on in so we at cowslip meets test pport. 013 was: reen Spac 013, no re his site as The site wa ary of rep	ework and is a field to agh grassland. Ould have time. Of local for only LGS. e in the presentations a result of the as therefore resentations	

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 - 151		Cowslip N	/leadow	Steeple I	Morden	
(3.73 Hectares)				опосрас .		
		10/03/20 The resp their rep local gree	x D - Schedule of assessing to the condent was Steeple Morder (no. 64861), they fully supper space. was not specifically addres C4 paper Examination St	en Parish Coorted inclused in the	Council and in usion of the Council's	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green		Reasons: Pastureland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: Open pastureland does have an informal recreation function however, it could not be described as a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Green	Reasons: Located on the western periphery of the village, the area's rural character provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		er provides a	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not he wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Pastureland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area. It has an informal recreation role and provides a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. It is 'of particular local significance and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 152 (1.45 Hectares)		e of Site e Ponds \	Wood	Parish Steeple	Morden	
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	·				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ning	Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character		Red	Site is not connected to the	he village.		
not an extensive tract of lar	na	Reasons	: Wooded area			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Red				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?						
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 (in 2012) This woo Public for accessib framewor Meadow. location of The cons The cour Include in	diland is in the ownership was to the local community. It west of the Recreation Control of the site has wildlife interest outside of the village. Site is sultation in 2013 drew 1 suncil's recommendation in 2011 drew 1 dr	aper for L in the Issu- council's with the Wad to the wad Beyond viil Ground and est offering meets test pport. 013 was:	ies and assessment ass	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar		Reasons:			

Site reference NH/12 – 152	Name of Site White Ponds Wood		Parish Steeple M	Morden
(1.45 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ır	Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ır	Reasons:		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Not connected to the village		
Should the site be recommo	pace?	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 152 (1.45 Hectares)		Name of Site Parish White Ponds Wood Steeple Mor				
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.			
Is the site undeveloped?						
		Details: W	looded area.			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		No	Details:			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of						
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	,		Details:			
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outsid framework.	e of develo	ppment	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 152 (1.45 Hectares)		ne of Site te Ponds Wood		Parish Steeple Morden	
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF					
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of			Details:		
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9			Land is not adjacent to pment framework.	
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 153		e of Site en Town \	Vood	Parish Steeple I	Morden		
(3 Hectares)							
Is the site already protected b	y an e	xisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	enity A	rea;					
Important Countryside	Front	age.					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character		Red	Site is not connected to the	ne village.			
not an extensive tract of lar	nd -	Reasons	: Wooded area				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons	:: No				
Is the site in close proximity	to	Red					
the community it serves? Was the site submitted for							
consideration by the Parish							
Council? How was the site considered		The site v	was assessed in the Susta	ainahility /	Δnnraisal		
through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site PC22) with the council's assessment (in 2012): This wood is in the ownership with the Woodland Trust as is located to the north of the village well outside village framework. There are no public footpaths from the village and it is not in the Council's judgement reasonably close the community it serves. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS.					
		There was objection during the 2013 consultation which was: Steeple Morden Parish Council objects to rejection of Tween Town Wood as a LGS. Strongly believe that woo should be designated because 1. Village contributed to purchase of woodland along with Guilden Morden. 2. Name means between towns so not surprising it is not near village. Well used by village community. 3. Site owned by Woodland Trust and other wood has be included as LGS. This woodland is well used by the local community as a recreation space for informal use. It is woodland that was planted by the local community to celebrate the millennium. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was:					

Site reference	Nam	e of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 153	Twee	en Town \	Vood	Steeple Morden		
(3 Hectares)						
		Include in	n local plan as LGS.			
		Proposed	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons:				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar		Reasons:			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar		Reasons:			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Red	Reasons: Not connected	d to the village		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No						

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference	Nam	ne of Site Parish					
NH/12 – 153 (3 Hectares)	Twe	en Town W	ood	Steeple I	Morden		
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework				
Is the site undeveloped?							
		Details: W	ooded area.				
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:				
Criteria tests for PVAA							
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of							
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:					
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:				
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:					
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:				
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar		Reasons:					
where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?							
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red	Reasons: Site is outside framework.	e of develo	ppment		
Should the site be recommended Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No		

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES

Site reference NH/12 – 153 (3 Hectares)		ne of Site en Town Wood		Parish Steeple Morden	
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green			
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red			
Criteria tests for ICF			•		
Criteria Test 1 for ICF		Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function	Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of				
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ar	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		Reasons: Land is not adjacent to the development framework.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?					

Site reference NH/12 – 154 (0.62 Hectares)	Name o			Parish Thriplow			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Area	Amenity A					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing G i	reen	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		reen	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
	Re	easons	: Village green				
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
	Re	Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to G	reen	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		reen	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Ai 20 Op as Su W of pr Th In	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) No 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS160) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grass with mature trees, seating, bus shelter and the village Within the Conservation Area and forms part of the of Listed Buildings. Enhances character of village and provides a tranquil area. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation in 2013, no representation in 2013, no representation in 2013.			GS) March les and cil's Grass area e village sign. of the setting lage and GS. e in the		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar G	reen	Reasons: Village green of character and amenity of				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar G	reen	Reasons: The site is in the area. There is a grade II I the Green. The site provious memorial and 2 grade II I The Green and No 11, (No. 1).	isted Smit des a setti isted dwell	hy building on ng for a war lings (No.15		

Site reference NH/12 – 154 (0.62 Hectares)	Name of Site Village Green		Parish Thriplow	,
		Green.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Red	Reasons: It is a small are support only a limited information.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the community. Site is in the conservation area and is the location of a grade II listed smithy and contributes to the setting of other listed buildings. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 155 (1.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Cricket Pitch		Parish Thriplow	1		
	nity Area;	Amenity Are				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	Reasons	s: Recreational ground				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	, , ,			h 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 (in 2013): Grass area s from		
	Propose were rec	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.	•			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	T Amber	Reasons: Recreational grounds contribution to the characteristic village. The site enhance the village, provides a sort of the village and adds to residential properties next Road. The site is moderated grassy site that is well may and huts and is fully enclosed.	eter and and and and steel the rural of the setting at the setting	nenity of the character of the west side g of the owlmere tive. It is a with seating ature trees		

Site reference NH/12 – 155 (1.13 Hectares)	Name of Site Cricket Pitch		Parish Thriplow	,
(11.0 1.000.00)		However it does not have distinctively aesthetically	•	•
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the However, it is not consider 'particular local significant'	ered the la	nd has a
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: It is a cricket pitch that is 'of particular local significance' to the community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness are quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LO	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The cricket pitch is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommo	ended for desig	⊥ gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 156	Name of Site Recreation G	ame of Site Parish ecreation Ground Thriplow				
(0.27 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected bProtected Village Ame		existing designation in the adopted LDF? Area; Protected Village				
Important Countryside	Frontage.			Amenity Area		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ng Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olocii		Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
	Reasons	s: Grassland area with tree	s within re	sidential area		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pai	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Ann A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS162) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grass area surrounded by hedgerows, with public access from Fowlmere Road. Provides a recreational use for the village Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.			h 2014. It was ons 2 Part 2 (in 2013): Grass area s from		
	Propose were rec	was included as a Local G d Submission Local Plan 2 eived on this site.	•			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	r Amber	Reasons: Grassland are contribution to the characteristic village. The site enhance the village, provides a sort of the village and adds to residential properties sure Sheraldscroft Lane and F as 2 grade II listed dwelling moderately attractive. It is fully enclosed by mature	eter and and and a the rural of the setting to the setting rounding it fowlmere from the settings. The sets a grassy	nenity of the character of the west side g of the con Road as well ite is site that is		

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 156	Recreation G	round	Thriplow	
(0.27 Hectares)		,		
		around the perimeter of the children's play equipment distinctive, aesthetically p	t but there	are no
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	1100	Reasons: No historical s this site	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular I community.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a small gravillage's main arterial roashrubs providing some fequiet contemplation, but a would warrant the enhance provided by LGS.	d shielded eling of rei not to the e	by trees and moteness and extent that
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qual suitable for designation a ground is the primary villa is 'of particular local signi demonstrably special to t warranting the high level LGS.	s LGS. The age recreat ficance' an he commu	e recreation tion area and id nity,
Should the site be recommo	ended for desi		pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 157 (0.09 Hectares)		e of Site Spinney		Parish Thriplow	1	
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	Amenity Area				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Wooded area.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS168) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Wooded are within the Conservation Area and protected by Tree Preservation Order. Enhances character of village and mathave biodiversity value. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.			Jes and selection in the circular in the circu	
		One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. It was individual respondent (Rep no. 64855) who objected			e was ule of summary of consultation essment for	

Site reference	Name of Site	Parish
NH/12 - 157	The Spinney	Thriplow
(0.09 Hectares)		
	as: Idea for green space sit to cease mowing and tid wants to stop land being is not used by members	G

shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 66.

SC4C.xlix.

Would the Spinney, Thriplow meet the designation criteria for a LGS as it could prejudice access to Pegs Close? (NH/12-157).

The Council considers that this site should remain in the Plan as LGS. This site was submitted by Thriplow Parish Council. It is an existing PVAA which enhances the character of this part of the village. It leads to an open field, known as Pegs Close which is to the south and is within the Green Belt. - this site was proposed too by the Parish Council but was not designated as LGS as the Council has not duplicated protection if a site is already within the Green Belt. The Council assessed the Spinney site and considered that it meets the test for LGS.

An objection was received by the owner of the land who considered that by being identified as LGS the track through the Spinney that leads to Pegs Close would be forced to be shut off thereby no longer providing an access to the field. LGS designation does not change the access arrangements to a piece of land nor does it alter the management arrangements for the land. LGS would protect the land from development. The designation of this site as a LGS would not prejudice access to the adjoining area.

The Council remains of the opinion that the designation of this site should remain in the plan as no new issues have been raised through the landowner consultation that affect the assessment carried out by the Council nor has it been shown that circumstances have changed.

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 157	The Spinney		Thriplow	1
(0.09 Hectares)				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Amber Amber	Reasons: Wooded area to the character and ame However, it is not of 'parti	nity of the	village.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Nou	Reasons: No historical s this site.	ignificance	attached to
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland described as having a particular beyond that role which we enhanced level of protects	rticular loc ould warra	al significance nt the
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland area that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provide by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not I wildlife other than what m		•
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling suitable for designation a and pastureland makes sucharacter and amenity of informal recreation role a of remoteness and quiet it does not warrant the high provided by LGS, given the criteria in the NPPF.	s LGS. Wo come contri the village nd provide contemplat gh level of	ooded area ibution to the , has an s some feeling tion. However, protection
Should the site be recommo	ended for desi		pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 157 (0.09 Hectares)		e of Site Spinney		Parish Thriplow	,	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and permission for new development of the change this			
		Details: W	looded area			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previousled PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: If the site is a re		area / amenity	
			area, allotment or playing fields			
		Reasons: Wooded area				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is importar	nt to village	e character.	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village.			ution to the	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	of	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Wooded area is located beside a main ro		nain road.		
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Wooded area character and amenity of			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 158 (0.34 Hectares)		Name of Site Open Land, Church Street Parish Thriplow			1
Is the site already protected be Protected Village Ame	•	existing designation in the adopted LDF? Protected Village			
Important Countryside	•				Amenity Area
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
			: Open grassland makes so acter of the village and that		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	thin	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte area with setting of Farmhou The cour Include ir The site v Proposed were rece One repr the Land therefore assessm	Appendix 5 (Evidence powas identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS169) with ent (in 2013): If the Conservation Area as Listed Buildings, including se. Meets the tests for LG in local plan as LGS. If Submission Local Plan 2 in evidence on this site. It is esentation was received on addressed in appendix Content for PPF meeting 10/0 intations for the Local Green.	aper for L in the Issu the counc ing PVAA. and forms G Grade II's S. 013 was: reen Spac 013, no re in this site 14. The sit C - Schedu 03/2015 -	e in the presentations as a result of e was ule of summary of

Site reference	Name of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 158	Open Land, C	hurch Street	Thriplow			
(0.34 Hectares)	_					
	The repr who objections comment We own access to a vehicu Local Gr	eting 10/03/2015. esentation (rep no. 64886) ected and proposed amend its are summarised as: 3.5 strip on southern edge to the land we own to the selar access track which is referent Space Site should have the that strip.	ding the boundary. Their of site which is only outh east of the site. It is egularly used, so the			
	This site meeting have be shown the remains	ncil responded in 2015: was previously assessed the tests for LGS and there as raised that affect the as the circumstances have choud the opinion that the site in the plan.	efore unless new issues sessment or it has been anged the Council			
	The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matt SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) of page 66-7. SC4C.I. Should consideration be given to exclusion of the 3.5m s of land on the southern edge of the LGS designation relating to Open Land, Church Street, Thriplow as it provides the only means of access to land to the souther and is in regular use by the owners who may require to resurface the land in order to maintain the access route? (NH/12-158)					
	The Council considers that this site should remain in the Plan as LGS. This site was submitted by Thriplow Parish Council for consideration as a LGS. It is an existing PVA and forms part of the setting of adjoining listed buildings including the Grade II* Manor Farmhouse. The Council considers that the site meets the test for LGS.					
	site use south ea boundar Council amende from cor	The owners of a strip of land on the southern edge of the site use this as vehicular access to land they own to the south east of the site. They have proposed that the boundary of the LGS be amended to remove their land. The Council does not consider that the boundary needs to be amended as LGS designation would not prevent the owner from continuing to use their land for access but would prevent the whole site from being developed.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty			ikes some contribution to ty of the village.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in tand provide a setting for Farmhouse on Church S	the grade II listed Manor			

Site reference NH/12 – 158	Name of Site Open Land, (Church Street	Parish Thriplow	1
(0.34 Hectares)				
		considered the land has a significance due to its he	•	r local
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar Red	Reasons: The land has r	no recreati	onal value.
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Amber	Reasons: The open land looking out onto open fields provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		ess and quiet that would
land laterated and the second and th		Reasons: Site does not I wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. Grassland makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and conservation area. It provides a feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		assland tracter and tion area. It nd quiet t warrant the LGS, given
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 158 (0.34 Hectares)		ne of Site n Land, Ch	urch Street	Parish Thriplow	1	
Is the site within the developed framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	Site is within development framework		
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have planning permission for new development which would change this			
			pen grassland makes sig ter of the village and that			
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?		Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a	
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a respective		area / amenity	
Is the undeveloped nature of			area, allotment or playir	ig fields		
land important to the function the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Informal Open Space				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is important to village character.			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Grassland contributes amenity of the village. Forms part Listed Buildings opposite the site.		of the setting of three		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA	o f	Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity		
Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons: Grassland area is located beside a main road.			main road.	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of three Listed buildings.			
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 159		e of Site er House	Woodland Area	Parish Thriplow	1	
(0.63 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar				Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Wooded area.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?		each part	yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of ch part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with ginal site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	The site was assessed in the Sustainability App Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues a Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS170) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Woo within the Conservation Area and forms part of the Listed Buildings. Enhances character of village ar have biodiversity value. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in			.GS) March les and cil's Wooded area of the setting of lee and may GS.		
		Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represer were received on this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. However, it is not of 'particular local significance'			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the and provides a setting for dwellings at 26 and 30 Lois not considered the land significance due to its he	the grade ower Stree d has a 'pa	e II listed t. However, it	

Site reference NH/12 – 159 (0.63 Hectares)	Name of Site Dower House Woodland Area Parish Thriple			,
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Amber		Reasons: It is a woodland area that could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: It is a woodland area that provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection proviby LGS.		iet that would
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has an informal recreation role and provides some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 159 (0.63 Hectares)		ne of Site ver House V	Parish Thriplow	,	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this		
		Details: W	Vooded area		
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.	ly been de	signated as a
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
		Reasons: Wooded area			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is importar	nt to village	e character.
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons: Wooded area makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. Site forms part of the setting of Dower House which is a Grade II Listed Building			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA		Amber	Details: Uncertain of tra	anquillity	
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Wooded area is located beside a main ro			nain road.
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Wooded area contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the setting of Dower House, a Grade II Listed buildings		
Should the site be recommon Amenity Area?	ende	d for desig	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 160	Name of Site	e nt 6 High Street	Parish Toft			
(0.03 Hectares)						
 Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside 	enity Area;	designation in the adopted	LDF?	Protected Village Amenity Area		
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing Green	Site does not have plan	ning permis	ssion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a uses/character areas.				
	Reasor village.	ns: Central village green ar	ea at the h	eart of the		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. If Options (in 201) Area of Combe a tree. framew test for suppor The co Include	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Marc 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G58) with the council's assessment (in 2012): Area of land at junction of High Street with Mill Lane and Comberton Road forming a small green with seating area a tree. Accessible to the public. Site is within the village framework and could be considered as a PVAA. Site metest for PVAA and LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew a supports. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Village Greer village. Contributes to vi amenity				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Green					

	Name of Site Land adjacen	t 6 High Street	Parish Toft	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Green		Reasons: Although its size limits recreation uses, it does perform as the village green, for various village activities		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	cal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and is of historic value to the community. Site is in the conservation area and is the location of a grade II listed village pump. As the village green it performs and important recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	nded for design	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 161 (0.17 Hectares)	Name of Site Parish Recreation Ground Toft					
Is the site already protected b	y an ex	kisting de	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•					
Important Countryside		ige.				
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character and an extensive tract of lan		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons	: Recreational ground & p	lay area.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	F	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	(Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site G59) with the council's assessment (in 2012): The site is outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is an area of grassland, the recreation ground for Toft and therefore has a recreational value for the village. Site meets test for only LGS. The consultation in 2013 drew 2 supports. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 - summary of			e in the presentations as a result of e was ule of	

Site reference	Nam	e of Site			Parish	
NH/12 - 161		reation Gr	ound		Toft	
(0.17 Hectares)						
		PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The representation was from Toft Parish Council (Rep no. 64908) who objected and proposed an amended boundary, Their comments are summarised as: Support inclusion of Recreation Ground as Local Green Space however the Community Land is not shown on map, and this should also be included in Local Plan as a LGS. The council's response in 2015 was: Extension of LGS in village not previously submitted. New sites cannot be considered as part of this consultation. The site was specifically addressed in the Council's Matter SC4 paper Examination Statement (November 2016) on page 67: SC4C.li. Should the boundary of the Toft Recreation Ground LGS designation be amended so as to include the area of community land adjacent to the Recreation Ground, or alternatively, be designated separately as a LGS? (NH/12-161).				
		Toft Recreation Ground was included in the Submission Local Plan as a proposed LGS and is owned by the Pari Council. During the targeted consultation with land owned of proposed LGS the Parish Council was asked for their views on the designation of their land. They supported it suggested that an additional nearby area of Community Land in Toft be included as LGS in the Local Plan. The opportunity to submit new areas for LGS to the Council that assessment was during the earlier consultations on the Local Plan and therefore the Council did not consider the new separate area of green space. If such a site had be assessed there would not have been a chance for others comment on its inclusion in the plan and it had been madelear that the targeted consultation was only to consider existing proposed sites.			is owned by the Parish tation with land owners if was asked for their and. They supported it but warea of Community the Local Plan. The LGS to the Council for consultations on the fill did not consider this If such a site had been an a chance for others to a and it had been made was only to consider	
		The Council does not consider that an additional site shoul be added or that the existing LGS can be amended as the two areas do not appear to have shared boundaries.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	character of the village the west side of the volume of the residential propand on the High Streattractive. It is a grassides by mature trees	ution e. The ge, pr village pertie et. The sy si	to the character and e site enhances the rural rovides a soft edge at e and adds to the setting es to the south of the site	

Site reference NH/12 – 161	Name of Site Recreation G	round	Parish Toft	
(0.17 Hectares)				
		equipment but there are a aesthetically pleasing fea		ve,
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Reasons: Site is within the conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: It does have a recreation function, providing a formal pitch area and a play area.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Amber		Reasons: It is a large village recreational ground and does provide some feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation. However it does not provide a demonstrable feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desi	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 162 (0.01 Hectares)	Small	e of Site I green a of G58	rea immediately to	Parish Toft		
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside	enity Ar	ea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		Reasons village.	: Central village green are	a at the he	eart of the	
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons	isons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		he site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS171) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Small area of land at junction of High Street with Mill Lane and Comberton Road forming a small green. Accessible to the public. Site is within the village framework. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS.				
		The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Village Green area at the heart of the village. Contributes to village character and amenity			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: Vilsually part of within the conservation at considered the land has a significance' due to its he	rea. Howe a 'particula	ver, it is not	

NH/12 – 162	Name of Site Small green area immediately to west of G58 Parish Toft			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Green	Reasons: Compliments the village green, but smaller area.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located amenity area is a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	cal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green contributes to the character and amenity of the village and the conservation area. Complimenting the village green it performs and important recreation function. It is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes				

	lame of Site		Parish Toft		
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeniImportant Countryside F	ity Area;	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
The site does not have Planning Permission for an alternative use?	g Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character ar	i ve u	Site is not connected to the	he village.		
not an extensive tract of land	Reasons	s: Allotment.			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
	Reasons	s: No			
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?	Red				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?					
How was the site considered through the plan making process? Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	Annex A 2014. It v Options 2 assessm Submitte provide a LGS. The cour Include in The site Proposed were reco One reprithe Land therefore assessm represer 2014 but for PPF The repre	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS172) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Allotments to north of Toft provide a recreational use for the village. Meets the tests LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representation were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary or representations for the Local Green Space consultating 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The representation (no. 64909) was from Toft Parish Council who support the designation. Reasons:			

Site reference NH/12 – 163 (0.37 Hectares)	Name of Site Allotments		Parish Toft	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	r	Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ır	Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r	Reasons:		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r	Reasons:		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular locommunity and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Not connected	to the villa	age
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference		e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 163	Allo	ments		Toft	
(0.37 Hectares)					
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: A	llotment		
Was the site designated in th LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function					
the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA			Details:		
Does the undeveloped nature this land provide a tranquil ar where there is a minimum of activity, important to the ame of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons:			
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as PVAA?		Red	Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.		
Should the site be recomme Amenity Area?	ended	l for desigr	nation as Protected Villa	ige	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference	Nam	me of Site		Parish		
NH/12 – 163	Allo	tments		Toft		
(0.37 Hectares)						
The site is outside of the development framework of the village?		Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function						
the village or for this particula part of the village?	ır	Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:				
CONCLUSION : Does the site warrant designation as ICF?		Reasons: Land is not adjacent to the development framework.				
Should the site be recommo Countryside Frontage?	ended	d for designation as Imp	oortant	No		

Site reference NH/12 – 164 (0.47 Hectares)				Parish Waterbe	ach	
 Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame Important Countryside 	enity Area;		signation in the adopted L	DF?	Protected Village Amenity Area	
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?			Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	OI C	en	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
	Rea	asons	: Village green			
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Rea	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Gre	en	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Gre	een	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Anii 201 Opti ass Suk exis beri by o Opei setti crea whe the The Incl	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Apprais Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Ma 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS174) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Majority of the site is an existing PVAA. Grassed area enclosed by trees. Inclubenches, the village sign and is crossed by footpaths by community for events and for informal recreational Open area within the Conservation Area that is part of setting of the listed buildings along Green Side and he create a less urban character in this area of the village where many buildings are located immediately adjaced the road. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representative received on this site.		ite is an es. Includes otpaths. Used reational uses. is part of the le and helps he village by adjacent to		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	a particular Green Reasons: Village green contributes to the					
Does the site have a particula	Am Am	ber	Reasons: The site is in the	he conser	ation area	

Site reference NH/12 – 164	Name of Site Village Greer	1	Parish Waterbea	ach
(0.47 Hectares)	g			
local significance due to its: Historical significance		and contains the village sign. The site prosetting for 3 listed buildings – a telephone and 2 dwellings (no.19 The Gables (Gree and no.5 Greenside). However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.		phone kiosk (Greenside) not
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	Amber	Reasons: It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located village green beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		ovide any
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village and forms part of the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It performs a recreation function. It is to particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for des	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 165 (0.31 Hectares)	Name of Site The Gault		Parish Waterbe	ach		
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Area;	I A				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	Giodii	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.				
	Reasons	: Village green				
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each par	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
	Reasons	Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	2014. It won Options 2 assessm Submittee with trees crossed informal Conservation this are immediated. The cour Include in The site won Options 2 assessm Submittee with trees crossed in this are immediated. The site won Include in the site won Options 2 assessment	A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March t was identified as an option in the Issues and s 2 Part 2 (site LGS175) with the council's ment (in 2013): Ited by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassed area sees, benches and children's play equipment and is d by footpaths. Used by community for events and for all recreational uses. Open area within the revation Area that helps create a less urban character area of the village where many buildings are located liately adjacent to the road. Meets the tests for LGS. uncil's recommendation in 2013 was: e in local plan as LGS.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Green	Reasons: Village green of character and amenity of				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the Conservation area. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage.				

Site reference NH/12 – 165 (0.31 Hectares)	Name of Site The Gault		Parish Waterbea	ach
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Reasons: It does have an amenity function within the village. However, it could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located village green beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. Village green makes a significant contribution to the character and amenity of the village and forms part of the setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It performs a recreation function. It is 'or particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.		lage green he character s part of the d listed unction. It is 'of monstrably g the high
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 166 (0.01 Hectares)		lame of Site Parish Old Pond Site Waterb		Parish Waterbe	ach
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	rea;	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planni	ing permis	ssion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Small amenity area on m	nain arteria	al road.
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		2014. It wo Options 2 assessm Submittee trees and Il listed be Council hincluding The council de include in Proposed	ex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March. It was identified as an option in the Issues and ins 2 Part 2 (site LGS178) with the council's assment (in 2013): Initiated by Parish Council. Grassed area with mature and a bench. Adjacent to PVAA which includes grade and building and within Conservation Area. Parish with a indicated the site has an abundance of wildlife ding frogs and toads. Meets the tests for LGS. Council's recommendation in 2013 was: Ide in local plan as LGS. Initiated was included as a Local Green Space in the losed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations received on this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Small amenity area of grass beside a residential area makes only a limited contribution to the character and amenity of the village.		ed contribution
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site lies ju Conservation area althou a setting. The site provide Il listed dwelling No 33 (B Street. However, it is not	gh it does es a settin Berry Hous	provide it with g for the grade se) on the High

Site reference NH/12 - 166 (0.01 Hectares)		e of Site ond Site		Parish Waterbe	ach
			a 'particular local significa	ance' due t	o its heritage.
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		Amber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area beside a main road that could not be described as havin particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		ed as having a that role
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located amenity area beside a main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Amber	Reasons: Abundance of frogs and toads are reported, but it does not warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.			
CONCLUSION: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has a limited amenity function and biodiversity / wildlife function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		e site is a sidential area e character ng of the gs. It has a ersity / wildlife ant the high , given the
Should the site be recommo	ended	for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 166 (0.01 Hectares)		e of Site Pond Site		Parish Waterbe	ach	
Is the site within the development of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: S	mall amenity area on mai	n arterial r	oad	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a rearea, allotment or playing	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			area, anotificit of playing			
		Reasons:	Small amenity area on m	ain road.		
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the character		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.			
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Small amenity area on m	nain road.		
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of.	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	nquil locat	tion.	
this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: Small amenity area on main arterial road			al road	
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green	Reasons: Amenity area character.	supports	the village's	
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ended	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	ige	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 167 (0.28 Hectares)	Name of Site Barracks Fro	Name of Site Parish Barracks Frontage Waterbea		ach	
Is the site already protected b	y an existing d	esignation in the adopted L	DF?	No	
Protected Village Ame	•				
Important Countryside		T			
The site does not have Planni Permission for an alternative use?	ing Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion	
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lan	Olecii	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.			
		s: Frontage to barracks.			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each pa original	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc. Reasons: No			
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential prope	Close to residential properties		
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	en Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex A 2014. It Options assessin Submitte trees at screene green se past had area tha Meets tf The cou Include The site Propose were red One rep the Land therefore assessir represe	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS180) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Triangular grassy area with trees at the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks, which is screened from Denny End Road by a hedge. It is part of the green setting of the entrance to the barracks and has in the past had an aircraft upon it. It is part of a larger grassed area that forms the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site. One representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 - summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 and in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for			

Site reference NH/12 – 167	Name of Site Barracks Frontage	Parish Waterbeach				
(0.28 Hectares)						
	The representation was from the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (Rep 64970) who objected to the designation					

The representation was from the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (Rep 64970) who objected to the designation as LGS. Their comments are summarised as:

Object to proposed designation. There are positive opportunities to achieve sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge. May require some highway re-alignment at Barracks frontage. Master planning process has begun and will fully incorporate objectives of achieving high quality and attractive green spaces in this location. In this context proposed designation could undermine sustainable development objectives of Submission Draft Local Plan, including Policy SS/5, and does not meet the criteria of the NPPF.

The council responded in 2015:

Defence Infrastructure Organisation objects to designation of the site, as it falls within the Area Action Plan area for the new town, and could be important for creating sustainable transport links. DIO says that the area should be considered as part of the wider masterplan. The LGS does lie within the area proposed to be covered by an Area Action Plan for the new town. On reflection, it is considered that the AAP process is the appropriate mechanism for deciding the future of land within its boundary and the LGS should be deleted from the Local Plan Policies Map.

Recommendation: Delete the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach LGS See Map 7 showing deleted site.

The site was specifically addressed in the Council's **Matter SC4** paper Examination Statement (November 2016): *SC4C.lii.*

Would the LGS designation at the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach compromise the future achievement of sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between the proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge? Would the designation therefore be consistent with sustainable development principles? (NH/12-167)

The Council has since the Local Plan was submitted in March 2014 had the opportunity to reappraise the extent of this LGS. Defence Infrastructure Organisation objected to the designation of the site during the targeted consultation with landowners of LGS. The reason stated for their opposition was that as it falls within the Area Action Plan (AAP) area for the new town proposed north of Waterbeach village, and could be important for creating sustainable transport links. DIO stated that the area should be considered as part of the wider masterplan.

The LGS does lie within the area proposed for the new town

Site reference	Name of S	ite	Parish	
NH/12 – 167	Barracks F	rontage	Waterbeach	
(0.28 Hectares)				
	Portforthe British processing pro	plio Holder on 10 Manarracks Frontage, Walarracks Frontage, Walarracks Frontage, Walarracks Frontage, Walarracks Frontage, Walarracks Frontage able to a sundary. Council has recently plan relation to the proposed that rather than ing Document (SPD) egic site. An SPD could look at the relationsh of the village which infore provide an approposed that the deletion ders that the deletion	uth Cambridgeshire Planning ch 2015 it was agreed to delete aterbeach LGS (see Note to the It Consultation with Landowners as considered that the AAP decide the future of the land with proposed modifications to the located new town at Waterbeach. In an AAP a Supplementary should be prepared for this led not designate a LGS however in between the new town and the cludes this area. The SPD could priate mechanism for deciding boundary and the Council of this LGS from the Local Plan modification/ way forward.	
		e LGS NH/12-167	map.	
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	Ambe	entrance to the b Denny End Road green setting of thas in the past has a larger grassed Waterbeach Bart to the character a	gular grass area with trees at the parracks, which is screened from the barracks, which is part of the the entrance to the barracks and an aircraft upon it. It is part of area that forms the entrance to racks. It makes some contribution and amenity of the village.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	Ambo	forms the entran	art of a larger grassed area that ce to Waterbeach Barracks which Waterbeach's history since the	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	Ambe	main road that co	small grassland area beside a buld not be described as having ignificance beyond that role rant the enhanced level of led by LGS.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar Red	Red Reasons: It is beside a main road and does provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red	Reasons: Site d wildlife.	oes not have a diversity of	
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore		suitable for designments and	mpelling evidence that the site ignation as LGS. The site is a lea at the entrance to the former lakes some contribution to the	

Site reference NH/12 – 167	Name of Site Barracks Frontage		Parish Waterbe	ach
(0.28 Hectares)		· ·		
suitable for designation as LC	SS?	character and amenity of limited amenity function. I high level of protection protection by the high bar set by the critical this site was deleted (in part of the area allocated will be addressed through process.]		warrant the LGS, given NPPF. [Note 15) as it forms w town and
Should the site be recomme	No			

Site reference NH/12 – 168		of Site	ose	Parish Waterbe	ach		
(0.09 Hectares)							
Is the site already protected by	•	•	signation in the adopted L	DF?	No		
Protected Village Ame	•						
Important Countryside The site date not have Plans							
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		Reasons	: Small amenity area with	trees on n	nain road.		
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		Annex A 2014. It w Options 2 assessme Submitte post box countrysi Cambridg this area Could be LGS. The coun Include in	was assessed in the Sust Appendix 5 (Evidence power identified as an option 2 Part 2 (site LGS184) with ent (in 2013): If the entrance to Coronal ide beyond the houses on the ge Road. Helps maintain the of the village which borded used for informal recreation in 2 in local plan as LGS. If the submission Local Plan 2 is evived on this site.	in the Issue the counce the souther the southers open coon. Meets	Jes and cil's vith trees and cil's of c		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Amber	Reasons: Small amenity in front of residential house contribution to the characteristics.	sing make	s only a limited		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its:	ar	Red	Reasons: No historical s	ignificance	e attached to		

Site reference NH/12 – 168 (0.09 Hectares)	Name of Site Coronation Close Parish Waterbe			ach	
Historical significance			this site.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value		nber	Reasons: It is a small grassland area in trees beside a main road in front of residential housing and could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	cal significance due to its:		Reasons: It is a small grassland area in trees beside a main road in front of residential housing and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife		d	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal	d	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has a limited amenity function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? No				

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 168 (0.09 Hectares)		ne of Site onation Clo	ose	Parish Waterbe	ach
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plan permission for new development which woul change this		
		Details: S	small amenity area with tre	ees on ma	in road
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	No	Details: It has not previ		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green	Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function					
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Small amenity area with	trees.	
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA Is the undeveloped nature of		Green	Details: Uncertainty as to the importance of the site in the village or to this particular part of the village.		
land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons:	Small amenity area with	trees on m	nain road
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of.	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.
this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:	Small amenity area with	trees on a	road
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Amenity area supports the village's character.			
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for desig	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes

Site reference NH/12 – 169 (0.12 Hectares)		ame of Site Parish Waterbeach			ach
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	Amenity Are			
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.		
		Reasons	: Small amenity area of gr	ass with tr	ees
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.			
		Reasons	:: No		
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential prope	erties	
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council		
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisa Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) Mar 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS187) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassed with a tree at the entrance to the primary school, separ from the High Street by a low fence and hedge. Adjace PVAA which includes grade II listed building and within Conservation Area. Helps create a less urban charact this area of the village where many buildings are located immediately adjacent to the road. Meets the tests for Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the			GS) March les and cil's Grassed area col, separated le. Adjacent to land within la character in lare located lests for LGS.
Doos the site have a particular	or.	were received on this site.			-
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Amber	Reasons: Small amenity on school premises make contribution to the characteristics.	es only a li	mited
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its:	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in the area. The site provides a	-	

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish	
NH/12 – 169	School frontage Waterbeach			ach	
(0.12 Hectares)					
Historical significance			listed dwelling - No 20 (William Street. However, it is land has a 'particular local heritage.	s not cons	idered the
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value Amber		Amber	Reasons: Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises could not be described as having a particular local significance beyond that role which would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Tranquillity Red		Red	Reasons: Small amenity area of grass with trees on school premises beside a main road does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have wildlife.	nave a dive	ersity of
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Red	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential are that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village and setting of the conservation area and listed buildings. It has a limited amenity function. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended	for desig	gnation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 169 (0.12 Hectares)		e of Site ool frontag	e	Parish Waterbe	ach	
Is the site within the developr framework of the village?	nent	Green	Site is within developme	ent framew	ork	
Is the site undeveloped?		Green	Site is undeveloped, and does not have plant permission for new development which would change this			
		Details: S	mall amenity area of gras	ss with tree	es.	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?	е	Yes	Details: It has previous PVAA.			
Criteria tests for PVAA						
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA		Green		Details: If the site is a recreation area / amenity area, allotment or playing fields		
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the function			aroa, anotimont or playii			
the village or for this particula part of the village?		Reasons: Small amenity area of grass with trees.				
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA		Green	Details: Site is importar	nt to village	e character.	
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of		Grassland contributes to the village.	the chara	cter and	
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature	of	Red	Details: Site not in a tra	inquil locat	tion.	
this land provide a tranquil are where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amer of the village or for this participart of the village?	ea nity	Reasons: main road	Small amenity area of grass with trees beside a			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Green Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village.				
Should the site be recommondated Amenity Area?	ende	d for design	nation as Protected Villa	age	Yes	

Site reference NH/12 – 170 (1.09 Hectares)		me of Site creation Ground / play area Whaddo			n		
Is the site already protected beProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity A	Frontage					
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?	ing	Green	Site does not have plann	ing permis	sion		
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar		Green	Site related to the village site is not made up of a vuses/character areas.				
		contributi seperatin	s: Grassland area which m ion to the character and an ig the western and eastern us location along the road.	nenity of the parts of the part	ne village by		
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.						
		Reasons: No					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to	Green	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	een Site submitted by Parish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS188) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Grassed recreation ground that includes play equipment. Partially screened from Church Street by mature trees along this boundary which an Important Countryside Frontage. Adjacent to village he Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representatio were received on this site.					
		A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations					

Site reference	Name	e of Site		Parish			
NH/12 – 170	Recre	eation Gr	ound / play area	Whaddon			
(1.09 Hectares)							
		for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015. The representation (no.64885) was from Whaddon Parish Council who were in support of the LGS designation. Their comments are summarised as: Play area and recreation ground are very important to Whaddon village for both social and recreational purposes. Only publically owned green space in village, has a footpath running through it, is well used by residents and wider local community. Various social events are held on the recreation ground. Also has active cricket club. Play area is extremely popular with residents and also families from local area. Parish Council would like to see this important area protected for its residents so that they can continue to benefit from it. Green Reasons: Grassland contributes to the character and amenity of the village. The site does enhance the rural character of the village, provides a soft edge at the north west corner of the linear village and adds to the setting of the residential properties to the south of the site and on Church Street, particularly the Grade II listed Nos 126, 128, (The School House), and 130 (Greenacres) on Church Street and the Grade I listed Parish Church of St Mary on Church Street. It offers long views through the centre of the village further					
			along Church Street. The grassy site that is enclose mature trees and new tre side. It is a well maintaine symmetrical cricket pitch, with well coordinated chill pavilion facilities.	ed on 2 of its 3 sides by es on the Church Street ed, scenic, neatly laid, a special open area			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Historical significance	ar	Amber	Reasons: The site is in distance) and provides a grade I listed Parish Chur Street. The site provides listed No. 100, (Rose Coand Nos 126, 128, (The Significance) on Church considered the land has a significance' due to its he	partial setting for: the rch of St Mary on Church a setting for the grade II ottage) on Church Street School House), and 130 Street. However, it is not a 'particular local			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: As the primary ground it is 'of particular I community.				
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	ar	Amber	Reasons: It is open gras feeling of remoteness and but not to the extent that				

Site reference	Name of Site Pa				
NH/12 – 170	Recreation Gr	ound / play area	Whaddo	n	
(1.09 Hectares)					
		enhanced level of protect	ion provid	ed by LGS.	
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	Red Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal				
Should the site be recomme	Yes				

Site reference NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Newton Roa		Parish Whittles	ford			
Is the site already protected by Protected Village Ame	enity Area;	designation in the adopte	ed LDF?	No			
• Important Countryside The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Site does not have pla	anning permis	ssion			
Is the site local in character not an extensive tract of lar	Olecii	Site related to the villa site is not made up of uses/character areas.	a variety of c				
	Reaso	ns: Amenity area beside	residential ar	ea			
Is there a smaller element wit the site that should be considered?	each p	If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.					
		Reasons:					
Is the site in close proximity the community it serves?	to Green	Close to residential pr	Close to residential properties				
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?	Green	Site submitted by Par	ish Council				
How was the site considered through the plan making process?	Annex 2014. I Options assess Submit border Remail to be a grasse The Co Only re Remail	The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appra Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) I 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues ar Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS191) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Rectangular grassed bordered by hedges used for recreational purposes Remainder of site looks to include back gardens. Be to be amended so that site only includes the rectangular grassed area. The Council's Recommendation in 2013 was: Only rectangular grassed area meets the tests for LR Remainder of site does not meet the tests for LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no represe					
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty		Reasons: Small ame only a limited contribution amenity of the village.	ition to the ch				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance	Red	Reasons: No historic this site.	al significanc	e attached to			

Site reference NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)	Name of Site Newton Road	Play Area	Parish Whittlesf	ord
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	r Amber	Reasons: It does have at the periphery of the villag be described as having a significance beyond that the the enhanced level of pro	e. Howeve particular role which	er, it could not local would warrant
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity	r Amber	Reasons: It is open grassland that provides som feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation, but not to the extent that would warrant the enhanced level of protection provided by LGS.		
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	r Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.		
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the lo community and of particular lo significance, and therefore suitable for designation as LG	ocal	Reasons: No compelling evidence that the site is suitable for designation as LGS. The site is a small amenity area adjacent to a residential area that makes some contribution to the character and amenity of the village. It has a limited amenifunction. However, it does not warrant the high level of protection provided by LGS, given the high bar set by the criteria in the NPPF.		
Should the site be recomme	ended for desig	nation as Local Green S	pace?	No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING THOSE SITES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AS A PROTECTED VILLAGE AMENITY AREA IN THE EMERGING LOCAL PLAN

Site reference NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)		ne of Site Iton Road F	ford		
Is the site within the development framework of the village?		Red	Site is outside of development framework.		
Is the site undeveloped?					
		Details: A	menity area beside reside	ential area	
Was the site designated in the LDF as a Protected Village Amenity Area?			Details:		
Criteria tests for PVAA					
Criteria Test 1 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of					
land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 2 for PVAA			Details:		
Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the character of the village or for this particular part of the village?		Reasons:			
Criteria Test 3 for PVAA Does the undeveloped nature of this land provide a tranquil area where there is a minimum of activity, important to the amenity of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Details:		
		Reasons:			
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as PVAA		Red Reasons: Site is outside of development framework.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Protected Village Amenity Area?					No

PROFORMA FOR ASSESSING SITES THAT HAVE NOT MET THE TEST FOR LOCAL GREEN SPACE TO SEE IF THEY MEET THE TEST FOR DESIGNATION AS **IMPORTANT COUNTRYSIDE FRONTAGES**

Site reference NH/12 – 171 (0.23 Hectares)		ame of Site Parish Whittlesford				
The site is outside of the development framework of th village?	е	Green				
Is it land adjacent to the development framework?		Red				
Criteria tests for ICF						
Criteria Test 1 for ICF Is the undeveloped nature of this land important to the function of the village or for this particular part of the village?			Details:			
		Reasons:				
Criteria Test 2 for ICF			Details:			
Is the undeveloped nature of land important to the characte the village or for this particula part of the village?	er of	Reasons:				
CONCLUSION: Does the site warrant designation as ICF?	9		Reasons: The land is not adjacent to the development framework			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Important Countryside Frontage?						

Site reference NH/12 – 172					Parish Whittlesford	
(3.63 Hectares)						
Is the site already protected byProtected Village AmeImportant Countryside	enity Ar	Amenity Area				
The site does not have Plann Permission for an alternative use?		Green	Site does not have planning permission			
Is the site local in character and not an extensive tract of land		Green	Site related to the village and not extensive. The site is not made up of a variety of different uses/character areas.			
		Reasons: Recreational ground including formal pitch area, play area, tennis courts & parking area).				
Is there a smaller element within the site that should be considered?		If yes, map to be produced to indicate the boundaries of each part. Assessment of the parts to be referenced with original site reference number then A, B or C etc.				
		Reasons: No				
Is the site in close proximity to the community it serves?		Green	Close to residential properties			
Was the site submitted for consideration by the Parish Council?		Green	Site submitted by Parish Council			
How was the site considered through the plan making process?		The site was assessed in the Sustainability Appraisal Annex A Appendix 5 (Evidence paper for LGS) March 2014. It was identified as an option in the Issues and Options 2 Part 2 (site LGS192) with the council's assessment (in 2013): Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Large grassed area with trees, benches, play equipment and sports facilities. Helps to maintain the rural character of the village. Meets the tests for LGS. The council's recommendation in 2013 was: Include in local plan as LGS. The site was included as a Local Green Space in the Proposed Submission Local Plan 2013, no representations were received on this site.				
		A representation was received on this site as a result of the Land Owner Consultation in 2014. The site was therefore addressed in appendix C - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015 – summary of representations for the Local Green Space consultation 2014 but not in appendix D - Schedule of assessment for PPF meeting 10/03/2015.				

Site reference	Name	me of Site Parish				
NH/12 – 172	The L	he Lawn Whittlesford			ford	
(3.63 Hectares)						
		The representation (no. 64891) from Whittlesford Parish Council was in support of the LGS designation. It can be summarised as: Currently only recreational open space in village and accommodates numerous football teams from 5-year olds to adult. There is a full sized cricket pitch plus practice nets supporting an active cricket club and two hard-surface tennis courts. Local Primary school, adjacent, also use the facility for many activities. There is also an enclosed play area, sports wall and 'trim trail'. All these activities are supported by a well equipped pavilion. The Lawn Trust Management Committee run a recreation programme in a professional manner that the Parish Council helps with financially.				
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Beauty	ar	Green	Reasons: Grassland and trees contributes to the character and amenity of the village.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its: Historical significance		Amber	Reasons: Site is outside of the village Conservation area although it does provide a setting for the Conservation area and it provides the setting for grade II listed dwellings such as Lawn Cottage and no.3 Storeys Cottage Church Lane and a grade II listed telephone kiosk and the grade II listed No 2 (The Shrubberies), on Duxford Road. However, it is not considered the land has a 'particular local significance' due to its heritage. There is a village war memorial - the Stone cross at the junction of North Road and Church Lane, which commemorates WW1, WW2 at the corner of the site.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Recreational value	ar	Green	Reasons: As the primary village recreation ground it is 'of particular local significance' to the community.			
Does the site have a particula local significance due to its: Tranquillity		Red	Reasons: It is a centrally located amenity area in a small village beside the village's main road and does not provide any feeling of remoteness and quiet contemplation.			
Does the site have a particular local significance due to its Richness of wildlife	ar	Red	Reasons: Site does not have a diversity of wildlife other than what may be found in a wood.			
conclusion: Is the site demonstrably special to the locommunity and of particular losignificance, and therefore suitable for designation as LC	ocal ocal	Green	Reasons: Sufficient qualities making the site suitable for designation as LGS. The recreation ground is the primary village recreation area and is 'of particular local significance' and demonstrably special to the community, warranting the high level of protection provided by LGS.			
Should the site be recommended for designation as Local Green Space? Yes						